#### Date Revised: 11/20/19

**Course Goal:** To teach recruit officers the lawful basis for recognizing criminal acts as hate crimes, non-criminal acts hate incidents and understand the impact of such crimes on victims and communities.

#### Learning Objectives:

- Discuss articles of faith that can be interpreted as a weapon, including: Identification of the article, Proper handling of and respect for the article [42.III.C.1-2]
- Classify the crime and the elements required to arrest for: Desecrating religious symbols, interfering with religious freedom, terrorizing another, Interfering with an individual's civil rights [42.V.B.1-4]
- Explain the legal rights and remedies available to victims of hate crimes based on federal law and Civil Code [42.V.C]
- Describe the impact of hate crimes on victims, the victims' families, and the community **[42.V.D]**
- Explain considerations when investigating and documenting incidents involving possible hate crimes [42.V.F]
- Given a minimum of two POST-developed video re-enactments or written descriptions of possible hate crimes, or an equivalent material provided by the presenter, the student will participate in a facilitated discussion which addresses the following: Whether or not the incident constituted a hate crime under the law, Impact of the incident on victims, their families and the community, Effectiveness of the law enforcement response, Legal rights of, and remedies available to the victims(s) [42.VII.D.1-4]

### Session Time: 2 hours

Resources:		
Classroom with tables		
Training Needs: POST LD 42 Workbook		
Handouts: Hate Crimes Resource Pamphlet, Hate Crime Case St	udies (1-11), Hate	
Crime Notebook Divider		
• Session Summary: The recruit officer will take tour of the Museum of Tolerance participating in a six-hour educational program "Tool for Tolerance" for Law Enforcement. They will increase their awareness of criminal acts that are hate crimes, Legal requirements, LAPD Policy and Procedures and the officers role as a first responder for providing the most effective response to hate incidents and hate crimes.		
Outline	Instructor Notes	
I. LAPD - Hate Crimes	Start of LAPD	
A. Introduction:	session 1 Hour	
1. The City of Los Angeles values the great diversity of its		
people and recognizes that a threat against any portion of	Review with class	
our community is truly a threat against our diverse way of	from previous Hate	
	Crimes-Session-32	

	life.	
2.	Acts or threats of violence motivated by hatred or prejudice	
	are serious acts that tear at the fabric of our community.	
3.	With the formation of hate crime laws and the enactment of	
	uniform reporting standards, law enforcement now has a way	
	to extract information on inter-group conflicts occurring in the	Ask: Why even
	community.	have Hate Crime
4.	This up-to-date information assists officers in their proper	laws?
	response to victim and community needs, successful	
	prosecution, and in taking appropriate actions to deter these	
	crimes.	
5.	What do you know about hate crimes?	
	a. Utilizing the recruit's reading, experience and Museum	
	tour, draw out the following responses:	
	1) They affect more than just the victim involved (direct	
	victim/indirect victim like the James Byrd incident in	
	the Museum exhibit)	
	2) They may increase based on newsworthy events (9-	
	11)	
	3) Changes in population may affect targeting	
	victims/frequency (immigration status)	
	b. Ask the class: Why even have Hate Crime laws?	
	1) If the class is slow to respond, you may mention the	
	father of one of the men who was convicted of	
	murdering Matthew Sheppard (refer to Hate in	
	America exhibit).	
	a) He said that, while his son had been convicted of	
	murder, it was no worse than any other murder,	
	and	
	b) That it was unfair for the press and public to	
	maintain that due to the nature of the crime, it	
	was worse than other murders, and that his son	
	was worse than other murderers.	Ask: What is your
	c. ASK the class: What is your response to the father's	response to the
	statement?	father's statement?
	1) Expected Responses:	
	a) Hate Crimes are a shock to the public	
	conscience. In addition to the usual effects of	
	crime, Hate Crimes tend to spread fear and/or	
	terror in a large community	
	b) They affect the entire community	
	c) They send a message of fear and terror	
	throughout the community.	

