

From: Ashley Gibbons <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 9:37 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

I vehemently oppose mass surveillance technology. No one in the community asks for it. This goes against the wishes and demands of the people of LA.

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace

“accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Ashley Gibb

From: michele dumont <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 9:55 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

If you were under surveillance by any agency either of the government or of any agency you would loudly protest the loss of your privacy and the lack of legitimacy of such surveillance. But you are doing the very same thing to our communities. That is why we strongly protest such surveillance by the LAPD. Not only that, the LAPD cannot be trusted with the information that is gathered in these ways. They have continually shown how irresponsible they are with information and even the lives of people in our communities.

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Dr. Michele Dumont

From: Maraky Alemseged <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 9:59 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.lector@latimes.com;

james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com;
Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org;
councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org;
paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Mitch O'Farrell; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org;
councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the

matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Maraky

From: Gina Viola <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:09 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; Councilmember Mike Bonin; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; TeamCD4; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: BOPC public comment 8/16/22

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently

Speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Gina Viola

Gina Viola (she/her/hers

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fmedium.com%2Fgender-inclusivit%2Fwhy-i-put-pronouns-on-my-email-signature-and-linkedin-profile-and-you-should-too-d3dc942c8743&data=05%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C78061e221b604c0dc07a08da7ee0ee7c%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637961801790774477%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C&sdata=4Y4PeneG8I8dxFGbZ5NuUD0CucvN%2FyNRK2W1MQYxqEE%3D&reserved=0>>)

<https://ci3.googleusercontent.com/mail-sig/AIorK4yA0V0up16pPy9jXv04FFCMv2N4NemiBdkhREFUFq2QAd-p0oXoABLk10joTV1Nm_TZEQ3o6Ng>

Corporate Address:

445 S. Figueroa St. Suite 3100

Los Angeles, CA 90071

Phone: 888.748.3677

Fax: 213.438.0410

<http://www.tradeshowtemps.net>

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.tradeshowtemps.net%2F&data=05%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C78061e221b604c0dc07a08da7ee0ee7c%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637961801790774477%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C&sdata=MxzVjG4Q9xTwMVjkrF8eoG81CvjcIaXSiDaZdOZtHWY%3D&reserved=0>>

The information contained in this message may be privileged and confidential and thus protected from disclosure. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. Thank you, Trade Show Temps.

From: Zach Sherwin <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:11 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Queally, James; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Mitch O'Farrell; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency," but rather to set up a cover story for their racist violence while smoothing out and accelerating the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over TWO DOZEN GROUPS representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions, and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday, August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time when communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out AGAINST the use of LAPD surveillance technologies, and the continued acquisition thereof. And all the while LAPD continues to lie about their use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and dismantle LAPD surveillance!

And finally: remove the damn limitation on public comment, you damn spineless shameless bastards. Give two minutes to every single person who calls in and raises their hand. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Zach Sherwin

From: Magan Wiles <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:12 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly

proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

--

magan (she/her)

From: Cody Sloan <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:22 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Cody Sloan

--

Cody Sloan

Pronouns: he/him/his (what's this?)

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fuwm.edu%2Fflgbtrc%2Fsupport%2Fgender-pronouns%2F&data=05%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C485e995b464f425793f108da7ee2c507%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637961809691466827%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6IkhawwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=KE7Xfuyqg851sQHGWlyDnWAK3v%2BfDjB0wmMwxKHF64Y%3D&reserved=0>>)

From: Taavi Kirshenbaum <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:25 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy that would legitimize mass surveillance technology. The purpose of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public, but to cover up their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently opposed these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Taavi Kirshenbaum

From: Greg Irwin <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:27 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Gregory Irwin

From: Christina C. <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:34 AM
To: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org;

councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org;
paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; Police
Commission
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the

matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Christina C

From: Carter Moon <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:38 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently

Speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Carter Moon

From: Becka Cooling <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:41 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The

intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Rebecca Cooling

From: Jack MacCarthy <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:43 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; contactcd4@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;

councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; jpeltz@knock.la; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com; wjbriggs@venable.com

Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. It's clear that the intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This decision comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No one in the community has been asking for this process! Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use.

