

From: Jennifer Maldonado Tooley <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 9:33 AM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.lector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD

historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to “solve crimes” in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as “Laser Zones.” We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD “smart policing initiative” which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Jennifer Tooley

From: J.Stephen Brantley <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 9:49 AM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;

councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;  
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;  
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;  
councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation

LASER, a murderous LAPD “smart policing initiative” which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

J.Stephen Brantley

From: Jayme Kusyk <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 9:49 AM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week’s agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve “Conflict of Interest” Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the

role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Jayme Kusyk

From: Marianne Drummond <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 10:13 AM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 “Strategies for Policing Innovation” Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other “smart policing” grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of “smart policing” and “data-driven policing,” and the lie of “reducing crime.” In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to “solve crimes” in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as “Laser Zones.” We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD “smart policing initiative” which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Marianne Drummond

From: Magan Wiles <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 10:13 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org;

councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org;  
paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;  
contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;  
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;  
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;  
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;  
councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest



people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

--

magan (she/her)

From: Shane Whitaker <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 10:22 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venerable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

I'm a resident and voter in Los Angeles County. As always, there are thousands in LA

Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Celebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Shane Whitaker

From: M W <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 10:32 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; Councilmember Rodriguez; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Mitch O'Farrell; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, thousands of LA Police Foundation donations are on this week's agenda. July 19, 2022, lists over \$100,000.00 in gifts to the LAPD. How will you address any potential conflict of interest? Will there be any questions or pushback on donations to make evident the role of this body concerning seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations, wealthy corporations, and individuals? How will your actions or inactions validate the role of the LAPD commission? Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Celebrite computer and subscription surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible. Still, we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor, and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the country's most violent, lawless police force.

I am writing to say that we see you. Do you see yourselves? Are you okay with your enabling this secret society?

Additionally, this week's agenda is an extension of the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of

Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces. How much longer will this body be complicit in these atrocities?

We already see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety when police and policing have zero data to support keeping people safe. Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER. This policing program sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, which resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this tyrannical project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands.

More Angelenos daily realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing as an institution meant to contain and control particular populations. Fundamental public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment. Members of the communities most affected by police are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Michele Wetteland  
Pronouns: she/her

From: Harrison Weinfeld <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 10:33 AM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J.

Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance

state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Harrison Weinfeld

Sent from my iPhone

From: Carter Moon <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 10:34 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Carter Moon

From: Brittney Pollock <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 10:38 AM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. This is unacceptable! Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police



affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 “Strategies for Policing Innovation” Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other “smart policing” grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of “smart policing” and “data-driven policing,” and the lie of “reducing crime.” In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to “solve crimes” in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as “Laser Zones.” We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD “smart policing initiative” which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

--

Brittney Pollock

they/them

From: Caitlin Krenz <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 10:42 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org;

Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org;

Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; contactcd4@lacity.org;

councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org;

councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org;

councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;  
councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner;  
ericgarcetti@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com;  
jpeltz@knock.la; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org;  
mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org;  
Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J.  
Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com;  
wjbriggs@venable.com  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners,

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve

crimes” in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as “Laser Zones.” We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD “smart policing initiative” which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos every day realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Caitlin Krenz

From: Kirsten Caplan <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 10:46 AM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

I am emailing this to you, and as always, pray it is not in vain.

I am a suburban white Mom from Sherman Oaks and a former school teacher. Young people and children in Los Angeles put their lives and TRUST in this governance body. Citizens of Los Angeles assume that the Civilian Commissioners are the OVERSIGHT of the LAPD; its budget, its policies and its practices. When I read about this governance body in the newspaper- I assume, this body has the average, every day people in Los Angeles in its heart and mind when making decisions. My expectation is that this body holds the POLICE accountable. Is this naive and untrue? Does this body actually work FOR the LAPD? for Police Associations?

It has come to my attention that this week's agenda covers donations and monies issued to the LAPD BEYOND its 8Million dollars a day budget.

LA Police Foundation receives donations over \$100K! within the consent agenda on this week's agenda.

Where is the OVERSIGHT on these donations?