The Comm a. Vic out tra or the eff b. Be vic 1) 2) 3)	<ul> <li>d) They can interfere with a person's civil and constitutional rights.</li> <li>(1) In the first DP, you've learned about the First Amendment to the Constitution and the rights granted therein. When hate crimes occur, they may deter our clients from exercising those rights.</li> <li>mpact of Hate Crime on Victims, Victims' Families and nunity [42.IV.D]</li> <li>tims of crime may experience reactions that are tside the range of their general experience. Such a uma can often produce impacts that last days, weeks, even years after the initial event. In some cases, ese impacts are compounded by tangible or concrete ects such as a physical injury or economic loss.</li> <li>sides the effects of hate crimes have on individual tims, they also cause:</li> <li>Distrust between groups</li> <li>Disharmony among communities</li> <li>Tension between communities and law enforcement agencies when incidents are not handled appropriately, thoroughly, or in a timely manner.</li> <li>pacts of Hate Crimes</li> <li>ASK: What are some impacts experienced by direct victims of hate crimes?</li> <li>a) Expected Responses: <ul> <li>(1) Feeling degraded</li> <li>(2) Helplessness/frustration</li> <li>(3) Anger</li> <li>(4) Fear</li> <li>(5) Isolation</li> <li>(6) Do not want to reveal immigration status</li> <li>(7) Do not want to reveal they are a member of a protected group</li> <li>(8) Fear of retaliation</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Ask: What are some impacts experienced by direct victims of hate crimes? Ask: What are some of the impacts experienced by other clients?
	<ul> <li>(4) Fear</li> <li>(5) Isolation</li> <li>(6) Do not want to reveal immigration status</li> <li>(7) Do not want to reveal they are a member of a</li> </ul>	
2)	<ul><li>(9) Lose their sense of community</li><li>(10)Feel betrayed by the American system</li><li>(11)Powerless</li><li>ASK: What are some of the impacts experienced by</li></ul>	
	other clients? a) Expected Responses: (1) Specifically focusing on the direct victim's family:	

Г.	Γ
(a) Denial	
(b) Outrage	
(c) May blame the victim	
(2) And the community to which the victim	
belongs?	
(a) Divisiveness of the community	
(b) Collective fear, anger, and alienation	
(c) All members of the minority group may	
feel victimized	
(d) May resort to retaliation.	
II. Other Laws Pertaining to Hate Crimes	
A. LEARNING ACTIVITY #1 – Class Presentations:	
1. Utilizing LD 42 Workbooks, assign one or more definitions to	Learning Activity #1: Class
each of the learning teams. Advise the team they are to	Presentations
teach back the definition to the group, including an example	
from the Museum tour or other source	
a. Desecrating Religious Symbols - 11411 P.C. (Felony)	
[42.V.B.1]	
1) Elements	
<ul> <li>a) Burning a cross or other religious symbol on the property of another</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>b) knowing it is a religious symbol, or</li><li>c) hanging a noose, or</li></ul>	
d) displaying a Nazi swastika or other symbol for	
terrorizing another.	
e) NOTE: The noose is a new addition to the law	
and is not included in LD 42 Workbook Version	
5.1	
b. Interfering with Religious Freedom – 11412 P.C. (Felony)	
[42.V.B.2]	
1) Elements	
a) Any person who with intent to cause, attempt to	
cause, or causes another person to refrain from	
exercising that person's religion by means of	
threat directly communicated, to commit an	
unlawful injury, or to reasonably appear to the	
recipient that such threats could be carried out.	
c. Terrorizing Another - 11413 P.C. (Felony) [42.V.B.3]	
1) Elements	
a) Is defined as causing a person of ordinary	
emotions and sensibilities to fear for his or her	Ask: How many
personal safety.	believe this rises to the level of a hate
b) Any person who explodes or attempts to explode	