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. We already tried that. Many times. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam, and only serves to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it be rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, yet again, please remove the limitation on public comment, and allow every person who calls in and raises their hand to give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods. If you really believe in "transparency" and "accountability," you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Jack MacCarthy

From: Janis Yue <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:46 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

My name is Janis Yue, and I am a pediatric mental health occupational therapist and professor at the University of Southern California. As a care worker and educator, I vehemently oppose the expansion of mass surveillance technologies, which have proven time and time again to harm the most marginalized members of our communities.

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,
Janis

From: Valerie Morishige <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:51 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember Nithya Raman; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired. Not only am I sick and tired of police brutality but also of big tech making money off the pain of the people.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Furthermore, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say. Proximity to the pain must have priority in the policy.

Signed,

Valerie Morishige

From: Tobin ?? <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:53 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;

contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; Sheonna Mix
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed, Tobin

From: Elisabet Villatoro <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:53 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker;

ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

This means everything to me, and my community. I do this for them.

Signed,

Elisabet Esther Villatoro

From: Anthony Arenas <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:54 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

I personally stand against the policy proposal because it goes absolutely against the needs and demands from the communities who would be most at risk of being targeted and harmed by such technology. It's no surprise that the people's demands are being ignored for the special interest of cops, so I am writing today to REMIND you that this proposal is HARMFUL to Los Angelenos and increasing LAPD access to mass surveillance technology is DANGEROUS. I demand you do your County right by voting NO tomorrow morning.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Anthony Arenas

From: Joe Parker <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:54 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker;

ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to cover up their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

This policy is a problem in my view for two reasons. First, because city law enforcement mass surveillance by design violates multiple fundamental rights guaranteed by the United States constitution, including rights to privacy and freedom of speech and assembly. Second, much of this technology is military in origin and the militarization of city police forces is a troubling trend that only escalates violence and the violation of rights. The extensive national debate on the militarization of police forces shows how widely this process of militarization has been opposed by large percentages of the U.S. population. If Los Angeles is going to be a leading presence in the protection of citizen rights, then increased militarization of LA policing is not the way to go.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Thank you for your work on the Commission.

joe parker

Thanks are due to the Tongva for caring for the land where I live and work over many years past, in the present, and in years to come.

From: Ted Trembinski <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:54 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Los Angeles Mayor's Office; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Ted Trembinski

From: michael roy hames garcía <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:56 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff;

lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

I understand that LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners intend to create a new policy regarding mass surveillance technology and military equipment. This policy will accelerate the acquisition of this equipment by LAPD. Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have criticized these actions.

It is important that more community voices be heard before policies like this are implemented. Any potential increase in public safety from this kind of surveillance must be balanced against legitimate interest from community organizations and individuals in limiting police overreach.

Finally, please consider removing current limits on public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing have important insights about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Michael Hames-García

From: Mario Batres <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:58 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;

contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriiguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to

hear what they have to say.

Signed,

--

Mario Cesar Batres

From: L. K. <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:07 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any

way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Leo

From: Consuelo Alegria <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:08 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; contactcd4@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; jpeltz@knock.la; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com; wjbriggs@venable.com
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Sincerely, urgently,

Cori Alegria

From: Jenn German <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:09 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in

and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Jennifer German

Jenn German (she/her)

Email: [REDACTED]

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Please note I am sending this message at a time that is convenient for me. I respect when and how you choose to work and I do not expect you to respond outside of your working schedule. Please respond at a time convenient for you. Thank you.

From: Annedell Walker <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:11 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

As a force that claims to be here to help and serve the people, arming themselves with weapons of war is obviously not the way to do so. Angelenos have said time and again that the LAPD is heavily armed against the people it claims to care for. They do not need more weapons, they do not need more surveillance, they need more community support and investment.

