Session 32 – Tactical De-escalation Techniques LD 20 – Use of Force

Date Revised: 11/12/19

Event Goal: To teach recruit officers when and how to use objectively reasonable force.

Session Goal: To teach recruit officers how to use tactical de-escalation techniques to reduce the intensity of an encounter with a suspect.

Learning Objective:

- Students will understand how the principles of de-escalation can enhance contacts with the public and may result in improved decision-making, reduction in situational intensity, and opportunities for outcomes with greater voluntary compliance **[20.II]**
- Students will discuss tactical de-escalation techniques to reduce the intensity of an encounter with a suspect and mitigate the need for a higher level of force [20.II.A.1][20.II.D]
- Students will recognize the importance of effective communication and scene assessment and management as core concepts of de-escalation [20.II.B.2-3] [20.II.E].
- Students will understand the components of a Critical Decision-making Model to include:
 - Collect information [20.II.C.1]
 - Assess situation, threats, and risks [20.II.C.2]
 - Law and policy [20.II.C.3]
 - Plan [20.II.C.4]
 - Act, review, and reassess [20.II.C.5]
- The student will demonstrate an understanding of how our Department's guiding value of Reverence for Human Life is the moral and ethical foundation of de-escalation, tactics, reasonable force, and officer safety.
- By the conclusion of the training, students will understand how the application of this training is in keeping with our Department's UOF policy, philosophy and tactical planning.

Session Time: 2 hours

Resources needed:

- Classroom w/tables
- Projector with cables and video capability
- De-escalation Directive No. 16 (1 per student)
- Internet connection for video case studies

Session Summary: The instructor will pass out the LAPD de-escalation directive and lead a facilitated discussion about the various elements in the directive. The instructor will lead a facilitated discussion about reverence for human life and de-escalations techniques, which will include several video case studies.

Session 32 – Tactical De-escalation Techniques

| Outline | Instructor Notes | |
|---|--|--|
| -escalation techniques | Facilitated discussion (1 hour): | |
| -escalation techniques Reverence for human life [1] Guiding principle in any use of force situation It is both moral and ethical to place the highest value on human life Not policy, but a philosophy for how to approach police work Consistent with the department's mission, vision, and values [20.II.C.3] Helps build public trust Using tactical de-escalation techniques and reasonable force demonstrates this principle Tactical de-escalation policy change – special order No 5 – April 2017 [2] Officers shall attempt to control an incident By using time, distance, communications, and available resources [20.II.A.1] To de-escalate the situation Whenever it is safe and reasonable to do so Definition of tactical de-escalation [3] The use of techniques To reduce the intensity of an encounter with a suspect And enable an officer to have additional options To gain voluntary compliance Or mitigate the need to use a higher level of force While maintaining control of the situation Officer safety considerations [4] Tactical de-escalation does not require that an officer compromise his or her safety Nor increase the risk of physical harm to the public De-escalation techniques should only be used when it is safe and prudent to do so | | |
| Nor increase the risk of physical harm to the public De-escalation techniques should only be used | safety issues that you should consider when implementing tactical de-escalation | |
| | -escalation techniques Reverence for human life [1] Guiding principle in any use of force situation It is both moral and ethical to place the highest value on human life Not policy, but a philosophy for how to approach police work Consistent with the department's mission, vision, and values [20.II.C.3] Helps build public trust Using tactical de-escalation techniques and reasonable force demonstrates this principle Tactical de-escalation policy change – special order No 5 – April 2017 [2] Officers shall attempt to control an incident By using time, distance, communications, and available resources [20.II.A.1] To de-escalate the situation Whenever it is safe and reasonable to do so Definition of tactical de-escalation [3] The use of techniques To reduce the intensity of an encounter with a suspect And enable an officer to have additional options To gain voluntary compliance Or mitigate the need to use a higher level of force While maintaining control of the situation Officer safety considerations [4] Tactical de-escalation does not require that an officer compromise his or her safety Nor increase the risk of physical harm to the public De-escalation techniques should only be used when it is safe and prudent to do so In many incidents, using force may the best way to reduce the intensity of the encounter a. Officers should not be hesitant to use force when reasonable if they reasonably believe that it would mitigate the need for a higher level of force When the use of deadly force is justified and reasonable, officers should not | |