a destructive device for terrorizing another.	crime?
<ul><li>c) NOTE: This section applies to any library,</li></ul>	
bookstore, courthouse, home of a court office	r, Ask: How many
church, temple, synagogue, place of worship	or believe this meets the criteria for a
abortion clinic.	hatred incident,
d. Interfering with an Individual's Civil Rights – 422.6 P.	C. raise your hand?
(Misd.), 422.7 P.C. (Felony) <b>[42.V.B.4]</b>	
1) Elements	How many of you
a) By force or threat of force willfully injure,	think this is neither
intimidate, interfere with, oppress or threaten,	any a hate crime or
other person, in the exercise of that person's	hatred incident?
constitutional rights because of that person's r	real
or perceived: race, color, religion, ancestry,	Ask: How many
national origin, disability, gender or sexual	believe this is a
orientation.	hate crime?
e. Conspiracy to Interfere with Civil Rights- 18 USC 241	Ack Herringer
(Federal)	Ask: How many think it meets the
1) Elements	criteria for a hatred
<ul> <li>a) Prohibits conspiracies to injure citizens exercise</li> </ul>	
rights protected by the Constitution or other U	S
laws	Ask: Does it matter
f. Forcible Interference with Civil Rights- 18 USC 245	if the woman is a
(Federal)	lesbian or not?
1) Elements	
a) Prohibits intentional interference by force or the	nreat
of force, with certain specified rights.	
<ul> <li>b) Enacted in 1968 in response to violent attacks</li> </ul>	
civil rights workers in the South.	Ask: What do you have?
g. Forcible Interference with Civil Rights Under Color of	nave :
Authority- 18 USC 242 (Federal)	Ask: How many
1) Elements	believe this is a
a) Prohibits willful deprivation of civil rights by the	ose hate crime?
acting under color of law.	
b) Frequently used to prosecute violent miscond	uct Ask: How many think it meets the
by law enforcement officials	critoria for a batrod
h. Conspiracy to deprive any person or class of persons	incident?
Equal Protection of the Law42 USC 1985 (3)- (Federa	aı)
1) Elements	
a) Imposes civil liability on those who conspire to	)
deprive others of the protection of the law.	
b) Enacted to provide redress for victims of the k	Nan
during reconstruction	of
2. Explain the legal rights and remedies available to victims	01

ha	te crimes based on federal law and Civil [42.V.C]
a.	Describe the two principal California civil rights statutes
	that are used to address hate related violence or threats
	of violence.
	1) Ralph Civil Rights Act- (State Civil Statute)
	a) Prohibits violence or intimidation by threat of
	violence against persons or their property
	because of their real or perceived: race, color,
	religion, ancestry, national origin, political
	affiliation, sex, sexual orientation, age, disability,
	position in a labor dispute (excluding statements
	made during otherwise lawful labor picketing), or
	any other arbitrary class-based distinction.
	2) Bane Civil Rights Act- (State Civil Statute)
	a) Forbids interference by threats, intimidation or
	coercion with an individual's individual and
	Constitutional rights.
	b) Examples of the rights protected include but are
	not limited to: Association, assembly, due
	process, education, employment, equal
	protection, expression, formation and
	enforcement of contracts, holding of public office
	housing privacy, speech, travel, use of public
	facilities, voting and worship.
D.	Discuss Articles of faith that can be interpreted as a
	weapon and identification of the article. [42.III.C.1]
	1) Freedom of religion is a cornerstone of civil rights. All
	people have the right to practice their religion without
	fear or interference. When contacting people in the field or optoring a private home or optoring a place of
	field or entering a private home or entering a place of worship, officers may see religious artifacts that could
	include but are not limited to the following:
	a) Symbols
	b) Books
	c) Alters
	d) Prayer rugs
	e) Shrines
	<ul><li>f) Pictures or statues of religious figures</li></ul>
	g) Turbans
	<ul><li>2) Sikhism is a religion found in the Punjas region of</li></ul>
	<ol> <li>In California the Sikh population in California is</li> </ol>
	south Asia in the late 15th Century and 18th; the 5th longest religion in the world.

estimated at 250,000. 4) Sikhs are often mistaken for Muslims or Hindus but are completely different religions 5) Sikhs wear a religious uniform that identifies them as members of the faith. All baptized Sikhs are required to carry activities of faith always. a) Kesh - uncut hair b) Kangha - wooden comb, sometimes kept in hair c) Kara – bracelet on the right wrist d) Kachehra - special undergarment e) Kirpan – ceremonial item resembling a small sword, usually with a curved tip, commonly between 3-9 inches in length. It may be steel or iron, worn in a sheath hung on a strap and slung over one shoulder or worn around the waist. (1) It may be visible over the clothing or under a jacket. (2) Usually a Kirpan can be identified as a religious item because the Kish carrying it is also likely to be carrying the other four articles of faith. c. Discuss proper handling and respect for religious articles. [42.III.C.2] 1) Officers should be respectful of any articles or areas that are used for prayer or worship. Depending on the circumstances, it may be appropriate to remove their shoes or head covering when entering the building or areas or worship. 2) When a Kirpan must be inspected and if circumstances permit officers should request to inspect the item a) Explaining why it needs to be inspected. If it must be recovered, it should be treated respectfully, kept in a clean place and off the floor and returned as soon as possible. 3) Sikhs never appear in public without their heads covered. Doing so is embarrassing and humiliating for a Sikh. a) To pat -down a turban, an officer should inform the Kikh that the turban is going to be pat down, but not removed. b) This explanation will show respect and help prevent objection to being patted down.