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Annedell Walker

From: Samantha Lappin <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:12 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired. This is harmful, and unacceptable.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it

is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,
Sammi

Sammi Lappin
Storyteller & Educator & Organizer
she/her

From: Astrid Carrillo Dies <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:13 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; contactcd4@lacity.org; councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; gilbert.cedillo@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; jpeltz@knock.la; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com; wjbriggs@venable.com
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly

proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent. This move essentially shows their unwillingness to hear from the citizens they purport to serve and protect. It's shameful that the LAPD refusing to change its culture in response to the past few years and the very real lessons they should be learning.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. NO ONE that this is supposed to serve thinks this will be helpful. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. And it is all clearly NOT working. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say. The very nature of the LAPD should make room to WANT to hear from the community!

Signed,

Astrid Carrillo

--

Astrid Carrillo

email // [REDACTED]

From: Maya Renee <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:15 AM
To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. I do not believe a measure like this "serves and protects" like your institution claims to do. Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners are suspected to vote for this measure anyway. This decision is colliding at a time of change where communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use! Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. I am asking you to defund and dismantle LAPD Surveillance! Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Sincerely,

Maya Mackey

From: Danielle Carne <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:15 AM
To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022Commissioners: Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or ...

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

From: ashley brim <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:21 AM
To: Police Commission
Subject: 8/16/22 Public Comment / BOPC Meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Tomorrow your body will vote on whether or not to allow LAPD to take steps to legitimize mass surveillance in our communities and to make it permanent. This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired. Not only is no one but LAPD (and the surveillance/tech companies they pay huge contracts to) asking for this, over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from

police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy.

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Ashley Brim

--

Ashley Paige Brim // she/her/hers
Co-Producer / HOMELAND
Director / An Act of Terror & The Goldfish
Fox Directing Lab 2018-19
HALF Initiative Directing Fellow 2017
AWD Directing the Actor Fellow 2021

ashleypaigebrim.com

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fashleypaigebrim.com%2F&data=05%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C10c3d8557eb546045ef408da7eeaed7e%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637961844719698466%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ikp1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=3cRzacRxrQ3ujDmrDGN5VrGmrsRiUODxHMGIawj%2Fy08%3D&reserved=0>>

From: Tracee Johnson <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:22 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday, August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time when communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history, we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Thanks,

Tracee Johnson

From: Helen Burak <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:25 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Helen Burak

From: Jennifer Maldonado Tooley <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:27 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any

way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed, Jennifer Tooley

From: Michelle King <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:28 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;

councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

I live in one of the most surveilled neighborhoods in LA, more surveillance does not make me feel safe.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Remove the limitation on public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

In community,

Michelle King

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:28 AM
To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; Councilmember Rodriguez; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Mitch O'Farrell; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, the LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The policy's intention is not "transparency" or communication to the public but to speed up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired to smoothly secure cover for LAPD violence.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy. Still, this Tuesday, August 16th, at 9:30 am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD to put this process in place, permanently legitimizing mass surveillance.

This decision comes when communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, many organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

No policy will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history, we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. These marketing phrases are used to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

It's time to remove the limitation on public comment and return to allowing every person who calls in and raises their hand time for their public statement. This committee even read aloud emails and letters during meetings, and now you're hand-selecting who speaks. Members of the communities most affected by police are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

"If, in the opinion of the people, the distribution or modification of the constitutional powers be in any particular wrong, let it be corrected by an amendment in the way which the Constitution designates. But let there be no change by usurpation; for though this, in one instance, may be the instrument of good, it is the customary weapon by which free governments are destroyed." -George Washington

Signed,

Michele Wetteland

From: Maria Ahverdyan <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:29 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing about LAPD's proposed policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This is shocking and disrespectful and communities and voters continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance and reallocate money toward services. It's rare to see or hear anything that is prop police or surveillance outside of campaign advertising. We already know that police do not keep communities safe. We already know they respond after crimes are committed. We already know they largely ticket and harness. We already know they are not trained to handle the various types of situations that much respond to.