Session 32 – Tactical De-escalation Techniques

| | | LD 20 – Use of For | се |
|----|-----|--|---|
| | | c. Officers who fail to use force when | |
| | | warranted may endanger themselves, the | |
| | | community, and fellow officers | [5] Ask – Does using force mean that |
| E. | Usi | ng force does not equate to a failure of | tactical de-escalation techniques failed? |
| | | tical de-escalation techniques [5] | |
| | 1. | In many circumstances, using decisive force | [6] Ask – Can officers use decisive force to |
| | | quickly is the most effective technique to | reduce the intensity of the encounter and |
| | | reduce the intensity of the encounter and | mitigate the need for a higher level of |
| | | mitigate the need for a higher level of force | force? Explain. |
| | | [6] | Seeking: Yes, sometimes the best way to |
| | 2 | De-escalation means using good tactics, but | de-escalate is to use decisive force quickly. |
| | | the suspect's mindset and subsequent | |
| | | behavior will determine if voluntary | |
| | | compliance can be gained [7] | [7] Ask – What factor best determines if |
| | 3. | In many circumstances, successful use of de- | decisive force can be gained? |
| | э. | escalation techniques will still result in a use | Seeking: The suspect's behavior |
| | | of force | Seeking. The suspect s behavior |
| | 4. | The use and success of tactical de-escalation | |
| | ч. | techniques should be judged from the | |
| | | perspective of all parties involved, not just | |
| | | the suspect's | |
| | | a. Victims or potential future victims | |
| | | b. Officers | |
| | | c. Community members/bystanders | [8] Ask – What are some examples of how |
| | 5. | Even the perfect "textbook" application of | the suspect's behavior may determine the |
| | Э. | tactical de-escalation techniques may result | result of an incident, even when officers |
| | | in a use of force and injury to the suspect due | perfectly apply tactical de-escalation |
| | | to <i>their</i> behavior [8] | techniques? |
| F. | Du | rpose of de-escalation [9] | techniques! |
| 1. | | Overall objective of any tactical situation | [9] Ask – What is the purpose of de- |
| | т. | a. Safely gain control | escalation? |
| | | b. Resolve the situation | |
| | 2 | | |
| | ۷. | Reverence for human life a. Public | |
| | | b. Suspects | |
| | | · · · | |
| | | c. Victims d. Officers | |
| | 3. | | |
| | э. | Increases the likelihood of safely and | |
| | | successfully resolving a situation | |
| | | a. If voluntary compliance is attained, safety | |
| | | for all parties' increases | |
| | | b. Can increase the amount of time the | |
| | ^ | officer has, to make good decisions | |
| | 4. | Managed response | |
| | | a. Use technique and tactics to reduce | |
| | | conflict [20.II.D] | |
| | | b. Or avoid the escalation of a conflict | |