c) Removing the turban Akin to a strip search			
d) If the removal of the turban is required and			
circumstances permit, provide a clean and private			
area with a mirror, adequate lightening and 5-15			
minutes to re-tie the turban			
d. Legal Considerations			
1) Since September 2011, 22 criminal cases have been			
dismissed against Sikhs for carrying Kirpans			
<ol><li>Sikh's carrying a Kirpan has not been addressed in</li></ol>			
California's court.			
<ol><li>Other states courts have held that the prosecution of</li></ol>			
Sikh's for carrying a Sikh violated the religious			
Freedom Restoration Act of 1993.			
B. Reporting Procedures (Review)			
1. Criminal Investigations			
a. REFER to Hate Crime Notebook Divider			
b. If a crime has been committed and bias or prejudice Review from			
toward any protected group is a substantial factor and Previous session 32			
not merely incidental to the crime, they shall title the			
report according to the criminal violation and add "Hate			
Crime" to the title.			
1) Examples:			
a) Battery/Hate Crime			
b) ADW/Hate Crime			
c) Vandalism/Hate Crime			
<ul> <li>d) Desecrating Religious Symbols/Hate Crime -</li> </ul>			
11411 P.C. (Felony) [ <b>42.V.B.1]</b>			
(1) Examples:			
(a) Burning a cross or another religious			
symbol			
(b) On the property of another			
(c) Without that person's consent			
(d) Knowing it is a religious symbol, or			
(e) Displaying a Nazi swastika or other			
symbol			
(f) Hanging of a noose			
(g) For terrorizing another			
e) Interfering with Religious Freedom/Hate Crime			
[42.V.B.2]			
(1) Elements:			
(a) Any person who			
(b) With intent to cause			
(c) Attempts to cause, or			

(d) Causes another	
(e) Person to refrain from exercising that	
person's religion	
(f) by means of threat directly communicated	
(g) to commit an unlawful injury, or	
(h) to reasonably appear to the recipient that	
such threats could be carried out	
c. Officers shall complete an I.R. or arrest report and	
include the motive of the suspect(s).	
1) Penal code sections 422.6 and 422.7 are intended to	
be identified as additional offenses, the underlying	
crime (i.e. battery, vandalism, etc.) will generally be listed as the main offense. However, certain hate	
crimes identified within the Penal Code can stand	
alone (i.e. 11411 PC Felony, Desecrating Religious	
Symbols, 11412 PC Felony, Interfering with Religious	
Freedom, 11413 PC Felony, Terrorizing Another).	
d. Officers shall check the "motivated by hatred or	
prejudice" box in the MO section.	
e. Notify the watch commander, area of occurrence, and	
request a field supervisor to respond to the scene.	
f. Notify RACR Division (213)484-6700 for inclusion of the	
hate crime in the Chief of Police 24-Hour Occurrence	
Log and document the notification in the related report.	
g. Complete a Request for Confidentiality of Information for	
the victim per Special Order No.5 dated January 2000.	
2. Non-criminal Investigations	
a. REFER to Hate Crime Notebook Divider	
b. Officers shall complete an I.R. entitled HATE INCIDENT	
and include the motivation of the suspect (s) and list	
them in the "Involved Persons" box as a "wit".	
<ul> <li>Notify the watch commander, area of occurrence.</li> <li>Officers shall check the motivated by batred or projudice.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>d. Officers shall check the motivated by hatred or prejudice box in the MO section.</li> </ul>	
e. Complete a Request for Confidentiality of Information for	
the victim per Special Order No.5 dated January 2000.	
C. Legal Rights for the Victim(s) of Hate Crimes	Diatalhorta Usta
1. DISTRIBUTE Handout: "Los Angeles Police Department	Distribute Hate Crimes Handouts
Hate Crimes Resource Pamphlet" (Form 15.91.00 (04/14)	
2. Officers shall distribute one to each victim of a hate	
crime/incident per 13873 PC.	
3. Officer shall provide Victim of any criminal act with Marsy's	
Law Pamphlet Confidentiality shall be completed (regardless	