Yesterday, I walked the new 6th street bridge and dozens of police were present. Some seated in the cars, some parked on the motorcycles. There is a person, so fragile, so thin, lying down. You couldn't see their chest move with breath and not a single police approached this person to see if they were okay, so see if they needed water or medical attention. It's so sad and so tragic how little regard their is life. Because of this lack of regard it is completely inappropriate to provide LAPD with more tools like these. They are suppose to be of service to the people. Not against the people and this proposal is against what communities ask for, vote for and win.

A wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Maria Ahverdyan

From: Lucas O'Connor <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:30 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently

speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Lucas O'Connor

From: Jayme Kusyk <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:30 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any

way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Jayne Kusyk

From: Bethany Heykoop <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:31 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; LAPC Fails; Mayor Garcetti; Mayor Helpdesk; contactcd4@lacity.org; councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;

councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ericgarcetti@gmail.com;
ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; jpeltz@knock.la;
kevin.rector@latimes.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank;
Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II;
paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com;
wjbriggs@venable.com

Subject: PUBLIC COMMENT BOPC 8/16/22

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed, Bethany

From: Robyn Heller <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:35 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; contactcd4@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; jpeltz@knock.la; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com; wjbriggs@venable.com
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

I am writing to strongly denounce the LAPD and the Board of Commissioners' proposed new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

The danger of this policy has been recognized by over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles. I urge you to heed their warnings and listen to them.

Even if you believe such surveillance could be used for better "transparency" the overwhelming evidence of past actions by LAPD demonstrate that such technology will be used in a way that will harm the community, particular those who are most marginalized already.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Robyn Heller

--

<https://d36urhup7zbd7q.cloudfront.net/anonymous_user/no_sig_160288943565/signoff.gif?ck=1602889435.77>

<https://d36urhup7zbd7q.cloudfront.net/1493339e-6e88-4939-97d8-866c69c615c3/RH_0181E_dit20.crop_2400x2400_0,0.preview.format_png.resize_200x.jpeg> Robyn Heller
Writer - Performer - Virtual Assistant
818.720.2670 <tel:818.720.2670> | [REDACTED]
<[REDACTED]>

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.facebook.com%2Fhellerrobyn&data=05%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cb4f64f894c7f471a737d08da7eecdd9b%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637961853040681693%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=g8bwZDANzM9jn46J2ah1FWEy0ydl7d1m6q0pK6uG3I4%3D&reserved=0>>

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.facebook.com%2Fhellerrobyn&data=05%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cb4f64f894c7f471a737d08da7eecdd9b%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637961853040681693%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=g8bwZDANzM9jn46J2ah1FWEy0ydl7d1m6q0pK6uG3I4%3D&reserved=0>>

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.linkedin.com%2Ffin%2Frobyn-heller%2F&data=05%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cb4f64f894c7f471a737d08da7eecdd9b%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637961853040681693%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=LvR3LjwnukCnb%2Fm0h7%2FYfqI32BoQ2uWnEolu9n4b1Es%3D&reserved=0>>

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Ftwitter.com%2Fflitlebrobyn&data=05%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cb4f64f894c7f471a737d08da7eecdd9b%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637961853040681693%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=LvR3LjwnukCnb%2Fm0h7%2FYfqI32BoQ2uWnEolu9n4b1Es%3D&reserved=0>>

7eecdd9b%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637961853040681693%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C&sdata=0zqULhjgz2B97mqhxLYSzfumCw2WQg0jNl%2BE0yNAYjM%3D&reserved=0>

Create your own email signature

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.wisestamp.com%2Fcreate-own-signature%2F%3Futm_source%3Dpromotion%26utm_medium%3Dsignature%26utm_campaign%3Dcreate_your_own%26srcid%3D&data=05%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cb4f64f894c7f471a737d08da7eecdd9b%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637961853040681693%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=YrqXlyQ9FDFDwcli2y8augU3TSXBPufW9x4gxGa1oI%3D&reserved=0>