| LD 20 – Use of For | ce |
|---|---|
| (2) If you move a suspect or ask | |
| them to move, tell your | |
| partner first | |
| 2) Cover officer responsibilities | |
| a) Security and awareness | |
| (1) Maintain constant | |
| observation of the overall | |
| situation | |
| (2) Be aware of potential | |
| dangers | |
| (3) Protect the searching officer | |
| from possible interference by | |
| onlookers or associates of | [11] Show video case study – Traffic Stop |
| the subject | Non-example |
| b) Assist the contact officer | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DbBF |
| (1) Restrain the suspect if | aWzMHAQ) |
| necessary | Note: Use of Force occurs at 4:00 in video |
| (2) Take possession of any found | |
| weapons or contraband | Debrief questions: |
| c) Radio broadcasts | • What elements of de-escalation did you |
| Multiple suspect responsibilities | observe in this video? |
| a) Contact officer | • What did the officers do well? |
| (1) Order all suspects to a | • What could they improve? |
| position of disadvantage | What techniques did they use to |
| (2) Move suspects back one at a | reduce the intensity of the incident? |
| time away from the other | Why were these strategies successful |
| suspects | or unsuccessful? |
| (3) Search suspects one at a time | • With hindsight being 20/20, what other |
| b) Cover officer | strategies do you think you could have |
| (1) Radio broadcasting | attempted to de-escalate this scenario? |
| (2) Request additional units if | Why would we try to reduce the |
| needed | intensity of an incident like this one? |
| (3) Keep eyes on the additional | • What role does the suspect's behavior |
| suspects | play in our ability to de-escalate? |
| 2. Assessment [20.II.B.3] | Did the suspect display any pre-fight |
| a. Continuously reassess as new | indicators? What were they? |
| information is received [20.II.C.5] | What plan did the officers make? |
| b. The situation may change at any time | Who was contact and who was cover? |
| c. Adjust your plan as necessary based on | Did they stay in these roles? Why or |
| your assessment | why not? |
| d. Assess the suspect's behavior | When is it unsafe to attempt de- |
| 1) Visual search | escalation? |
| a) Not a search in the legal sense of | What would you have done differently |
| the term | if you were in their shoes? |
| b) Does not require reasonable | What are some of the reasons you |
| suspicion or probable cause | - |
| | think that officers might be reluctant to de-escalate? |
| | |

| LD 20 – Use of For | • |
|---|---|
| | |
| - | |
| awareness | |
| d) Areas to be aware of | |
| (1) The suspect's clothing | |
| (2) The location of the subject's | |
| hands | |
| (3) The proximity of the subject's | |
| obvious or potential weapons | |
| (4) Any additional subjects | |
| (5) Failing to comply with orders | |
| 2) Pre-fight indicators | |
| a) Discernable pre-fight indicators | |
| (1) Closing the distance | [12] Show video case study – Police Break |
| (2) Clenched fists | Window with Kids Inside Car |
| (3) Tightened jaw/clenched | (http://www.dailymail.co.uk/video/news/vi |
| teeth | deo-1125543/Too-far-Police-break-car- |
| (4) Use of expletives | window-taser-passenger.html) |
| b) Subtle pre-fight indicators | window taser passenger.ntmi |
| (1) The adrenaline effect | |
| (2) Erratic head turning | Debrief questions: |
| | - |
| (3) Avoiding eye contact | What elements of de-escalation did you |
| (4) Single words/verbal | observe in this video? |
| compression | • What did the officers do well? |
| (5) Blading their stance | What could they improve? |
| (6) Unwarranted smile | What techniques did they use to |
| (7) Eye widening | reduce the intensity of the incident? |
| (8) Splaying of arms | Why were these strategies successful |
| (9) Dropping of chin | or unsuccessful? |
| (10)The pause | • With hindsight being 20/20, what other |
| e. Attempt to assess the motive for the lack | strategies do you think you could have |
| of compliance | attempted to de-escalate this scenario? |
| Is it a deliberate attempt to resist? | Why would we try to reduce the |
| 2) Is the suspect attempting to escape? | intensity of an incident like this one? |
| 3) Is it an inability to comply due to | - |
| present conditions? | What role does the suspect's behavior play in our ability to do assalate? |
| a) Environmental | play in our ability to de-escalate? |
| b) Physical | Did the suspect display any pre-fight |
| c) Cognitive | indicators? What were they? |
| d) Other conditions that could limit | What plan did the officers make? |
| the suspect's ability | Who was contact and who was cover? |
| f. Assess the location | Did they stay in these roles? Why or |
| 1) Awareness, observation, and | why not? |
| | • When is it unsafe to attempt de- |
| judgement are key factors to a | escalation? |
| thorough assessment of the scene | • What would you have done differently |
| 2) Environmental hazards | if you were in their shoes? |
| a) Vehicular or pedestrian traffic | , |
| b) Makeshift weapons nearby | |