		if victim requests it or not) (covered during sex assault)	
		Indemnification of victims (if applicable)	
D.	D. Learning Activity #2: Case Studies [42.VII.D.1-4]		
	•	roberson #5, #6, #9)	#2: Case Studies
	1.	Given a minimum of two POST-developed video re-	
		enactments or written descriptions of possible hate crimes,	
		or an equivalent material provided by the presenter, the	
		student will participate in a facilitated discussion which	
		addresses the following:	
		a. Whether or not the incident constituted a hate crime under the law	
		<ul> <li>Impact of the incident on victims, their families and the community</li> </ul>	
		c. Effectiveness of the law enforcement response	
		d. Legal rights of, and remedies available to the victims(s)	
	2.	Practical Application: Hate Crime/Hatred Incident Case	
		Studies	
	3.	Materials: Handouts Case Studies #1-11	
	4.	Procedures: Separate the class into small groups. Give	
		each group one Hate Crime/Incident case study. Direct each	
		group to select a reader and reporter. Have the reader read	
		aloud and the groups to discuss their assigned case study.	
		Use the "Hate Crimes and Hatred Incidents" Notebook	
		Divider and LD 42 reference material.	
	5.	NOTE: Case Studies 5, 6 and 9 are mandatory. The others	
		used are determined by the size of the class and facilitator's	
		choice.	Ask: What crime
	6.	Case Study # 1	has occurred?
		1) While driving through a predominately Mexican-	Ask: Did hatred or
		American neighborhood, an African-American male	prejudice motivate
		stopped his car to repair a flat tire. A group of	the crime? Why or
		Mexican-Americans leaving a bar attacked him with	Why not?
		bottles and clubs. During the attack, the offenders	
		called the victim by a racial epithet used against	Ask: What would be the appropriate
		African-Americans and told him that African-	action for the
		Americans were not welcome in the neighborhood.	responding
		2) Expected Response:	officers?
	_	a) An ADW/Hate Crime was committed.	
	7.	Case Study #2	
		a. A white juvenile male grabbed a Jewish woman's purse,	Ask: Do the
		knocking her to the ground. As he ran away he called her	elements of a hate
		a racial epithet used against Jews. The victim did not	crime exist? Why
		know the juvenile.	•

1) Expected Response:	or why not?
<ul> <li>cannot show that the crime was motivated in whole or in part by one of the protected classes.</li> <li>b) This does not rise to the level of a hate crime.</li> <li>c) But the comment made by the fleeing juvenile does require the appropriate documentation.</li> <li>d) The officer should complete an I.R. titled "Purse Snatch/Hatred Incident</li> <li>8. Case Study #3 <ul> <li>a. Overnight, unknown persons broke into a synagogue and</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Ask: What questions can officers ask the victim to determine if it was a hate crime? Ask: What would be the appropriate action for the responding
<ul> <li>destroyed several religious objects. The perpetrators left</li> <li>a large swastika drawn on the door and wrote, "Death to</li> <li>the Jews" on a wall. Although valuable items were</li> <li>present, none were taken.</li> <li>1) Expected Response: <ul> <li>a) A Vandalism/Hate Crime was committed.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	officers? Ask: What is the most significant fact to lead officers to believe this is a
<ul> <li>A 51-year-old white male wielding a tire iron attacked a 29 years old Chinese-American male. The victim suffered severe lacerations and a broken arm. The incident took place in a parking lot next to a bar.</li> </ul>	hate crime? Ask: What evidence will help you to identify the motivation?
10. Case Study #5	
<ul> <li>a. Four white teenagers approached an adult white male and asked for money for the bus. When he refused, one of the youths said to the others, "Let's teach this (epithet for a gay person) a lesson." The victim was punched in the face, knocked to the ground, kicked several times, and robbed of his wristwatch, ring, and wallet. When he reported the crime, the victim advised he did not know the offenders and that he was not gay.</li> <li>1) Expected Response: <ul> <li>a) The suspects committed an ADW/Robbery/Hate</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
Crime. b) This crime began as a "Pan Handling" and	

evolved into a hate crime.