<<https://tracy.srv.wisestamp.com/px/4839804761145344.png>>

From: Rebecca Himmelstein <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:35 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; contactCD4@lacity.org; councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; jpeltz@knock.la; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com; wjbriggs@venable.com

Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police

surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Rebecca

--

Rebecca Himmelstein

pronouns: she/her/hers

From: Lizabeth Belli <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:39 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; Lulu Biazus; Anisa Charucksiri; Megan Heiser; Emilie Rosanvallon
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open

attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed, Lizabeth Belli

Lizabeth Belli she/her/hers

[Redacted signature]

#CareFirst

From: Eugene Pesikov <[Redacted]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:54 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff;

lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Instead city officials should be putting resources back into the community which would address the root of the problem rather than continuously addressing its symptoms(a strategy which has proven time and time again to not work). When people have their basic needs met people do not commit crimes- FULL STOP. Other countries in Europe spend less per capita on their police than we do and use the money instead to invest into their communities and as a result have much lower crime rates than we do in the US. We should be replicating and emulating this model rather than continuously making the same mistake(s) by throwing more and more money into policing and militarizing it, which again, the people of this city do not want. These policies do not keep people safe and to continue to repeat this mistake is nothing short of madness- I hope that you all will finally come to this realization and finally do what's right rather than what's politically expedient or personally advantageous.

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Eugene

Sent from my iPhone

From: Sarah Whitman <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:55 AM

To: Police Commission

Cc: Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; contactcd4@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; jpeltz@knock.la; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com; wjbriggs@venable.com

Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open

attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Sarah Whitman

--

Sarah Whitman, MPA
Policy Associate
Rainbow Services

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Frainbowservicesdv.org%2F&data=05%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7C897d9b9c425f4d051c3008da7eefb53a%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637961865280254037%7CUnknown%7CTWfpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=%2Fze2%2Fy4EUWwearRFNEFyapGAbhKx5EBXsulTlIprLC%2BU%3D&reserved=0>>

[REDACTED]
From: Ken Barnard <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:56 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker;
ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff;
lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J.
Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;
james.queally@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; ericgarcetti@gmail.com;
Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org;
councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org;
paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every

mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Ken Barnard

From: Ben Carroll <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:58 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning.

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but rather to secure cover for racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time when communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No one in these policed communities has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition.

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass denunciation and opposition. It will simply serve as cover for further police terror—not protection, not justice. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it be rejected. Defund and dismantle LAPD surveillance.

Further, remove the limitation on public comment. Let every person who calls in and raises their hand speak to the body. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board—and about LAPD in their neighborhoods. You need to hear what they have to say.

Thank you for listening.

Ben Carroll

Los Angeles, CA

From: Mia Schulman <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 12:01 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.lector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for

police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Mia Schulman

From: Anna Montgomery <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 12:02 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Anna Montgomery

From: Audrey Georg <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:52 AM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.ector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: My public comment for the PC meeting on 8/16/22

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Audrey Georg

“If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor.” Desmond Tutu

From: Max Kennedy <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 12:06 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected

by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Max Kennedy

From: Christina Hang <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 12:10 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; contactcd4@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; gilbert.cedillo@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; jpeltz@knock.la; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com; wjbriggs@venable.com
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently

Speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Christina Hang

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 12:16 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Mitch O'Farrell; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any

way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Richard Gagliano

From: Yadi <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 12:20 PM
To: Police Commission
Subject: Public Comment - Los Angeles Police Commission meeting 08/16/2022
Attachments: Los Angeles Police Commission - 08-16-22 AB 481.pdf

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

Please find attached my public comment for the August 16, 2022 Los Angeles Police Commission meeting, agenda item 4.F. AB 481 Military Equipment Use Policy (22-0766)

Thanks,

Yadi

(Attachments: 1)

From: Bret Hamilton <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 12:23 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org; Councilmember Nithya Raman; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.lee@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; jpeltz@knock.la; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com; wjbriggs@venable.com

Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued

acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Bret Hamilton

From: Selina Ho <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 12:27 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use! Mass surveillance does not make us safer and investing more and further empowering into a harmful institution such as the LAPD endangers our most marginalized communities.

