

From: Madeline Seales <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 8:29 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Maddie Seales

From: Danielle Carne <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 8:15 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the

City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Danielle Carne

From: Danielle Carne <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 8:16 AM

To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org

Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community

stakeholders” during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, “kettling” people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, “His death is on their hands as much as those officers.”

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded “listening sessions,” which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the “SAFE LA Mobilization.” On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to “community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020.” In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks’ experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two “listening sessions” totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks’ experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Danielle Carne

From: Julia Cole <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 8:20 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Julia Cole

Eagle Rock, Los Angeles 90041

From: Julia Cole <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 8:22 AM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a

person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Julia Cole

Eagle Rock, Los Angeles 90041

From: Colette Sandstedt <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 8:23 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open

attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

From: Sam Wohl <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 8:32 AM
To: Police Commission
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Sam Wohl

From: Madeline Seales <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 8:35 AM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the

invitation is extended to “community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020.” In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks’ experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two “listening sessions” totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks’ experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Maddie Seales

From: Asher Guthertz <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 9:23 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming “listening sessions” to get input from the public regarding the LAPD’s response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for “vibrant input” from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD’s own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more

likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

Signed,

Asher Guthertz

From: ashley brim <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 9:48 AM
To: Police Commission
Subject: LAPC Written Public Comment: 2/2/21

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

I understand that the Commission is going to host "listening sessions" to get public input regarding LAPD's response to the George Floyd Protests that happened over the Summer. While in theory this sounds great - I attended protests and witnessed the LAPD escalate situations and respond with violence to non-violent protesters and am interested in seeing the department held accountable for that - your approach leads me to believe this isn't something you are taking seriously for several reasons

1) Accessibility - You provided the public with less than a week's notice of these sessions and you are only offering 2 sessions for 1 hour each. Given these restrictions, how many people do you expect to hear from? You know that tens of thousands of Angelenos participated in the uprisings and many of us experienced violence at the hands of LAPD, does 2 hours really feel like an appropriate amount of time to set aside to hear from that many people?

2) Funding - While this is being referred to as an "independent assessment", it is being funded by \$350k from the LA Police Foundation, at the request of LAPD. It is ridiculous to call this an "independent investigation" when it was requested by LAPD and is funded by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to the department to support their militarization and unregulated surveillance operations.

These "listening sessions" are bullshit.

Similarly, limiting public comment to 45 minutes at your weekly meeting when you are aware of how many folks have queued up to speak is disrespectful to the public you are charged with serving. Every Angeleno who wants to speak should have the opportunity to speak during public comment.

Signed,

Ashley Brim

--

Ashley Paige Brim // she/her/hers
Co-Producer / HOMELAND
Director / An Act of Terror
Fox Directing Lab 2018-19
HALF Initiative Directing Fellow 2017
YES on J!

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fyesonj.reimagine.la%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Ce5fb4cbd2463483a834c08d8c6d98a50%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C637477984918412884%7CUnknown%7CTWfpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sda>

ta=5JCblJM9zM3%2FoKvH0eIPrhGQR0a3XuzkyBzLdmnPH30%3D&reserved=0>

C: +1 [REDACTED]

ashleypaigebrim@gmail.com <mailto:ashleypaigebrim@gmail.com>

ashleypaigebrim.com

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fashleypaigebrimriddle.com%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Ce5fb4cbd2463483a834c08d8c6d98a50%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637477984918422841%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Iik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=CGtK3yKCP2PeHiH9D6wTjNwLGFbZ2MeWLSyGq1rfvs%3D&reserved=0>

From: Betty J White <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 9:58 AM

To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org

Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Betty J.

From: Betty J White <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 9:59 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Betty J

School Psychologist

From: Yvonne Marialaki <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:00 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: ike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa;

Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Yvonne M.

From: Yvonne Marialaki <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:04 AM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Yvonne M.

From: Mike Wodkowski <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:08 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening

sessions” to get input from the public regarding the LAPD’s response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for “vibrant input” from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD’s own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week’s notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This “independent assessment” is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an “independent investigation” that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. Nothing in this suggests true independence.

You also need to extend the public comment period at your meetings to beyond forty-five minutes. As inconvenient as this may seem, we need to remember the whats at stake here, and remember that those asking to be heard are either representatives of so many given little voice elsewhere in the civic sphere, or those people themselves. Compassion needs to be your priority.

Thank you,

Michael Wodkowski

Los Angeles

From: Elizabeth Bates <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:17 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa;

Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/21

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Elizabeth, 90034

far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Phoebe Neidhardt

From: Elizabeth Bates <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:19 AM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: LAPC Fails; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by

police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Elizabeth, LA- 90034

--

From: SHERRY VARON <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:20 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This

“independent assessment” is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an “independent investigation” that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These “listening sessions” are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Sherry Varon

From: Lizabeth Belli <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:22 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming “listening sessions” to get input from the public regarding the LAPD’s response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for “vibrant input” from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD’s own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week’s notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those

restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Lizabeth Belli

Lizabeth Belli (she/her)

[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>
([REDACTED])
#CareFirst
#CareNotCops

From: Harrison Weinfeld <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:22 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Fails Lapc; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

I write to ask that you uphold your duty to represent the voice and vision of the people of Los Angeles to hold the LAPD to account.

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Harrison Weinfeld

From: Zach Sherwin <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:23 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, you announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Zach Sherwin

From: Zach Sherwin <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:24 AM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: LAPC Fails; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds,

“kettling” people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, “His death is on their hands as much as those officers.”

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded “listening sessions,” which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the “SAFE LA Mobilization.” On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to “community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020.” In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks’ experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two “listening sessions” totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks’ experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Zach Sherwin

From: Alex Cave <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:24 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming “listening

sessions” to get input from the public regarding the LAPD’s response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for “vibrant input” from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD’s own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week’s notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This “independent assessment” is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an “independent investigation” that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These “listening sessions” are a sham.

They also put all of the individuals who were affected by their violence and illegality at risk of being exposed to their abusers, and most will likely not attend due to fear of retaliation against the police.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Alex Cave

From: Kara Morgan <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:24 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;

mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation for \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long. We need the opportunity to share our concerns and thoughts. For a city of 4 million people, that requires more than 45 minutes a week.

Signed,
Kara Morgan

From: Molly Talcott <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:24 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has failed to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

As a professor at Cal State LA, I am in classes and zoom meetings throughout the day, and students I know who care about police accountability are juggling school, work, and child care. They and we cannot possibly rearrange our schedules with such little notice, thus rendering your gesture toward "listening" hollow and ineffective.

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the

City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of potentially millions of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Dr. Molly Talcott

From: Kara Morgan <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:24 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation for \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long. We need the opportunity to share our concerns and thoughts. For a city of 4 million people, that requires more than 45 minutes a week.