# 11. Case Study #6

- a. A small neighborhood bar frequented by gays burned down after being closed for the night. An investigation revealed that the fire was deliberately set, but there were no witnesses or suspects. The investigation revealed no threats, derogatory statements, or hatred incidents involving the establishment had been identified.
  - 1) Expected Response:
    - a) The elements of a hate crime do not exist.
    - b) If the investigation disclosed that this was one in a series of arsons which occurred at other bars frequented by gay patrons, or any other link to determine prejudice, bigotry, hatred, or bias, then enough information would exist to add hate crime to the title
- 12. Case Study #7
  - a. Six African-American men assaulted and seriously injured a white man and his Asian male friend as they were walking through a residential neighborhood.
     Witnesses said that when the victims were attacked the suspect's yelled "Stay out of our neighborhood white boy and keep your friends out too!"
    - 1) Expected Response:
      - a) A Battery/Hate Crime was committed.
- 13. Case Study #8
  - a. Unknown subjects vandalized an auditorium used by of several religious denominations for prayer meetings.
    Extensive damage was caused and statements, such as, "There is but one true religion" and "down with nonbelievers," were spray painted on the walls.
    - 1) Expected Response:
      - a) A Vandalism/Hate Crime was committed.
- 14. Case Study #9
  - a. A carload of males driving down Hollywood Blvd observed two males walking down the sidewalk holding hands. As they passed by, the people in the car yell out "All your gay people are perverts." The two male pedestrians call 911 because they were in fear for their safety.
    - 1) Expected Response:
      - a) This situation meets the criteria of a Hatred Incident.

- 15. Case Study #10
  - a. A disabled driver parked his van in a designated-Disabled Persons parking stall at the grocery store. Disabled Persons' license plates were clearly displayed on the front and rear of the van. As the driver exited the van, three white males approached him. The driver described the three males as follows: shaved heads, military style boots, white T-shirts and camouflage pants. One of the males had a tattoo of a swastika on his right elbow. Another had a tattoo of a skull dripping blood on his left shoulder. The males pushed the driver to the ground and began stomping and kicking him. The white males did not say anything to the driver. They casually walked from the parking lot.

b.

1) Expected Response:

a) An ADW/Hate Crime was committed.

- 16. Case Study #11
  - a. A disabled female in her wheelchair was at the shopping mall. She kept her packages on the back of her wheelchair. A group of juveniles approached the female and deliberately tipped over her wheelchair, causing her to fall to the ground. One of the juveniles grabbed the packages off the back of the wheelchair. Another juvenile ripped her purse from her grasp. The group began laughing and jeering at the female lying on the ground. One of the juveniles stated, "You gimps get too many special privileges and we're sick of it!"
  - b.

1) A Robbery/Battery/Hate Crime was committed.

17. DEBRIEF: Each case study is debriefed separately. The group assigned will debrief, then the class for additional observations or differing opinions. Clear up any misunderstandings and incorrect responses.

### E. REINFORCE KEY LEARNING POINTS

- 1. Hate Crimes impact communities as well as individuals.
- While Hate Crimes are specific to protected groups the incident is a crime regardless of the victim's actual inclusion in the protected group
- 3. Thoroughly document Hate Crime elements.
- 4. Assure that Victim is given proper resources and notifications are made as required.

Learning Activity #1– Class Presentations

- A. LEARNING ACTIVITY #1 Class Presentations:
  - 1. Utilizing LD 42 Workbooks, assign one or more definitions to each of the learning teams. Advise the team they are to teach back the definition to the group, including an example from the Museum tour or other source
    - a. Desecrating Religious Symbols 11411 P.C. (Felony) [42.V.B.1]
      - 1) Elements
        - a) Burning a cross or other religious symbol on the property of another
        - b) knowing it is a religious symbol, or
        - c) hanging a noose, or
        - d) displaying a Nazi swastika or other symbol for terrorizing another.
        - e) NOTE: The noose is a new addition to the law and is not included in LD 42 Workbook Version 5.1
    - b. Interfering with Religious Freedom 11412 P.C. (Felony) [42.V.B.2]
      - 1) Elements
        - a) Any person who with intent to cause, attempt to cause, or causes another person to refrain from exercising that person's religion by means of threat directly communicated, to commit an unlawful injury, or to reasonably appear to the recipient that such threats could be carried out.
    - c. Terrorizing Another 11413 P.C. (Felony) [42.V.B.3]
      - 1) Elements
        - a) Is defined as causing a person of ordinary emotions and sensibilities to fear for his or her personal safety.
        - b) Any person who explodes or attempts to explode a destructive device for terrorizing another.
        - c) NOTE: This section applies to any library, bookstore, courthouse, home of a court officer, church, temple, synagogue, place of worship or abortion clinic.
    - d. Interfering with an Individual's Civil Rights 422.6 P.C. (Misd.), 422.7 P.C. (Felony) [42.V.B.4]
      - 1) Elements
        - a) By force or threat of force willfully injure, intimidate, interfere with, oppress or threaten, any other person, in the exercise of that person's constitutional rights because of that person's real or perceived: race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, disability, gender or sexual orientation.
    - e. Conspiracy to Interfere with Civil Rights- 18 USC 241 (Federal)
      - 1) Elements
        - a) Prohibits conspiracies to injure citizens exercising rights protected by the Constitution or other US laws
    - f. Forcible Interference with Civil Rights- 18 USC 245 (Federal)
      - 1) Elements
        - a) Prohibits intentional interference by force or threat of force, with certain specified rights.