Session 32 – Tactical De-escalation Techniques

| LD 20 – Use of For | |
|---|---|
| c) Falling dangers d) Poor lighting 3) Accessibility a) Ingress b) Egress 4) Pets 5) Available cover and concealment 6) Lookouts 7) Escape routes g. Assess victim/s and/or witnesses 1) Evaluate their behavior 2) Listen to what they say 3) Do not assume you know the story just because of the type of radio call 4) Look for visible injuries or obvious | What are some of the reasons you think that officers might be reluctant to de-escalate? |
| signs of violence 5) Observe the behavior of bystanders, especially in public a) Running b) Screaming c) Or do they seem unconcerned h. Assess your resources | [13] Show video case study – Calm Maine State Trooper (<u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GXY2</u> filmfr7Y) |
| What do you have What do you need Adjust your plan accordingly Time | Debrief questions: What elements of de-escalation did you observe in this video? What did the officers do well? |
| a. Essential element of de-escalation b. Allows officers the opportunity to communicate with the suspect c. Allows officers the opportunity to refine tactical plans d. Allows officers the opportunity to call for additional resources e. Provides an opportunity for the suspect to reconsider his actions and decisions f. Distance plus cover equals time Distance Use as much distance as is reasonable based on the totality of the circumstances Type of radio call | What did the officers do well? What could they improve? What techniques did they use to reduce the intensity of the incident? Why were these strategies successful or unsuccessful? With hindsight being 20/20, what other strategies do you think you could have attempted to de-escalate this scenario? Why would we try to reduce the intensity of an incident like this one? What role does the suspect's behavior play in our ability to de-escalate? Did the suspect display any pre-fight indicators? What were they? What plan did the officers make? |
| (2) Every location presents different available distance (a) Sidewalk (b) Parking lot (c) Backyard (d) Studio apartment | Who was contact and who was cover? Did they stay in these roles? Why or why not? When is it unsafe to attempt de- escalation? |

| | LD 20 – Use of For | ce |
|--------------------------|---|--|
| b) c) | LD 20 – Use of For (3) Weapons involved (4) Suspect actions (5) Witness or victim statements Something to be aware of, but not always feasible When initiating contact, the availability of distance should be a consideration in when and where to constant the support | What would you have done differently if you were in their shoes? What are some of the reasons you think that officers might be reluctant to de-escalate? |
| d) 2) Cov a) b) | where to contact the suspect If a suspect's behavior escalates, it may be necessary to create more distance er Cover refers to a physical barrier that could stop a bullet Allows officers to increase their personal safety Concealment is not necessarily | [14] Show video case study – Patience with Drunk Man (<u>https://youtu.be/Om6L_q4oe74)</u> |
| | cover (1) Keeps the officer out of sight (2) But still vulnerable to a bullet (3) May still be effective as a tactical strategy and a component of de-escalation | Debrief questions: What are your initial thoughts and impressions of this incident? Do you like how the officer responded? Why or why not? |
| duri a) b) | ance management strategies ng a physical altercation Whoever controls the distance controls the damage Creating distance (1) Use proper stances and patterns of movement (a) Do not turn your back on the suspect (b) Do not cross your feet (c) Maintain base and balance whenever possible (2) Stay outside of the effective striking range of the suspect (a) Double arm distance minimum (b) More distance is desirable, if feasible (3) Utilize a force option to create the necessary distance (a) Strikes and kicks (b) Impact weapons | Were his actions reasonable? Why or why not? What do you think he did well? What could he have done better? Do you think he used use good tactics? Why or why not? Do you think he was safe? Why or why not? What can you discern about this officer's ego based on this encounter? Why do you think he was so confident? If the suspect had attacked him and hurt him would we be critiquing this incident any differently? Is it dangerous for us to teach officers this type of behavior? Why or why not? What do we mean when we say that we want you to be competent and confident? Based on what you see in the video, do you think this officer is competent to defend himself and others if the need arises? Why or why not? |