Signed,
Kara Morgan

From: Lizabeth Belli <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:24 AM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening"

sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,
Lizabeth Belli

Lizabeth Belli (she/her)

[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>
([REDACTED])

From: Harrison Weinfeld <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:24 AM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: Fails Lapc; Police Commission
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Harrison Weinfeld

From: SHERRY VARON <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:25 AM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

:

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of

which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Sherry Varon

From: Andy Arena <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:25 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those

restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Andy Arena

From: Alex Cave <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:26 AM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org; lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; Mayor Garcetti
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Alex Cave

From: Camille Sacristan <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:28 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPC Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions. Furthermore, as those who are sharing their experiences over the summer with LAPD already had overwhelmingly negative experiences, how will you make this accessible to them sharing their stories?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to

forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Camille Sacristan

LA County Resident

From: Alexandra Kowalick-Allen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:28 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

I am a resident of LA County and am concerned about the way LAPD is holding their "listening sessions" because they have not been designed to be accessible to most Angelenos and they do not provide an opportunity to engage and ask questions anonymously, when many people fear that their abusers (LAPD) will likely retaliate against them.

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Alex Kowalick-Allen

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:29 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open

attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Mylene Carberry

From: Alexandra Kowalick-Allen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:33 AM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George

Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Alex Kowalick-Allen

From: Annica S <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:36 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Comment - public comment for meeting Feb 1st 2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

LA Commissioners: The options for listening sessions you gave are inaccessible due to the late notice we received. 2 one-hour listening sessions for a city of 4 million people is not going to allow you to get a reasonable sample of input from the community.

Also your "independent assessment" of LAPD is obviously biased. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of our neighborhoods, particularly neighborhoods where people of color predominately live.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are

silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Annica Stitch

From: Camille Sacristan <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:48 AM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

Over the summer I attended a Black Lives Matter-led protest in the fairfax district, which ended in complete chaos instigated by LAPD. There was no reason for the LAPD to escalate violence to the point that officers were shooting rubber bullets at us and hitting folks with batons, keeping us from getting back to our homes and transportation. The group of people I was with had to walk three miles to my apartment instead of going back to my partner's home, where everyone had parked their cars, which was located three blocks away. We were lucky in that we had these options; so many folks were stranded and had to figure out other modes of transportation to get to safety (during a pandemic, no less). Or they were flat out arrested. The justification that I heard was "rioting", "destruction of property", and other reasons in this vein, but property can be rebuilt. There is absolutely no justification for enacting violence on civilians during a protest, and the fact that LAPD continues to justify their violent actions leaves me no trust in this LAPD assessment project.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Camille Sacristan
LA County Resident

From: Marc Jablon <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:53 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith. Shocker!

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions? Again, not surprising...

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD

for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham. Again...not at all surprising.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Thanks??

Marc Jablon

C: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>

IMDB

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fpro.imdb.com%2Fname%2Fnm2186136%3Fs%3D46bab691-6f1f-02c8-44c0-06a0a1215cad%26site_preference%3Dnormal&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C593e8668665b4767187408d8c6e2ab08%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478024119741679%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoimC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1hawwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=nbSGBNhboc4Y1Ji1KC10eby7U5m%2FhPcKQaDr2swqG4w%3D&reserved=0>

ACTORS ACCESS

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fresumes.actorsaccess.com%2Fmarcjablon&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C593e8668665b4767187408d8c6e2ab08%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478024119741679%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoimC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1hawwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=v%2BQW%2Bgo567Yuw%2FK14UDwHh06tShxj0CZaKzUmdppsnY%3D&reserved=0>>

IG: mdjabber

From: Marc Jablon <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 10:53 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith. Shocker!

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions? Again, not surprising...

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham. Again...not at all surprising.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Thanks??

Marc Jablon

C: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>

IMDB

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fpro.imdb.com%2Fname%2Fnm2186136%3Fs%3D46bab691-6f1f-02c8-44c0-06a0a1215cad%26site_preference%3Dnormal&data=04%7C01%7Cn3025%40lapd.online%7C593e8668665b4767187408d8c6e2ab08%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478024119394885%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Iik1hawiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=AOYan%2F8zUyR1v%2Bkwa85S0iHPI9AFrThvVaVzdmUYyQ%3D&reserved=0>

ACTORS ACCESS

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fresumes.actorsaccess.com%2Fmarcjablon&data=04%7C01%7Cn3025%40lapd.online%7C593e8668665b4767187408d8c6e2ab08%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478024119404845%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Iik1hawiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=9c491F%2BVg8AQwIVio%2FBz8JFm6AGTRokiGU5yON%2F6VFI%3D&reserved=0>>

IG: mdjabber

From: meital yaniv <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:00 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming “listening sessions” to get input from the public regarding the LAPD’s response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for “vibrant input” from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Meital Yaniv

--

meitalyaniv.com

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fmeitalyaniv.com%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cn3025%40lapd.online%7C044ba1458640483b8dd308d8c6e38e03%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478027947986647%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6IkJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=tkisCnRaI%2FvWYtsgokzQ%2BwFU2RHMqn0CY8UokButLG0%3D&reserved=0>>

pronouns: they/them/theirs

From: Bethany Heykoop <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:00 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Dale Bonner;

ethics.commission@lacity.org; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com;
lou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org;
mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff;
Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/21

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming “listening sessions” to get input from the public regarding the LAPD’s response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for “vibrant input” from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD’s own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week’s notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This “independent assessment” is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an “independent investigation” that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These “listening sessions” are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Bethany

From: meital yaniv <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:01 AM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be

considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Meital Yaniv

--

meitalyaniv.com

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fmeitalyaniv.com%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C28c30f772ef7490a09a708d8c6e3c3e3%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478028867205361%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ikl1hawwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=80SH7tioqtQzxWnUjhLi218zPa6x27DbcbmbHv70DqQ%3D&reserved=0>>

pronouns: they/them/theirs

From: Amy Eicher <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:02 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming “listening sessions” to get input from the public regarding the LAPD’s response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for “vibrant input” from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD’s own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week’s notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This “independent assessment” is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an “independent investigation” that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These “listening sessions” are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Amy Eicher | she/her/hers

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fmedium.com%2Fgend-er-inclusivit%2Fwhy-i-put-pronouns-on-my-email-signature-and-linkedin-profile-and-you-should-too-d3dc942c8743&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cc07cb955a5cc46da0ba708d8c6e3ef16%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C637478029566655082%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6IkhawwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=9Y2giISjuV4aPLzM5VgDH%2FBhK01bX%2FweDdw9V%2FLqoo%3D&reserved=0>>

[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>
[REDACTED]

From: Dawy Rkasnuam <dawy.rk@protonmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:05 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham, and the people of Los Angeles deserve better.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are

silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Dawy Rkasnuam

From: Kara Morgan <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:09 AM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Kara Morgan

From: Michele Wetteland <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:14 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPCFails; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely for our community to engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice and offering only two one-hour listening sessions. These sessions are only fifteen minutes longer than the weekly public comment allowed at current commission meetings. How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions? These "listening sessions" are a sham.