Where are they from? What are they for? Why are they NEEDED? Why is this Commission caving to money? caving to status quo? Is this body not powerful enough for oversight? What is your true function?

7/18/2022 AGENDA

There is a donation funding surveillance technology used to gather information from LA citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the "LA Police Foundation." Isn't it important to make potential conflicts of interest visible? Isn't that oversight? Am I to assume this donation is from companies that PROFIT from policing?? I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech UNLESS there is FULL DISCLOSURE of where this is coming from! and WHY?

On the agenda is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant.

\* This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and "reducing crime."

\* In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces. If you feel this statement is incorrect, then please show the data this body is NOT supporting PROFILING!!! What the LAPD calls PREVENTING crime- I call PROFILING.

- \* The data does not support LAPD practices of spying and preying on poor populations. The work, supported by this grant, is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER.
- \* LASER's policing program was a DISASTER!! We do not need MORE!
- \* LASER sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones."
- \* We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through the current practices and policies of the LAPD. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, PLEASE remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Thank you,  
Kirsten Caplan  
91411

Los Angeles citizen since 1971

From: Christina C. <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 10:58 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Christina C

From: Sarah Bowers <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 11:08 AM  
To: CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Police Commission; CD10@lacity.org  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; Mayor Garcetti; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; wjbriggs@venable.com  
Subject: BOPC Public Comment: 7/19/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Commissioners and City Council Members:

As a citizen of Los Angeles District 10 (changed address) I'm writing to submit public comments to the LA Police Commission meeting. I'm also cc-ing my city council member since the commission continuously fails to hold LAPD accountable.

Agenda Items 3B-3E

The LA Police Foundation, a corrupt "foundation" that protects police from any accountability, is again giving tens of thousands of dollars to LAPD. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. It is yet another piece of evidence that this commission is the exact opposite of "oversight."

Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested

interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

#### Agenda Item 3A

This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). More "smart policing" programs to justify targeting poor, Black, and Brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces. Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime."

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

#### General Public Comment: Murder of Marvin Cua

The shooting of Marvin Cua was totally unneeded and senseless. Footage shows that again, LAPD lied. It does not show Cua turning back and pointing a gun at LAPD officers. LAPD created the crisis in the first place by trying to apprehend Cua by driving alongside him instead of creating as de-escalatory situation as possible in approaching him. They created their own crisis and then get excused for killing someone. As many cops and pro-gun-rights advocates believe, carrying a gun is not a crime. The video shows Cua did not point his gun at the officers.

#### General Public Comment: Jaxson Hayes Brutality

LAPD again committed unnecessary brutality against another LA resident, Jaxson Hayes. Why were cops not allowing Jaxson in his home and searching his home without a warrant? This again shows that cops practice unconstitutional authority and then abuse anyone who points this out to them. While I feel relieved that the review committee found Officer Holst's brutal knee placement on Hays's throat to be



unjustified, what are you as the oversight commission going to do about it? Give another slap on the wrist and allow Holst to continue brutalizing other citizens? It is equally ridiculous that the review board found Duarte's use of the taser directly on Hayes' chest - an act that has killed people before and is highly discouraged - to be justified.

General Public Comment: Public Comment Limitations

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

General Public Comment: LAPC Meeting Time

Why are these meetings held at the same time as City Council and Board of Supervisor meetings? Can we please change the meeting time so more people can participate in the meetings?

Sincerely,  
Sarah Bowers

From: Cody Sloan <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 11:12 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C,

listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Cody Sloan

--

Cody Sloan

Pronouns: he/him/his (what's this?)

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fuwm.edu%2F1gbtrc%2Fsupport%2Fgender-pronouns%2F&data=05%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Ceb98a6bfe49444ef06fc08da68e9069f%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637937647319225458%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=6J7SideRhShXnLpVnAV%2Fb7TwX3wWigwMHTDZAfA1ilw%3D&reserved=0>> )

From: Erin Ashby <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 11:18 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; contactcd4@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; jpeltz@knock.la; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com; wjbriggs@venable.com

Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but

we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Erin Ashby

From: Ken Barnard <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 11:21 AM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker;  
ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff;  
lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J.  
Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;  
james.queally@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; ericgarcetti@gmail.com;  
Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org;  
councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org;  
paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;  
contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;  
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;  
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;  
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;  
councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD

historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to “solve crimes” in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as “Laser Zones.” We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD “smart policing initiative” which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

- Ken Barnard

From: Dawy Rkasnuam <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 11:23 AM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Dawy Rkasnuam

From: Meg Connor <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 11:24 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.lector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer



of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to “solve crimes” in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as “Laser Zones.” We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD “smart policing initiative” which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Meg Connor

From: Ellie Abrams <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 11:32 AM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,  
Ellie Abrams

From: Jared Dyer <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 11:39 AM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners,

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 “Strategies for Policing Innovation” Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other “smart policing” grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of “smart policing” and “data-driven policing,” and the lie of “reducing crime.” In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to “solve crimes” in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as “Laser Zones.” We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD “smart policing initiative” which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Sincerely,

Jared Dyer

From: Jessi Jones <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 11:50 AM  
To: Dale Bonner; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org;

Sandra Figueroa-Villa; William J. Briggs, II; Eileen Decker; Steve Soboroff; Richard Tefank; tips@laist.com; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; wjbriggs@venable.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; lou@legacyla.org; Police Commission; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; councilmember.price@lacity.org; kevin.rector@latimes.com; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to “solve crimes” in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as “Laser Zones.” We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD “smart policing initiative” which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Jessi Jones

90004

From: Jack MacCarthy <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 12:10 PM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; contactcd4@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; jpeltz@knock.la; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com; wjbriggs@venable.com  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Jack MacCarthy

From: Rachel O'Brien <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 1:24 PM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.



Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 “Strategies for Policing Innovation” Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other “smart policing” grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of “smart policing” and “data-driven policing,” and the lie of “reducing crime.” In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to “solve crimes” in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as “Laser Zones.” We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD “smart policing initiative” which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Rachel

From: Greg Irwin <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 1:33 PM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com;

Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org;  
councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org;  
paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;  
contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;  
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;  
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;  
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;  
councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the

contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Gregory Irwin

From: Michelle King <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 1:50 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the

lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

In community,

Michelle King

From: Hiram Miles <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 1:51 PM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; commision@lapd.online; wbriggs@venable.com  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to “solve crimes” in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as “Laser Zones.” We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD “smart policing initiative” which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Hiram Cormier Jr

From: Liz Sommer <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 1:52 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!)

within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Liz Sommer

LA Resident

From: Camille Sacristan <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 2:00 PM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/19/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that



we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 “Strategies for Policing Innovation” Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other “smart policing” grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of “smart policing” and “data-driven policing,” and the lie of “reducing crime.” In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to “solve crimes” in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as “Laser Zones.” We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD “smart policing initiative” which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,  
Camille Sacristan

From: Elizabeth Smit <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 2:15 PM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;

councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;  
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;  
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;  
councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,  
Elizabeth Smit

From: Paula Minor <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 2:35 PM  
To: Police Commission  
Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for 7-19-22

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

?

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: Paula Minor <[REDACTED]>  
Date: July 18, 2022 at 2:23:24 PM PDT  
To: Richard tefank <n3025@lapd.online>, commission@lapd.online  
Cc: wbriggs@venable.com  
Subject: Public Comment for 7-19-22

?The Commission must tell LAPD to stop killing and harming LA citizens. Having killed 3 people in one week and regularly harming and injuring suspects and protestors, LAPD displays a warrior mentality and continues to be the most harmful and murderous law enforcement agency in the nation. Where is de-escalation?

The commission must allow all those who have joined the zoom or called by phone, to speak. Limiting public comment to 45 minutes is arbitrary and self serving to the commission. The comments of the people must be heard. It appears those who claim to be "blue" ie supporters of lapd and anything they do, right or wrong, get to speak in large numbers. Those most harmed by police must be allowed as well. Extend the public comment time to include all those who zoom or call in.

Paula Minor, BLMLA

Sent from my iPad

From: Catherine Safley <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 3:16 PM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda this week. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation- particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff- the lack of discussion, questions, or pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body in seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, and listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription- surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities are behind these donations. We reject the expansion of surveillance and tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country. While you, the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs, obviously function as unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, know that we see you.