| LD 20 – Use of For | |
|---|--|
| (c) Push off and use patterns | What actions make you feel that he |
| of movement | was confident and competent? |
| c) Closing distance | • Why is it so important to have both |
| (1) Based on the suspect's | confidence and competence? |
| actions and behavior | What are the dangers of confidence |
| (2) It may not be possible to | without competence? |
| create distance | How can becoming competent help |
| (3) Should be used when the | |
| suspect's actions place | your confidence? |
| officers within their effective | |
| striking range | |
| (4) When creating distance is not | |
| feasible | |
| (a) Based on the | |
| environment | |
| (b) The nature of the initial | |
| contact | |
| (c) The number of suspects | |
| (d) Size, stature, and speed | |
| of the suspect | |
| (e) Type of aggression the | |
| suspect uses | |
| (5) Examples of closing the | |
| distance | |
| (a) Clinch control when a | |
| suspect attacks with | |
| punches | |
| (b) Sprawl and take the back | |
| when a suspect attempts | |
| to tackle an officer | |
| (c) Body lock control from | |
| various positions on the | |
| bottom of a ground fight | |
| (d) Team takedown of an | |
| unarmed, combative | |
| suspect | |
| (e) Overtaking and catching | |
| a fleeing suspect | |
| 4. Redeployment and or containment | |
| a. Can afford officers the added benefit of | |
| time and distance | |
| 1) Reassess | |
| 2) Communicate | |
| 3) Request additional resources | |
| 4) Deploy other tactics | |
| b. May reduce the likelihood of injury to | |
| both the public and officers | |
| · | |

Session 32 – Tactical De-escalation Techniques

| | | | LD 20 – Use of Force |
|---|----|------|---|
| | | c. | May mitigate any potential ongoing |
| | | | threats |
| | | d. | Redeployment should not enable a |
| | | | subject to gain a tactical advantage, arm |
| | | | himself/herself, or flee and pose a |
| | | | greater danger to the public or officers |
| I | 5. | Oth | ner resources |
| | - | | Added personnel |
| | | | 1) Additional unit request |
| | | | 2) Backup |
| | | | 3) Help call |
| | | | 4) Air unit |
| | | | 5) Supervisor request |
| | | b. | Tools |
| | | υ. | |
| | | | 1) Beanbag shotgun |
| | | | 2) Taser |
| | | | 3) Breaching tools |
| | | | 4) Patrol rifle |
| | _ | | 5) Slug shotgun |
| 6 | 6. | Line | es of communication [20.II.E] |
| | | a. | , |
| | | | requires ongoing communication |
| | | b. | Radio communication |
| | | | 1) Request initial information from RTO |
| | | | [20.II.C.1] |
| | | | 2) Request additional resources if |
| | | | necessary |
| | | | 3) Go code 6 |
| | | | 4) Request for additional units, backup, |
| | | | help, or a supervisor |
| | | | 5) Using a tac channel to disseminate |
| | | | plans or communicate with other |
| | | | officers on scene is an option |
| | | c. | Officer to officer communication |
| | | | 1) Form tactical plans |
| | | | 2) Disseminate information |
| | | | 3) Keeps officers well organized, |
| | | | professional, and working as a team |
| | | | a) May influence suspect not to flee |
| | | | b) May influence suspect not to |
| | | | fight |
| | | | 4) May slow down the incident, creating |
| | | | time to plan |
| | | | 5) Responding with a coordinated |
| | | | approach is not possible without |
| | | | good officer to officer |
| | | | communication |
| | | | communication |