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past.

Finally, how many LAPD officers must die from and become infected with COVID19 before this commission or LAPD Chief Moore are held accountable for a failure of implementation of public safety protocols and requirements of the entire LAPD force? LAPD is not even serving and protecting their own during the pandemic; how can they be entrusted to protect Angelenos? On Saturday, January 30, 2021, LAPD was unable to control public health risk "anti-vaxers" who were endangering the lives of our most vulnerable awaiting their COVID19 vaccinations. LAPD officers allowed life-threatening unmasked screaming radicals to get near cars filled with people awaiting their vaccines and control the safe distribution of a federally authorized, state and city run vital public health service. This was a complete breakdown of protection for our public. This total and complete failure to protect our vulnerable

must fall directly at the feet of Chief Moore and this Commission. Adding to the risk and death of people throughout Los Angeles, is the opposite of "serving and protecting." LAPD has become its own super spreader task force.

Lastly, I also add that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long. Currently there is zero transparency as to who is in line to speak and how speakers are prompted to offer public comment. Actions towards speaker transparency of who is in line to speak should also become a regular part of LAPD Commission Meetings.

Signed,

Michele Wetteland
pronouns: she/her

From: kristen studard <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:16 AM
To: Police Commission
Subject: PUBLIC COMMENT 2/2/21

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Kristen Studard

From: Court Val <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:21 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening

sessions” to get input from the public regarding the LAPD’s response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for “vibrant input” from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD’s own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week’s notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This “independent assessment” is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an “independent investigation” that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These “listening sessions” are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Courtney Valentine

From: Jewell Karinen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:22 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti
Subject: Feb 2 2021 - Public Comment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage.

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. This was not announced far in advance, and is a tiny amount of time, especially given the topic you are soliciting feedback on.

How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, with two hours of "listening?"

The community spoke loud and clear when hundreds of thousands marched in the streets to protest police brutality and murder.

Additionally, there is an "independent assessment" being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD. The Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep this cost hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council.

So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Sincerely,
Jewell

From: Lex Ryan <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:23 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners,

I am again deeply disappointed that the Board of Police Commissioners continuously fails to listen to community members. We have asked that community outreach be announced far in advance (especially since every meeting or session you ever host is during work hours which makes it difficult or impossible for community members to attend). We have also asked that outreach be accessible, making it more likely that the community will engage.

The Commission failed to do this. We have been given less than a week's notice regarding the upcoming "listening sessions," and each session is only one hour long. This means that the vast majority of people will NOT be heard. The LAPD responded horrendously to the uprisings over the summer. The LAPD is historically violent and uninterested in actually taking care of the community even though their mandate is to "protect and serve." The voices of community members that are impacted by the actions of the police must be heard, and these sessions will not allow for that.

I also take issue with how research is being funded. The LA Police Foundation is funding the "independent assessment" with \$350k (at the request of LAPD), and the Board tried to keep this information hidden from the public by deferring approval of funding to the City Council. It is irresponsible and dishonest to conduct an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, and will be paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the brutalization and surveillance of Black, Brown, and poor neighborhoods now and in the past.

Additionally, I demand that the public comment period of the Commission meetings be longer than 45 minutes. You are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings and for how long. If you are being paid to serve the good of the community, then do your job. Listen to what the community is actually asking of you.

Signed,
Lex Ryan

--

Lex Ryan

 <mailto: >

www.lexryan.co

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.lexryan.co%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cdc326d21dd544efc33f308d8c6e6bab5%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478041568639950%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d>

8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=cJI5bFZ1odPAPct%2Fj5EihRE4wDJt5crylU7Jwt2rrLQ%3D&reserved=0>

pronouns: they/them

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.mypronouns.org%2Fthey-them&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cdc326d21dd544efc33f308d8c6e6bab5%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478041568639950%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=%2FuGNrSEd102YGZDe8CS1R0zynWxlGWD6SVFRoIErEqE%3D&reserved=0>

Black Lives Matter - Los Angeles

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.blmla.org%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cdc326d21dd544efc33f308d8c6e6bab5%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478041568649914%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=K3V5s8w45d4aniRATka00kCXPJntZ04DZMdkUHq7z90%3D&reserved=0>

White People For Black Lives

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.facebook.com%2Fwp4bl%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cdc326d21dd544efc33f308d8c6e6bab5%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478041568649914%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=Q1KiJ3BWGlqy98ceFzKIwW3TxParptv%2Fa2rLk58aq88%3D&reserved=0>

Showing Up for Racial Justice

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.showingupforracialjustice.org%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cdc326d21dd544efc33f308d8c6e6bab5%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478041568659868%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=%2BDDFikQK5QtiT1rPAprHlyQPMgSoT1hXfgSqki3WdAM%3D&reserved=0>

From: Jewell Karinen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:24 AM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; Mayor Garcetti
Subject: Comment on LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD

officers during these very protests. These “listening sessions” are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has “oversight” of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week’s notice about these seemingly critical “listening” sessions. Further, the “listening” sessions are being referenced by NPF as being “SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions,” though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD’s SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or “community stakeholders” during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, “kettling” people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, “His death is on their hands as much as those officers.”

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded “listening sessions,” which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the “SAFE LA Mobilization.” On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to “community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020.” In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks’ experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two “listening sessions” totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks’ experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,
Jewell Karinen

Business owner in Los Angeles, CA

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:27 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa;

Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore;
William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;
mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Phillip Kim

From: Stacy Greenberg <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:30 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.o
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed, Stacy

Stacy

From: Laura Adery <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:31 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" must be radically improved to ensure efficacy, as there is no time to waste.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. You are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Sincerely,

Laura Adery, Ph.D.

From: Liz Cackowski <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:34 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon,

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by

LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Liz Cackowski

From: Liz Cackowski <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:37 AM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by

LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Liz Cackowski

From: Annedell Walker <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:38 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore;

William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;
mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith. If the true goal of LAPD is to learn what the community thinks of its actions and responses to the police brutality protests, it would not choose its own body to review. This is no evidence that the police commission has any motivation to truly seek out failures, abuses and violent reactions that occurred over the summer.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions? It's obvious that the commission and LAPD intend to announce that they are opening themselves to public comment, purely to use as a shield later when they are faced with further complaints of misconduct and abuse. This is not a real chance for LAPD to learn and grow. If the LAPD truly intended to amend itself, it would allow a much longer period of comment and make it much more accessible, so citizens who were most impacted have a chance to speak.