- b) Enacted in 1968 in response to violent attacks on civil rights workers in the South.
- g. Forcible Interference with Civil Rights Under Color of Authority- 18 USC 242 (Federal)
  - 1) Elements
    - a) Prohibits willful deprivation of civil rights by those acting under color of law.
    - b) Frequently used to prosecute violent misconduct by law enforcement officials
- h. Conspiracy to deprive any person or class of persons of Equal Protection of the Law 42 USC 1985 (3)- (Federal)
  - 1) Elements
    - a) Imposes civil liability on those who conspire to deprive others of the protection of the law.
    - b) Enacted to provide redress for victims of the Klan during reconstruction
- 2. Explain the legal rights and remedies available to victims of hate crimes based on federal law and Civil **[42.V.C]** 
  - a. Describe the two principal California civil rights statutes that are used to address hate related violence or threats of violence.
    - 1) Ralph Civil Rights Act- (State Civil Statute)
      - a) Prohibits violence or intimidation by threat of violence against persons or their property because of their real or perceived: race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, political affiliation, sex, sexual orientation, age, disability, position in a labor dispute (excluding statements made during otherwise lawful labor picketing), or any other arbitrary class-based distinction.
    - 2) Bane Civil Rights Act- (State Civil Statute)
      - a) Forbids interference by threats, intimidation or coercion with an individual's individual and Constitutional rights.
      - b) Examples of the rights protected include but are not limited to: Association, assembly, due process, education, employment, equal protection, expression, formation and enforcement of contracts, holding of public office housing privacy, speech, travel, use of public facilities, voting and worship.

Learning Activity #2 - Case Studies Groberson #5, #6, #9

- A. Learning Activity #3: Case Studies
  - 1. Given a minimum of two POST-developed video re-enactments or written descriptions of possible hate crimes, or an equivalent material provided by the presenter, the student will participate in a facilitated discussion which addresses the following:
    - a. Whether or not the incident constituted a hate crime under the law
    - b. Impact of the incident on victims, their families and the community
    - c. Effectiveness of the law enforcement response
    - d. Legal rights of, and remedies available to the victims(s)
  - 2. Practical Application: Hate Crime/Hatred Incident Case Studies
  - 3. Materials: Handouts Case Studies #1-11
  - 4. Procedures: Separate the class into small groups. Give each group one Hate Crime/Incident case study. Direct each group to select a reader and reporter. Have the reader read aloud and the groups to discuss their assigned case study. Use the "Hate Crimes and Hatred Incidents" Notebook Divider and LD 42 reference material.
  - 5. NOTE: Case Studies 5, 6 and 9 are mandatory. The others used are determined by the size of the class and facilitator's choice.
  - 6. Case Study # 1
    - 1) While driving through a predominately Mexican-American neighborhood, an African-American male stopped his car to repair a flat tire. A group of Mexican-Americans leaving a bar attacked him with bottles and clubs. During the attack, the offenders called the victim by a racial epithet used against African-Americans and told him that African-Americans were not welcome in the neighborhood.
    - 2) Expected Response:
      - a) An ADW/Hate Crime was committed.
  - 7. Case Study #2
    - a. A white juvenile male grabbed a Jewish woman's purse, knocking her to the ground. As he ran away he called her a racial epithet used against Jews. The victim did not know the juvenile.
      - 1) Expected Response:
        - a) This is a situation where the crime of purse snatch was committed but the investigation cannot show that the crime was motivated in whole or in part by one of the protected classes.
        - b) This does not rise to the level of a hate crime.
        - c) But the comment made by the fleeing juvenile does require the appropriate documentation.
        - d) The officer should complete an I.R. titled "Purse Snatch/Hatred Incident
  - 8. Case Study #3