Session 32 – Tactical De-escalation Techniques

| | | LD 20 – Use of For | <u>ce</u> |
|---------|--------|--|---|
| | 6) | This also includes communicating | |
| | | with the supervisor on scene and the | |
| | | incident commander, if one is | |
| | | designated | |
| 0 | d. Su | bject/suspect communication | |
| | 1) | Active listening | |
| | 2) | Verbal warnings | |
| | 3) | Persuasion | |
| | 4) | Defusing | |
| | 5) | Empathy | |
| | 6) | Redirecting | |
| | 7) | Advisements | |
| | 8) | Building rapport | |
| | | Asking open ended questions | |
| | |) Giving clear and direct orders | |
| e | | ctim/witness communication | |
| | | Can provide accurate and up to the | |
| | , | minute information | |
| | 2) | Use the same communication skills as | |
| | , | when talking to a suspect | |
| | 3) | Do not assume they will tell you | |
| | , | everything | |
| | 4) | | |
| | , | build a rapport and demonstrate | |
| | | empathy | |
| | 5) | "Just the facts, ma'am" is not the | |
| | -, | best approach | |
| | 6) | | |
| | - / | the problem and officer's may need | |
| | | to use communication skills to | |
| | | control the situation | |
| H. De-e | scalat | ion is not always a viable option [15] | [15] Repeat: Using force does not mean |
| | | al situations vary greatly | that tactical de-escalations have failed or |
| | | is no single solution to resolving every | were not used. In many circumstances, |
| | ncide | | using decisive force quickly is the most |
| | | are situations that cannot be de- | effective technique to reduce the intensity |
| | | ted, however, using force does not | of the encounter and mitigate the need for |
| | | atically signify that the situation was | a higher level of force. |
| | | escalated | |
| | | me situations require an immediate | |
| | | sponse | |
| | | Using force does not mean that | |
| | -/ | tactical de-escalations have failed or | |
| | | were not used | |
| | 2) | In many circumstances, using | |
| | -/ | decisive force quickly is the most | |
| | | effective technique to reduce the | |
| | | | |

Session 32 – Tactical De-escalation Techniques

| | | LD 20 – Use of F | Jice |
|----|------------------|---|------|
| | | intensity of the encounter and | |
| | | mitigate the need for a higher level o | f |
| | | force. | |
| | | b. De-escalation techniques may not be | |
| | | viable or effective | |
| | 4. | The safety of all parties involved is of the | |
| | highest priority | | |
| | | a. Officers should never sacrifice their | |
| | | safety | |
| | | The suspect's actions and other | |
| | | environmental factors must be | |
| | | considered when utilizing de-escalation | |
| | | strategies | |
| | | c. De-escalation strategies should guide | |
| | | officers' actions when those strategies | |
| | | will increase the officers' safety | |
| ١. | . Re | asons why officers may be reluctant to de- | |
| | ese | calate | |
| | 1. | Ego | |
| | | a. Peer pressure to prove yourself | |
| | | b. Feeling of needing to show toughness | |
| | | c. Shows weakness to de-escalate | |
| | | d. Desire to test your abilities | |
| | 2. | Past police culture | |
| | | Suspects who run get beat | |
| | | b. Street justice | |
| | | c. Bias towards using force | |
| | 3. | Physiological responses | |
| | | a. Adrenaline rush | |
| | | b. Overwhelmed emotionally by the | |
| | | intensity of the situation | |
| | | c. Lack of managed, mature, competent | |
| | | critical thinking | |
| | | d. Tunnel vision | |
| | 4. | Fear | |
| | | a. That not using force may result in injury | |
| | | to officers | |
| | | b. Of condemnation from co-workers if you | |
| | | choose not to use force | |
| | | c. Of losing a tactical advantage by allowing | |
| | | time | |
| | | d. Of being ridiculed for not using force | |
| | | | |