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are

silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Annedell Walker

From: Kimberli Meyer <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:40 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners,

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Sincerely,

Kimberli Meyer

From: Kimberli Meyer <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:42 AM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community

stakeholders” during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, “kettling” people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, “His death is on their hands as much as those officers.”

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded “listening sessions,” which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the “SAFE LA Mobilization.” On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to “community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020.” In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks’ experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two “listening sessions” totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks’ experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Sincerely,

Kimberli Meyer

From: Julia Haft-Candell <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:46 AM
To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming “listening sessions” to get input from the public regarding the LAPD’s response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for “vibrant input” from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD’s own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week’s notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This “independent assessment” is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an “independent investigation” that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These “listening sessions” are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Julia Haft-Candell
she.her.hers

From: Mayank Keshaviah <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:48 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions!

How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to 45 minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Disgustedly,

Mayank Keshaviah
[REDACTED]

Los Angeles, CA 90034

From: Mayank Keshaviah <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:52 AM

To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org

Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To Whom It May Concern:

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles, community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that, in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, to shooting from cop cars, to attacking people with batons, to firing tear gas into crowds, to "kettling" people engaged in protest and even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice,

and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020."

In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Disgustedly,

Mayank Keshaviah

From: Andrea <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:52 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment for 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those

restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Andrea Silenzi

Silver Lake, Los Angeles

From: Andrea <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:56 AM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: LAPC Fails; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Police Foundation,

I am sharing this to express my genuine concern about the upcoming listening sessions. They are an insult.

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Andrea Silenzi

Silver Lake, Los Angeles

From: Jacqueline Smith <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:00 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by

LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Jacqueline Smith

(Los Feliz)

From: Jacqueline Smith <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:04 PM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being

“SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions,” though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD’s SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or “community stakeholders” during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, “kettling” people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, “His death is on their hands as much as those officers.”

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded “listening sessions,” which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the “SAFE LA Mobilization.” On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to “community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020.” In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks’ experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two “listening sessions” totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks’ experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Jacqueline Smith

(Los Feliz)

From: Annie Brennen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:05 PM
To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. I am sure that even the most naive and incompetent among you could comprehend how obviously and blatantly this will ensure that no meaningful investigation is made.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to

forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long. I believe it is our taxes that pay your salary -- you work for us, and we have some things to say about your egregious performances.

Signed,

Annie Brennan

From: Mia Schulman <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:18 PM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a

person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,
Mia Schulman

--

Mia Schulman | Producer
she/her/hers

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.mypronouns.org%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C5bf36e5aab694127cb3b08d8c6ee8693%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478075051555743%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ikl1hauwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=IOUestJOKSTkMy3g%2FCuUgGwiLaenJ3X%2BbZ73ArGWUJw%3D&reserved=0>>

schulman.mia@gmail.com <mailto: [REDACTED]>

From: Mia Schulman < [REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:10 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org;

Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa;

Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore;

William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;

mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

If you do not want people to call you a corrupt politician, then stop acting like one.

Signed,
Mia Schulman

--

Mia Schulman | Producer
she/her/hers

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.mypronouns.org%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C9cc1280ca4fe4978fc1008d8c6ed7107%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478070419997020%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6IjE6IkhawwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdta=7nJoxWBTo8F%2BfwHtUpN%2Fvj6Nxz5DfwZtRFfsvo%2FgFJQ%3D&reserved=0>>

<mailto: [REDACTED] >
From: Lionel Mares < [REDACTED] >

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:20 PM
To: Police Commission
Subject: Los Angeles Police Commission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

My name is Lionel Mares. I would like to know if the Los Angeles Police Commission has a newsletter subscription? I would like to be informed of future meetings via Zoom (webinars) or in person. I would like to keep myself informed on Public Policy relating to but not limited to Policing. If you can please get in touch with me that would be awesome! Thank you again for your time and consideration.

Regards,

Lionel Mares

Sent from my iPhone

From: Sonia Giacomazzi <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:28 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that

community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions? Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Sonia Giacomazzi

From: Sonia Giacomazzi <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:29 PM

To: PFinfo@PoliceFoundation.org

Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the

contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totaling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,
Sonia Giacomazzi

From: Marc Jablon <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:34 PM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I can't BELIEVE I have to spend my day sending emails like this, but...since

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and

protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect. As usual, horrible job, people!

sessions” to get input from the public regarding the LAPD’s response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for “vibrant input” from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD’s own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week’s notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This “independent assessment” is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an “independent investigation” that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These “listening sessions” are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Cody Sloan

--

Cody Sloan

pronouns: he/him/his (what’s this?)

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fuwm.edu%2Ffgbtrc%2Fsupport%2Fgender-pronouns%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C2f5afb254d8c41abfd6308d8c6f0dd99%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478085102600285%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWlIjoIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=2o6eKHY6S1BAma2NBLIw8R14XLP1GHXFov05TcG1TUw%3D&reserved=0>>)

UCSD MFA Acting Candidate

www.codydsloan.com

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.codydsloan.com>

%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C2f5afb254d8c41abfd6308d8c6f0dd99%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478085102600285%7CUnknown%7CTWfpbGZsb3d8eyJWJjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=Z4k9AudMLSgbxPnVUutblZ8kZUiamwZn3g1J3hIRRLc%3D&reserved=0>

([REDACTED])

From: Erin Serfass <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:35 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. Although it seems like the Board is trying to get input from the community, we can see that this isn't the real intention.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by

LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also ask that you stop limiting the public comment period to forty five minutes. In doing this you are silencing the voice of LA residents.

Signed,

Erin Serfass

From: Cody Sloan <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:36 PM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how

they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Cody Sloan

--

Cody Sloan

pronouns: he/him/his (what's this?)

[https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fuwm.edu%2F1gbtrc%](https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fuwm.edu%2F1gbtrc%2F)

2Fsupport%2Fgender-pronouns%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cfaee47cc6e7427504b508d8c6f0f881%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478085559554382%7CUnknown%7CTWfPbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=1B7DkElVMoaATvm%2FckwASBMBlyO54omRfOV%2F9xjQci4%3D&reserved=0>)

UCSD MFA Acting Candidate

www.codydsloan.com

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.codydsloan.com%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cfaee47cc6e7427504b508d8c6f0f881%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478085559554382%7CUnknown%7CTWfPbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=7bVienYSXpsRPC92Bv7jxurNqPzmq9%2FYuvEckUCUakg%3D&reserved=0>

([REDACTED])

From: Erin Serfass <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:38 PM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my rejection of every aspect of this project.

Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being

“SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions,” though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD’s SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or “community stakeholders” during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, “kettling” people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, “His death is on their hands as much as those officers.”

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded “listening sessions,” which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the “SAFE LA Mobilization.” On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to “community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020.” In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks’ experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two “listening sessions” totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks’ experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Erin Serfass

From: Leah Zipperman <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:40 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore;

William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;
mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Leah Zipperman

From: Caroline Christ <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:41 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org;

Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa;
Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore;
William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;
mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello there,

I wanted to provide Public Comment for the meeting on 2/2/21. On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Thank you,

Caroline

--

Caroline Christ, M.Ed.

[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>

From: Tiana McKenna <tiana.mckenna@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:42 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD and paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor

neighborhoods presently and in the past. These “listening sessions” are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Sincerely,

Tiana McKenna

Los Angeles 90032

From: Meridith McNeill <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:44 PM

To: Police Commission

Subject: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org, mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org, ana.guerrero@lacity.org, n6220@lapd.online, ethics.commission@lacity.org, dale.bonner@lapd.online, n4964@lapd.online, n4967@lapd.online, lapcfails@gmail.com, lou@LegacyLA.org, n3025@lapd.online, 23506...

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming “listening sessions” to get input from the public regarding the LAPD’s violent response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer.

While these sessions are positioned as outreach for “vibrant input” from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD’s own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week’s notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions? How many of our working citizens and family members have the luxury of noticing these last minute sessions and getting time off of work?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So, now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods throughout our city both presently and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long. Those who are able to make it to your last minute, barely publicized meetings must be heard.

I'd also like to take a moment, while I have you, to remind you that Chief Moore should resign as soon as possible. He has failed our city.

Signed,

Meridith McNeill

[REDACTED]
<mailto:[REDACTED]>

From: Chris Riddle <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:44 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

It's lip service and political theatre like this that has eroded the public trust in this commission. A commission that was intended to be the public's voice in matters of policing in LA. Time and again the commission has taken little to no action on the public input they have already received. Why should the public expect more "listening sessions" paid for by LAPD to make any more of a difference? It's an insult to the people you are supposed to represent. I demand action, not more studies and input.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Chris Riddle

[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>

From: Meridith McNeill <meridithmcneill@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:45 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's violent response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer.

While these sessions are positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions? How many of our working citizens and family members have the luxury of noticing these last minute sessions and getting time off of work?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So, now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods throughout our city both presently and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long. Those who are able to make it to your last minute, barely publicized meetings must be heard.

I'd also like to take a moment, while I have you, to remind you that Chief Moore should resign as soon as possible. He has failed our city.

Signed,

Meridith McNeill

[REDACTED]
<mailto:[REDACTED]>

From: Tiana McKenna <tiana.mckenna@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:45 PM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and

protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles, community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Sincerely,

Tiana McKenna

Los Angeles 90032

From: Margaret Starbuck <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 12:55 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org;

Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa;
Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore;
William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;
mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Margaret

From: Chris Riddle <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 1:00 PM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; Mayor Garcetti
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the

community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

I'll tell you my story about abuse by the LAPD during the summer months. Several friends of mine were unlawfully detained while walking to their cars after a protest downtown. The police were unnecessarily rough and intimidating with them during the holding process, they were insulted and harassed at the station. They were told "You don't have the rights you think you have." They were held overnight, not charged, and released the next morning.

After their release the LAPD put their names and addresses up on the LAPD facebook page along with photos of their personal protective gear and other seized belongings to brag about how they had caught violent instigators. They then received days of death threats and other online harassment from LAPD officers and supporters. They endured all this just for exercising their lawful rights to assembly, and free speech. It's unconscionable that a police force in the United States would think this kind of naked abuse of power is acceptable. And now you think you can make up for it with a couple hours of "listening sessions" that will change nothing. Shame on all of you.

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Chris Riddle

[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>

From: Yvonne Eadon <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 1:02 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org;

Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa;
Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore;
William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;
mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment for the 2/2/2021 LA Police Commissioners Meeting

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners,

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two “listening sessions,” convened in order to get input from the public regarding the LAPD’s response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests that happened over the summer. While characterized as reaching out to get “vibrant input” from community members, it is obvious that the purpose of the meetings is not actually to listen but rather to create a space in which community members can feel as though they are being heard.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD’s own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach efforts, in order to be effective, must be scheduled as far in advance as possible, and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has failed on these points. Giving less than a week’s notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions, does not give the community enough time to show up. How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given these hindrances?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This “independent assessment” is being funded by the LA Police Foundation, paying \$350K, at the request of LAPD. The Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep this hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an “independent investigation” that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These “listening sessions” are a sham, and we demand more from you.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Yvonne M. Eadon

--

Yvonne M. Eadon, MLIS
Information Studies Doctoral Candidate
UCLA
She/ her

From: Yvonne Eadon <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 1:08 PM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

Thank you for taking the time to read this email. I am writing, as a longtime Angeleno (90034), to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were continually harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. The proposed "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members, and I demand something more, that does honor our voices.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening"

sessions--how are we supposed to plan around our lives less than a week in advance? It is evident that this is a further attempt to silence.

Furthermore, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. There are numerous videos and eyewitness accounts attesting to that fact. One that sticks out in my memory is LAPD holding protesters in cages in the parking lot of Jackie Robinson Stadium, for hours, not letting them go to the bathroom or contact anyone. This is incredible inhumane treatment.

Police shot rubber bullets and projectiles (that are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, from cop cars, attacked people with batons, fired tear gas into crowds, "kettled" people engaged in protest, even shot rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair. LAPD was merciless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers." He can never take back this disgusting statement.

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and their experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect. Do better.

Signed,

Yvonne M. Eadon

--

Yvonne M. Eadon, MLIS
Information Studies Doctoral Candidate
UCLA

She/ her

From: Liz Sommer <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 1:10 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham. Do better!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to 45 minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Elizabeth Sommer

From: Liz Sommer <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 1:15 PM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that their experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totaling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to people's experiences, and I reject it on every level, in every aspect. Do better!

Signed,

Elizabeth Sommer

From: Belle <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 2:07 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon,

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced that they would be holding two "listening sessions" to gather community comments on the LAPD's response to the protests in the summer of 2020.

The listening sessions were not announced with enough time or with enough variety of format for community members in which the issue concerns to be made aware of them. Additionally, the sessions are only an hour long which does not give ample time for our community to truly be represented and heard.

With these sessions being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council, we can be sure that this "independent investigation" requested by the LAPD and paid for by an organization who has donated millions to the LAPD, is not in service of its community's true needs. Ergo, we cannot accept these listening

sessions as an earnest attempt to hear us.

