Date Revised: 12/26/2019

Event Goal: To teach recruit officers when and how to reasonably use lethal force.

Session Goal: To give recruit officers an understanding of use of Deadly force law and policy.

Learning Objectives:

- Identify that the objective of using force is to overcome resistance to gain control of an individual and the situation [20.III.B]
- Describe why complete documentation of the use of force is critical to the peace officer and the peace officer's agency, to include: Justification for using force and relevant factors and detail [20.V.A.1,2]
- Discuss factors that can affect a peace officer's response when threatened with danger, to include fear: reasonable and unreasonable [20.VI.A.1.a,b]
- Discuss factors that can affect a peace officer's response when threatened with danger, to include anger, indecision and hesitation [20.VI.A.2,3]
- Give examples of acceptable techniques for managing anger [20.VI.B]
- Describe the benefits of ongoing physical and mental training for peace officers involving the use of force [20.VI.C]
- Explain the legal and administrative consequences associated with the use of unreasonable force [20.VII.A]
- Explain an agency's potential liability associated with the use of unreasonable force [20.VII.B]
- Explain the consequences of an officer's failure to intervene when unreasonable force is used by another peace officer [20.VII.C]
- Discuss immediate and delayed intervention techniques [20.VII.D]
- Discuss factors that may inhibit a peace officer from intervening in a situation where a fellow officer may be applying unreasonable force [20.VII.E]

Session Time: 2 hours

Resources:

- Classroom w/tables
- White board
- Dry-erase marker
- Use of Deadly Force Handout (1 per student)
- Audio visual equipment

Session Summary: The instructor will lead an overhead facilitated discussion with the class.

Outline	Instructor Notes
This final session of deadly force in the classroom focuses	

entirely on proper documentation of a use of force and De-Escalation of force. [1]

- I. When a force option has been employed peace officer's reports must include critical information to ensure the chronology, specifics of the events and the people involved are properly documented. (Learning need V)
 - Complete documentation of a use of force is critical to the officer and the agency. [20.V.A] It assist with justification for using force and describes all factors or details. [20.V.A.1]
 - Each use of force report should be thorough and comprehensive to assist with the officer's memory of the event, prevent the destruction of evidence and witness availability. [20.V.A.2]

II. Reverence for Human Life

- The number one factor for developing tactics for the Los Angeles Police Department is the reverence for human life.
- 2. Tactics decision making is the one prominent factor that can alter the outcome of a given incident other than the suspect(s) actions.
- 3. Proper tactics can assist the officer in minimizing the risk of harm to officers and citizens, thus precluding the need for the use of deadly force.
- III. Peace Officers must be ready to, and capable of, safely taking control of a dangerous situation.
 - The goal of any use of force is to gain control of an individual thus gaining control over the situation. [2] [20.III.B]
 - 2. There are two factors that affect a peace officers response when threatened with danger.
 - Fear Normal emotional response to a perceived threat, real or unreal, controlled and legitimate. [20.VI.A.1,a,b]
 - 4. Anger is a feeling of displeasure from perceived injury, mistreatment or opposition, to one's self or another person. [20.VI.A.2] [3]
 - 5. Indecision and hesitation [20.VI.A.3]
 - 6. Fear and anger can lead to indecision and hesitation.

[1] ASK – What should be documented on a use of force report?
Suspect(s) behavior or actions
Officer(s) re-action
Prior knowledge such as: prior contacts, prison or gang tattoos, specific gang attire, weapons and environmental factors, (high crime area, friendly neighborhood for the suspect, etc.).

[2] Ask – What are some examples of acceptable techniques for managing anger?

Depersonalizing what people say or do.

Identifying anger inducing scenarios. Developing problem solving solutions.

Recognizing the on-set of anger.

[3] Ask – What are the benefits of ongoing physical and mental training for peace officers involving the use of force?

- 7. Self-control is mandatory [20.VI.B]
- 8. Identifying your own physical and social fears can help you control fear and anger. [20.VI.C]

Discipline the mind to remain calm and alert.

You become less predictable to the suspect.

You can make rapid corrections. Flexible

Increased self-control

[4] Ask – What are the consequences associated with the use of unreasonable force.

IV. Consequences of using unreasonable force. [20.VII.A]

- If officers use unreasonable force, meaning the type, degree and/or duration of force employed was not appropriate the officer could face,
 - 1. Criminal action
 - 2. Civil Lawsuits
 - 3. Civil Rights violation
 - 4. loss of job
- **2.** When an officer uses unreasonable force the agency also has potential consequences. **[20.VII.B]**
 - 1. Disciplinary action or loss of an employee
 - 2. Moral impact to department
 - 3. Vicarious liability (failure to train)

V. Intervention

This topic explains the consequences of an officer's failure to intervene when another officer is using unreasonable force. [20.VII.C]

- 1. Intervention is the act of attempting to prevent or to stop the inappropriate or unlawful behavior of another.
- Intervention can take the form of a strong caution, physical restraint and/or immediately reporting the incident.
- 3. If an officer who knows to intervene and has an opportunity to intervene and fails to do so could face criminal charges and/or civil liability.
- 4. There are two intervention techniques. [20.VII.D]
 - 1. Immediate Technique
 - 2. Delayed Technique
 - 3. Immediate intervention methods
 - a. verbal
 - b. physical touching
 - c. physical restraint
 - 4. Delayed intervention methods
 - a. Discussion

- b. Admonishment
- c. Training
- 5. There are factors that may inhibit a peace officer from intervening in a situation where a fellow officer may be applying unreasonable force. [20.VII.E]
- 6. The following are the three most common factors that could lead to an officer failing to intervene in a use of force situation.
 - a. Transfer of responsibility
 - b. Rationalization
 - c. Self-Doubt
- 7. Personal and psychological factors that could lead to a failure to intervene.
 - a. New partners
 - b. inexperience
 - c. peer pressure
 - d. fear of being ostracized
 - e. retaliation from other officers
- 8. Consequences of not intervening.
 - a. increased stress
 - b. embarrassment
 - c. disciplinary action
 - d. criminal prosecution
 - e. civil litigation
 - f. loss of career
 - g. loss of public confidence