# ABRIDGED SUMMARY OF CATEGORICAL USE OF FORCE INCIDENT AND FINDINGS BY THE LOS ANGELES BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS

# OFFICER-INVOLVED ANIMAL SHOOTING 084-08

<u>Division</u>	Date	Duty-On(x) Off( )	Uniform-Yes(x) No()	
77 <sup>th</sup> Street	09/10/2008			
Involved Officer(s)		Length of S	Length of Service	
Officer A		5 years, 9 months		
Reason for	Police Contact			
Officer enco	untered a dog while r	esponding to a radio call.		
Subject(s)	Deceased ()	Wounded (x)	Non-Hit ( )	
German She	epherd			

## **Board of Police Commissioners' Review**

This is a brief summary designed only to enumerate salient points regarding this Categorical Use of Force incident and does not reflect the entirety of the extensive investigation by the Los Angeles Police Department (Department) or the deliberations by the Board of Police Commissioners (BOPC). In evaluating this matter, the BOPC considered the following: the complete Force Investigation Division investigation (including all of the transcribed statements of witnesses, pertinent suspect criminal history, and addenda items); the relevant Training Evaluation and Management System materials of the involved officers; the Use of Force Review Board recommendations; the report and recommendations of the BOPC of Police; and the report and recommendations of the Inspector General. The Los Angeles Police Department Command Staff presented the matter to the Commission and made itself available for any inquiries by the Commission.

Because state law prohibits divulging the identity of police officers in public reports, the masculine pronouns (he, his, and him) will be used in this report in situations where the referent could in actuality be either male or female.

The following incident was adjudicated by the BOPC on August 11, 2009.

## **Incident Summary**

On September 10, 2008, Officers A and B responded to an unknown trouble call at a residence. The officers arrived and observed Witnesses A, B, and C standing outside the residence. Witness A and B were engaged in an argument as C stood nearby. The officers entered the property through an open gate to conduct an investigation. As the officers approached the witnesses, a German shepherd dog appeared. Officer A recalled the dog growled and snarled at him and proceeded in his direction. Officer A yelled for Witness C to grab control of the animal, but she could not reach the dog in time, and when she told the dog to stop it would not. Officer A felt the situation could escalate to the use of deadly force, so he unholstered his firearm and stepped back. The dog lunged forward and barked so Officer A fired one round from his firearm in order to protect himself from serious bodily injury or death. The dog was struck in the chest by Officer A's round and fell to the ground.

The Department of Animal Services personnel recovered and euthanized the dog.

# Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners' Findings

The BOPC reviews each Categorical Use of Force incident based upon the totality of the circumstances, namely all of the facts, evidence, statements and all other pertinent material relating to the particular incident. In every case, the BOPC makes specific findings in three areas: Tactics of the involved officer(s); Drawing/Exhibiting/Holstering of a weapon by any involved officer(s); and the Use of Force by any involved officer(s). All incidents are evaluated to identify areas where involved officers can benefit from a tactical debriefing to improve their response to future tactical situations. This is an effort to ensure that all officers benefit from the critical analysis that is applied to each incident as it is reviewed by various levels within the Department and by the BOPC. Based on the BOPC's review of the instant case, the BOPC unanimously made the following findings.

## A. Tactics

The BOPC found Officers A and B's tactics to warrant a tactical debrief.

# B. Drawing/Exhibiting/Holstering

The BOPC found Officer A's drawing and exhibition of a firearm to be in policy.

#### C. Use of Force

The BOPC found Officer A's use of force to be in policy.

## **Basis for Findings**

## A. Tactics

The evaluation of tactics requires that consideration be given to the fact that officers are forced to make split-second decisions under very stressful and dynamic circumstances. Tactics are conceptual and intended to be flexible and incident specific.

Therefore, a Tactical Debrief is the appropriate mechanism for the significantly involved personnel to evaluate the events and actions that took place during this incident. Although no tactical considerations were identified, the officers will benefit from the opportunity to review the incident.

The BOPC will direct that Officers A and B attend a Tactical Debrief.

# B. Drawing/Exhibiting/Holstering

In this situation, the officers arrived at the location in response to a radio call. As the officers attempted to resolve the domestic dispute, a dog unexpectedly charged toward Officer A. It was reasonable for Officer A to believe that the attacking dog presented a threat of serious bodily injury and that the situation had escalated to the point that lethal force was necessary to defend himself.

Therefore, the BOPC found that Officer A's drawing and exhibition to be in policy.

## C. Use of Force

In this situation, Officer A was attacked by a large dog. Due to the dog's attack, it was reasonable for Officer A to believe that the dog presented a threat of serious bodily injury and that the situation had escalated to the point that lethal force was necessary to defend himself.

Therefore, due to Officer A's reasonable belief that he was about to be attacked by the dog and that he may suffer serious bodily injury, the BOPC found Officer A's use of force to be in policy.