Further, I demand that public comment at your meetings is not limited to forty-five minutes. Despite your opposition, your limiting of who can speak and for how long is suppressing our community's ability to have any influence.

Our demands:

- * Do not limit public comment at your meetings to forty-five minutes
- * Reject the "listening sessions"

Melinda Adams

[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>

From: Sarah Bowers <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 2:12 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; Mayor Garcetti; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org
Subject: Board of Police Commissioners: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

* "Listening Sessions." You've recently announced "listening sessions" for Angelenos to voice their concerns, comments, and frustrations about LAPD's response to Summer 2020's mass uprising and George Floyd protests.

I would say thank you, but everytime someone says thank you I feel like you disregard the rest of their comments and the remaining hundreds of constructive feedback comments, so I'm not going to say thank you. Community members (and even LAPD's own research contractors) have made it very clear that such efforts to reach out to the community need to be announced far in advance and to be accessible in a number of formats. Instead, you made this announcement less than a week in advance and offer only two one-hour sessions.

Secondly, I'm tired of voicing my frustrations and my opinions to you because you heed none of it. In fact, you actively do the opposite of what the

majority of the public asks you to do. Your efforts to appear involved and caring amount to nothing when your actions prove you don't really care what people are saying and you don't really strive for holding LAPD accountable at all.

Lastly, why in the world is the Los Angeles Police Foundation paying for this research? And why did you try to keep this hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council? How is the Los Angeles Police Foundation going to provide any kind of "independent" research or investigation when they're the ones donating hundreds of thousands of dollars to LAPD?

No. I don't want to participate in these listening sessions that obviously just another one of your political ploys you implement to cover for the fact that you haven't changed anything since this past summer's uprisings.

* Public Comment. If you really want to hear from the public, then stop limiting the public comment period to be only 45 minutes long.

Signed,
Sarah Bowers

From: Belle <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 2:16 PM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon,

I'm writing to express my opposition to this project on every level. The listening sessions are not an earnest forum for the Los Angeles community to be heard.

It was initiated by the LAPD, funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation, announced with too short of notice for community members to be involved, and the sessions themselves do not allow ample time for our communities to express their opinions.

There must be a better way to extend a hand to the people, but this is not it.

Thank you.

Melinda Adams

[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>

From: Audrey Georg <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 2:27 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; City of Los Angeles
Subject: My Public Comment regarding the commissioning of an investigation by the National Police Foundation for Police Commission meeting on 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to be conducted by the National Police Foundation (NPF) to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two, one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council - even though Moore commissioned this investigation by NPF in July 2020. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham and are more of the same bad idea proposed over and over again by LAPD and the PC - cops

investigating the malfeasance and criminal behavior of other cops.

Further, the "lessons learned" from the NPF's investigation of the Christopher Dorner pursuit was that it resulted in the development of a Palantir application for the Joint Regional Intelligence Center (JRIC) called ClueMan, that enabled data sharing between regional law enforcement through the now discredited and banned Palantir tools. They used the "lessons" to make Palantir data gathering and analysis and data sharing between agencies faster, less restricted, more responsive.

It is further noted that the only other investigation NPF has done of direct police violence NPF has done was about Ferguson, so its lack of qualifications for this project are blatantly clear.

I am additionally opposing this because the NPF's own website states that among the tactics it employs when conducting investigations is to monitor the websites and social media of activist organizations who protested police violence during the summer of 2020. This is being done for the sole purpose of criminalizing those organizations and their members. I say this within the context of how the Chief of Detectives, Deputy Chief Kris E. Pitcher has been coordinating an investigative task force for criminal prosecutions for crimes of violence and property that have occurred since during the Safe LA Mobilization with his goal being to ensure successful prosecution - not of the out of control police officers, but of demonstrators and community activists.

For all the reasons above, I demand you call of the NPF investigation.

Signed,

Audrey Georg

"If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor." Desmond Tutu

From: nina waisman <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 2:30 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Thank you,
Nina Waisman

From: Audrey Georg <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 2:31 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;

mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; City of Los Angeles

Subject: My Public Comment regarding the Chief's reported statistics on an increase of violent crime for Police Commission meeting on 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

I ask whether the PC and the Chief have investigated how much of the violent crime that LAPD is using to try to drum up opposition to budget cuts can be directly tied to the guns put on the street by LAPD cops and staff who were illegally trafficking them. It is noteworthy that Chief Moore NEVER mentions this crime or indicated that any officers were ever held accountable.

Signed,

Audrey Georg

"If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor." Desmond Tutu

From: Michelle King <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 2:32 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: public comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming “listening sessions” to get input from the public regarding the LAPD’s response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for “vibrant input” from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD’s own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week’s notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This “independent assessment” is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an “independent investigation” that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. Complete conflict of interest. These “listening sessions” are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Michelle King

From: Savannah Caraway <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 2:36 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;

mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 02/02/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Savannah Caraway

From: Audrey Georg <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 2:43 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; City of Los Angeles
Subject: My Public Comment regarding the silencing of community voices, for Police Commission meeting on 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

I prioritize my role as an activist and being one of thousands of people who want to voice opinions during Police Commission meetings. I'd also want to be able to participate in Tuesday morning Board of Supervisors meetings and/or City Council meetings. You are depriving me of these important opportunities to ensure that city and county officials and the LAPD and LAPC are held accountable to the communities they serve. You have never provided the public with any credible reason why you cannot choose to meeting at another day and time. This is entirely within your power to accomplish!

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long. LA is a city with a population of about 4 million people and your 45-minute rule effectively allows 15 people to speak. Shame on you! We know that even you are aware that this is totally inadequate and a civil rights violation.

Moreover, you proclaiming that the option to send emails is any kind of substitution for public comment given at meeting is ludicrous and insulting to my intelligence. We at least know that commissioners can hear us at meetings (even if they tune us out) and other community members can also note what we say. We can all educate each other, which does not happen with the email option at all.

Signed,

Audrey Georg

"If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the

oppressor.” Desmond Tutu

From: Amanda Hillsberg <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 2:50 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming “listening sessions” to get input from the public regarding the LAPD’s response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for “vibrant input” from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD’s own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week’s notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This “independent assessment” is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an “independent investigation” that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These “listening sessions” are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Amanda Hillsberg

From: nina waisman <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 2:51 PM

To: PFinfo@PoliceFoundation.org

Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,
Nina Waisman

From: Catherine Safley <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 3:00 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get Angelenos' input regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests that took place across Los Angeles during the summer of 2020. While construed as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is obviously not the true intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that this kind of community outreach must be announced well in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that people in the community will engage, the Commission has refused to do so, giving only a few day's notice, and providing only two one-hour listening sessions! Such unreasonable restrictions inevitably shut out the bulk of the community from participation, revealing these sessions to be little more than an empty placating gesture.