- a. Overnight, unknown persons broke into a synagogue and destroyed several religious objects. The perpetrators left a large swastika drawn on the door and wrote, "Death to the Jews" on a wall. Although valuable items were present, none were taken.
  - 1) Expected Response:
    - a) A Vandalism/Hate Crime was committed.
- 9. Case Study #4
  - a. A 51-year-old white male wielding a tire iron attacked a 29 years old Chinese-American male. The victim suffered severe lacerations and a broken arm. The incident took place in a parking lot next to a bar. Investigation revealed that the offender and victim had previously exchanged racial insults in the bar. The offender initiated the exchange by calling the victim a racial epithet used against the Japanese and stated the Japanese were taking away jobs from Americans.
    - 1) Expected Response:
      - a) An ADW/Hate Crime was committed.
- 10. Case Study #5
  - a. Four white teenagers approached an adult white male and asked for money for the bus. When he refused, one of the youths said to the others, "Let's teach this (epithet for a gay person) a lesson." The victim was punched in the face, knocked to the ground, kicked several times, and robbed of his wristwatch, ring, and wallet. When he reported the crime, the victim advised he did not know the offenders and that he was not gay.
    - 1) Expected Response:
      - a) The suspects committed an ADW/Robbery/Hate Crime.
      - b) This crime began as a "Pan Handling" and evolved into a hate crime.
- 11. Case Study #6
  - a. A small neighborhood bar frequented by gays burned down after being closed for the night. An investigation revealed that the fire was deliberately set, but there were no witnesses or suspects. The investigation revealed no threats, derogatory statements, or hatred incidents involving the establishment had been identified.
    - 1) Expected Response:
      - a) The elements of a hate crime do not exist.
      - b) If the investigation disclosed that this was one in a series of arsons which occurred at other bars frequented by gay patrons, or any other link to determine prejudice, bigotry, hatred, or bias, then enough information would exist to add hate crime to the title
- 12. Case Study #7
  - a. Six African-American men assaulted and seriously injured a white man and his Asian male friend as they were walking through a residential neighborhood.
     Witnesses said that when the victims were attacked the suspect's yelled "Stay out of our neighborhood white boy and keep your friends out too!"
    - 1) Expected Response:

- a) A Battery/Hate Crime was committed.
- 13. Case Study #8
  - a. Unknown subjects vandalized an auditorium used by of several religious denominations for prayer meetings. Extensive damage was caused and statements, such as, "There is but one true religion" and "down with nonbelievers," were spray painted on the walls.
    - 1) Expected Response:
      - a) A Vandalism/Hate Crime was committed.

# 14. Case Study #9

- a. A carload of males driving down Hollywood Blvd observed two males walking down the sidewalk holding hands. As they passed by, the people in the car yell out "All your gay people are perverts." The two male pedestrians call 911 because they were in fear for their safety.
  - 1) Expected Response:
    - a) This situation meets the criteria of a Hatred Incident.
- 15. Case Study #10
  - A disabled driver parked his van in a designated-Disabled Persons parking stall at the grocery store. Disabled Persons' license plates were clearly displayed on the front and rear of the van. As the driver exited the van, three white males approached him. The driver described the three males as follows: shaved heads, military style boots, white T-shirts and camouflage pants. One of the males had a tattoo of a swastika on his right elbow. Another had a tattoo of a skull dripping blood on his left shoulder. The males pushed the driver to the ground and began stomping and kicking him. The white males did not say anything to the driver. They casually walked from the parking lot.
    - 1) Expected Response:
      - a) An ADW/Hate Crime was committed.
- 16. Case Study #11
  - a. A disabled female in her wheelchair was at the shopping mall. She kept her packages on the back of her wheelchair. A group of juveniles approached the female and deliberately tipped over her wheelchair, causing her to fall to the ground. One of the juveniles grabbed the packages off the back of the wheelchair. Another juvenile ripped her purse from her grasp. The group began laughing and jeering at the female lying on the ground. One of the juveniles stated, "You gimps get too many special privileges and we're sick of it!"
    1) A Robbert/Battert/Hate Crime was committed
    - 1) A Robbery/Battery/Hate Crime was committed.
- 17. DEBRIEF: Each case study is debriefed separately. The group assigned will debrief, then the class for additional observations or differing opinions. Clear up any misunderstandings and incorrect responses.