Also on this week's agenda is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

We already see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to “solve crimes” in the future. We have been here before and we reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when policing does not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas marked by LAPD as “Laser Zones.” We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD “smart policing initiative” which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent in framing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,  
Catherine Safley

From: Margaret Starbuck <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 3:46 PM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open

attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription: surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities for the most violent police force in the country and I wish that the commission would actually be the citizens voice in police affairs like you claim to be and do something to increase transparency of Police Foundation fundraising and purchases, and prevent any increase of surveillance technology which the LAPD has a long history of abusing and using to criminalize and intimidate civilians.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project which began in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as

public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing which is an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Margaret Starbuck

From: Dr. K <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 4:03 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized

communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only “citizens voice” in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 “Strategies for Policing Innovation” Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other “smart policing” grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of “smart policing” and “data-driven policing,” and the lie of “reducing crime.” In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to “solve crimes” in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as “Laser Zones.” We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD “smart policing initiative” which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Sincerely,

Dr. Karen Bowdre

From: Julia Keplinger <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 4:30 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker;



ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data

gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to “solve crimes” in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as “Laser Zones.” We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD “smart policing initiative” which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Julia Keplinger

From: Nick Gauger <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 4:39 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only "citizens voice" in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 "Strategies for Policing Innovation" Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other "smart policing" grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of "smart policing" and "data-driven policing," and the lie of "reducing crime." In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to "solve crimes" in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as "Laser Zones." We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD "smart policing initiative" which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Nick Gauger

From: Court Val <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 4:47 PM  
To: Police Commission  
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 7/18/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As always, there are thousands in LA Police Foundation donations (over \$100k!) within the consent agenda on this week's agenda. With a proven history of lying and obfuscation, particularly on the part of Steve "Conflict of Interest" Soboroff, the lack of discussion, questions, nor pushback on these many donations makes clear the role of this body with regard to seeking and acquiring unaccountable funding from private foundations and wealthy corporations and individuals. Included on item 3C, listed adjacent to donations for challenge coins and flags, is a donation funding a Cellebrite computer and subscription, surveillance tech used to gather info off of citizens' electronic devices. Who is actually funding this? The money comes from the LA Police Foundation to make potential conflicts of interest less visible, but we know that behind these donations are parties with a vested interest in expanding the reach of policing into Black, brown, poor and other intentionally marginalized

communities. I reject the expansion of surveillance/tech capabilities of the most violent police force in the country, and while you as the oversight-in-name-only “citizens voice” in police affairs are obviously in your positions to be unapologetic boosters and expansionists of LAPD power, I am writing this to say that we see you.

Additionally on the agenda this week is an extension on the 2018 “Strategies for Policing Innovation” Grant. This grant was awarded to LAPD in 2018 by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). Like other “smart policing” grants from the BJA to LAPD, this grant money is used to pay contractors and analysts to collect and analyze LAPD data on local communities, under the guise of “smart policing” and “data-driven policing,” and the lie of “reducing crime.” In reality, these grants use the veneer of science to justify targeting poor, Black, and brown communities that LAPD historically and currently profiles, criminalizes, incarcerates, and displaces.

Already, we see LAPD laying the groundwork to justify increased and constant data gathering in communities, under the premise that it will make it easier to “solve crimes” in the future. We reject this normalization of a police and surveillance state under the guise of safety, when police and policing do not keep people safe! Further, this work is being conducted by Justice and Security Strategies (JSS), the contractor responsible for Operation LASER, a policing program that sought to arrest people before they could supposedly commit a crime, and that resulted in police killings of people in areas LAPD deemed as “Laser Zones.” We demand an end to this project granted in 2018, the same year JSS recommended the expansion of Operation LASER, a murderous LAPD “smart policing initiative” which was ultimately shut down following community action and demands!

More Angelenos everyday realize the contradiction inherent to seeing policing as public safety. Public safety implies safety for everyone, something that can never be accomplished through policing, as an institution that is meant to contain and control particular populations. Real public safety is rooted in community and meeting the needs of all communities.

Finally, remove the limitations on public comment! Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Courtney Valentine