Additionally, the source of funding for the research is suspect. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, per LAPD's request, a fact that the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from the public by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD to fund the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods both presently and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

Also, I once again demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who is able to speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,
Catherine Safley

From: Charlotte Soestini <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 3:02 PM
To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions.

How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council.

So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the

surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Charlotte

From: Charlotte Soestini <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 3:07 PM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation.

The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions.

Further, the “listening” sessions are being referenced by NPF as being “SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions,” though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD’s SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or “community stakeholders” during the summer protests.

In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds (which is banned for use in warfare
<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.snopes.com%2Ffact-check%2Ftear-gas-banned-warfare%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Ce4c5e06c9e574da1b7d008d8c706277e%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478176552454529%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWlIjoIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ikl1hawiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=j2kjzfkM4Is0EM9pQ4kr1P6jALCFFeh42u5Eh7eKvo8%3D&reserved=0>) , “kettling” people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless.

As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, “His death is on their hands as much as those officers.”

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded “listening sessions,” which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the “SAFE LA Mobilization.” On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to “community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020.”

In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Charlotte

From: Catherine Safley <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 3:28 PM
To: PFinfo@PoliceFoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my complete and wholehearted rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. The community rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd by MPD officer Derrick Chauvin, as well as in outrage and protest over the epidemic of brutality, displacement, and murder by police against the people of Los Angeles, and LAPD officers responded by harassing and assaulting community members during these very protests. These "listening sessions" cannot be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice regarding these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is unclear if and how they

are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. There is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that gives someone who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past any reason to believe that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, bludgeoning people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentlessly vicious. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers." NO. No it is not.

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of through police-associated organizations, with only 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who surely were aware of, engaged in, and/or impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can two "listening sessions" totaling 120 minutes are nowhere near sufficient to express and engage with the depth and breath of people's experiences.

This project is a cruel insult to our communities and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,
Catherine Safley

From: Claire Gordon <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 3:47 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org;

Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa;
Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore;
William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;
mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith. I have been to these meetings and there is no true engagement with what residents are saying to you.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Claire Gordon

From: Kevin King <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 3:55 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. Complete conflict of interest. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

A concerned citizen

Kevin King

From: Natalie Paniccia <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 4:06 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org;

Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa;

Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore;

William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;

mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Natalie Paniccia

From: Cait Cibulsky <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 4:08 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming “listening sessions” to get input from the public regarding the LAPD’s response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for “vibrant input” from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD’s own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week’s notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions? How can the Commission do right by the people it claims to serve?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This “independent assessment” is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners

attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham. Wow. What a crock. What a load of dishonest nonsense.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long. It's absolutely absurd. You must listen to the community. And to the elected officials involved--take the time to listen to your constituents.

I implore all of you to stand on the right side of history.

Signed,

Cait Cibulsky

From: Cait Cibulsky <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 4:17 PM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; Mayor Garcetti
Subject: LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Allow me to cut to the chase: I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of this project, its premise, its funding, and its presentation. Though the community indeed rose up in the hundreds of thousands following the murder of George Floyd, and in outrage and protest over the many people that have been brutalized, displaced, and killed by police here in Los Angeles; community members were harrassed and assaulted by LAPD officers during these very protests. These "listening sessions" are not a forum that can be trusted to honor the experiences and voices of those community members.

This project by the National Police Foundation was initiated by LAPD, and is contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation. The LA Board of Police Commissioners has "oversight" of this project, and has so far given community members less than a week's notice about these seemingly critical "listening" sessions. Further, the "listening" sessions are being referenced by NPF as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions," though it is not clear if and how they are related to LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which was established by LAPD to investigate and prosecute Angelenos identified by police and/or "community stakeholders" during the summer protests. In short, there is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that would assure a person who has been harmed and/or harassed by police in the past, that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

The community showed up this summer in the hundreds of thousands, and LAPD was brutal in its response. From shooting rubber bullets and projectiles (that in some cases, are designed to be fired at the ground) directly at community members, shooting from cop cars, attacking people with batons, firing tear gas into crowds, "kettling" people engaged in protest, even shooting rubber bullets at and injuring a person in a wheelchair, LAPD was relentless. As the city expressed its pain, Chief Moore ordered mass arrests, and on June 1st, after arresting nearly 700 people the evening prior, Moore stated at a press conference that regarding those protesting the death of George Floyd, "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

In short: it's gonna be a no from me, dawg.

Signed,

Cait Cibulsky

From: Cecily Breaux <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 4:24 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor

neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Cecily Breaux

Cecily Breaux

[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>
<http://cecilybreaux.com>
<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fcecilybreaux.com%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cf1e1ba8590e54308fd1908d8c710d856%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478222452122835%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ikk1hawwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=hwJN59wGHVLKhYcKfbXyr3KJNZPM4b1HsdV5LFPWiuE%3D&reserved=0>>

From: Jason Reedy <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 4:29 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Police Commissioners,

Why was there such a late announcement in regards to the two upcoming "listening sessions" aimed at getting input from the community in regards to the LAPD's atrocious response to non-violent protests that happend over a two week period

following the MURDER of George Floyd. Wouldn't it be of utmost importance to mention it in the last meeting especially considering the members of the community who take time off of work and their daily lives to call in to your commission meeting?

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offered only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions? If we use your math, if everyone is allocated 3 minutes to speak, you're giving 20 people for each session the opportunity to speak? Just in case you forgot over 3,000 people were arrested over that time including myself.

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham. We still need to know where this money comes from. Since the LAPD is a public agency, whatever comes into a public agency should be disclosed. The LAPF continues to be a mysterious "non-profit" that seems to fund any toy the LAPD wants to use to continue its war on Black and brown people in this city.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Jason Reedy

From: Neil Wade <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 4:30 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Friday's announcement by the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" supposedly to get public input about the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests this summer and the LAPD's response. It's clear this is not actually intended to be the stated outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, and is not in good faith.

The Commission has failed to give sufficient advance notice to facilitate and make these sessions accessible to the public, with less than 7 days' notice and offering 2 separate sessions amounting to a total of 2 hours for public comment. With knowledge of past meetings and sessions this is NOT enough time for community members to prepare their statements, much less for enough people to participate and give their statements.

Furthermore, this "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham, an insult and an unjust disrespect to the public you claim to serve.

Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, these "listening sessions" and sham investigations are your group's continued silencing of the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long. I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes.

Signed,

Neil A. Wade

Los Angeles Resident and Concerned Taxpayer

From: Pamela Guerra <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 4:34 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/2021

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

On Friday, the Board of Police Commissioners announced two upcoming "listening sessions" to get input from the public regarding the LAPD's response to the mass uprisings and George Floyd Protests occurring over the summer. While positioned as outreach for "vibrant input" from community members, this is clearly not the intention, and is not in good faith.

Though community members (and even some of LAPD's own previous research contractors!) have made it clear that any such community outreach must be announced far in advance and be accessible in a number of formats to make it easier and more likely that community will engage, the Commission has FAILED to do this, giving less than a week's notice, and offering only two one-hour listening sessions! How many people can the Commission truly hope to reach and hear from, given those restrictions?

Additionally, there is the matter of who is paying for the research. This "independent assessment" is being funded by the Los Angeles Police Foundation to the tune of \$350K, at the request of LAPD, something the Board of Police Commissioners attempted to keep hidden from public view by deferring approval of this \$350k to the City Council. So now we have an "independent investigation" that was requested by LAPD, paid for by the same foundation that has donated millions of dollars to LAPD for the surveillance, occupation, and brutalization of Black, brown, and poor neighborhoods presently, and in the past. These "listening sessions" are a sham.

I also demand that you stop limiting the public comment period at your meetings to forty-five minutes. Despite your weekly protestations to the contrary, you are silencing the voices of Angelenos by limiting who can speak at your meetings, and for how long.

Signed,

Pamela Guerra

--

Pamela Guerra

[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>

From: Matyos Kidane <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 4:35 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mayor.garcetti@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment 2/2/21

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Last Friday the commission announced that they would be holding two listening sessions to hear the public's input to LAPD'S response to the George Floyd Protests. The minimal notice given regarding the announcement of these sessions and the lack of community leadership presence shows the performative nature of these sessions. It is clear that the commission doesn't actually seek to receive the public's input regarding the LAPD's repulsive treatment of protesters.

I also demand that the commission reveal who is donating to the LAPF. What is the monetary value of the equipment they donate?

Additionally, please stop limiting public comment to 45 minutes. Callers have to compete with racist and insensitive remarks in order to have their voice heard in what is already an obscenely limited amount of time.

From: Tiff Guerra <tiffanytguerra@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 4:42 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; ana.guerrero@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti
Subject: public comment Feb 2nd 2021 meeting

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open

attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Commissioners,

For public comment this week, a number of things -

1) Is we demand an explanation regarding why the \$350K grant from LAPF to fund the research with the National Police Foundation was not on a Police Commission agenda. How often do these items get left off agendas? Why and how does that happen? At your discretion? What the fuck kind of process is that?

2) LAPD has used Palantir since about 2009, and I doubt the commission know anything at all about how it is used, or what the possible harm to community members could be. I advise you learn a little about it because it is a major part of Data-Driven policing, and we will be demanding more information about how it is used, what is the impact, and how the continual gathering of data on community members that are majority Black, brown, and poor can be justified in terms of community safety, and in terms of funding. Here's an article that came out this week.

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Ftheintercept.com%2F2021%2F01%2F30%2Flapd-palantir-data-driven-policing%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C04cade567eb44cae280c08d8c71362dd%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637478233376708393%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6IklhaWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=CKYvokr665xhN2b5s3M2%2BRwJJJoH U7PKhvF75cduaTo%3D&reserved=0>> LAPD should not be using this program in LA communities, and it needs to end.

3) Your continual shut-out of the public for public comment and the limiting to 45 minutes per meeting for public comment should be re-examined. The community knows more than you do on these matters. Open up public comment, and allow people to comment AFTER presentations, not before.

4) Defund the police and quit feeding Moore questions that will allow him to complain about how little funding the LAPD has, and how bare-bones the budget is. We don't want more funding for police. We want police defunded, dismantled. Fund community programs, services, and organizations DIRECTLY instead (yes, that means not by paying police to do those things!)

Defund the Police,

--

T Guerra
they/them/theirs

[REDACTED]

From: Sarah Bowers <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 4:51 PM
To: PFinfo@policefoundation.org
Cc: lapcfails@gmail.com; Police Commission; Mayor Garcetti
Subject: No to LAPD Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear National Police Foundation:

I'm writing to express my complete and total rejection of the LAPD Assessment and "listening sessions."

We do not trust a "listening session" forum held by the very same LAPD who harassed and assaulted those protesting the murder of George Floyd and the murder and brutality of others that have taken place over the years. I'm not going to trust those very same LAPD officers to honor my experiences, let alone trust them to be held accountable for the crimes they've committed against the Los Angeles community.

Furthermore, how can we expect this assessment to be "independent" and truthful when it was initiated by LAPD, contracted by the Los Angeles Police Foundation, and "overseen" by the LA Board of Police Commissioners - who never hold LAPD accountable. They also announced these "listening sessions" less than a week in advance.

Additionally, your organization is listing these "listening sessions" as being "SAFE LA Virtual Community Listening Sessions." This sounds to me like it's part of LAPD's SAFE LA Task Force and Tip Line, which is used by LAPD to prosecute LA citizens during the summer protests. Why would I trust them to care about my experiences? There is nothing in the contracting, oversight, funding, or project description that assures Angelenos that their comments and feedback will be treated with security or even with good intention.

Not only that, but police foundations everywhere have consistently denounced those protesting the wrongful murder of George Floyd and have rallied everywhere to increase police funding. Police foundations spent millions of dollars to support District Attorney Jackie Lacey, who refused to prosecute or charge any police officers for the deaths of over 600 people. Why in the world do you think we will trust the LA Police Foundation and your foundation's involvement in these "listening assessments"?

Angelenos did obviously show up in protests this summer. LAPD responded with

shooting rubber bullets and projectiles directly at community members. They shot from cop cars. They attacked people with batons. They fired tear gas -with when used internationally is classified as a war crime- and pushed people out of their wheelchairs. They used "unlawful assemblies" to prevent people from rightfully demanding justice and accountability and then beat up people when they weren't able to leave the area in time. One of my friends lives in downtown LA. When he looked out his window to see what was happening outside, a police officer shot at his window.

To top it all off, Chief Moore said the following about those protesting the death of George Floyd: "His death is on their hands as much as those officers."

The LAPD has committed harm against community members that cannot even begin to be considered in two 1-hour, police-foundation-funded "listening sessions," which the community is informed of via police-associated organizations, with 6 days notice, and in some cases referencing the "SAFE LA Mobilization." On the NPF website, the invitation is extended to "community members, business owners, first responders, and others who attended, observed, responded to, or were impacted by the protests between May 27, 2020 and June 7, 2020." In a city of 4 million people, countless of which have been profiled, criminalized, and terrorized by police in their lifetime and who undoubtedly were aware of, engaged in, and/or were impacted by the George Floyd Protests, how can there be any expectation that folks' experiences can be adequately captured or expressed in two "listening sessions" totalling 120 minutes?

This is an absolute insult to community members and to folks' experiences, and we reject it on every level, in every aspect.

Signed,

Sarah Bowers