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Selina

From: Matt Schneider <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 12:33 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.ector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

As a Los Angeles resident and a student and teacher at UCLA, I write to join the chorus of calls from communities across our city rejecting the proposed Community-Police Advisory Board.

Much of my research has involved careful study of decades of police violence, surveillance technology, and reform in our city, and this proposal bears the hallmarks of many of the most shameful episodes of our past. This Advisory Board, like many before it, is poised to rubber-stamp the expansion of invasive, oppressive, and systemically racist policing practices under the cover of "community," "technological innovation," and "transparency."

As before, the most affected communities are speaking out to reject the policies crafted in our city's halls of power. Why won't you listen? Instead, recent weeks have seen vivid examples of another disturbing pattern, the organized suppression of dissent and intimidation and retaliation against dissenters. Los Angeles can hardly claim to be a democracy at all, when our supposed representatives respond to public protest by policing and silencing protesters.

I am disturbed by the violence perpetrated by LAPD in my name and with my tax dollars. I oppose and denounce any effort to cover-up the violence through new oversight procedures like the one being voted on tomorrow. History leads me to think this new reporting process will offer no meaningful prevention of abusive behaviors and offer only false hope of redress. Our city's most vulnerable communities have borne the brunt of decades' worth of violent intrusions and predatory inclusion. Community groups are mobilizing to dismantle oppressive surveillance technologies and cut off abusive policing practices at their root. I urge you to listen to them: that's what it will take to break this cycle and make our city fair, democratic, and just.

Signed,
Matt Schneider
UCLA

From: Margaret Starbuck <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 12:26 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;

james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com;
Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org;
councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org;
paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the

matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Margaret Starbuck

From: Ashley Locke <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 12:34 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently

speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Ashley Locke

--

Ashley Locke
Pronouns: She/her
[REDACTED]

From: Katie Edgerton <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 12:37 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; Mayor Helpdesk; Mitch O'Farrell; contactcd4@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.lee@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; jpeltz@knock.la; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com; wjbriggs@venable.com
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Katie Edgerton

--

Katie Edgerton (she/her)

From: Anne Madariaga <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 12:52 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.lector@latimes.com;

james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com;
Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org;
councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org;
paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Anne Madariaga

Los Angeles Resident (90027)

--

Anne Madariaga - [REDACTED]
From: Kevin King <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 1:07 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

I live in one of the most surveilled neighborhoods in LA, more surveillance does not make me feel safe.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently

Speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Remove the limitation on public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Thank you from a concerned citizen

Kevin King

From: Theo Zucker <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 1:08 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; contactcd4@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; jpeltz@knock.la; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com; wjbriggs@venable.com
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and their continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about their use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it be rejected. Please instead Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Furthermore, please remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Sincerely,

Theo Zucker

--

Theo Zucker

(she/her or they/them)

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.mypronouns.org%2Fwhat-and-why&data=05%7C01%7Cpolicecommission%40lapd.online%7Cea1585cfc1a045f2457>

308da7ef9e9b7%7C642fd61c34dd4fd0af8d443576485883%7C0%7C0%7C637961909082247445%7CUnkn
own%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D
%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=F37cF3JOV591itjA9JE%2BRFUaRmZ8Z3DjiZ1ViYUxJsE%3D&reserved=0>

From: Lauren Worsham <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 1:14 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker;
ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff;
lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J.
Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;
james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com;
Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org;
councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org;
paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Lauren Worsham

www.laurenworsham.com

www.skyponyband.com

From: Desa Bolger <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 1:19 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org

Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their

intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Desa Bolger
she/her

From: Molly Durkin <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 1:35 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org;

paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the

matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Molly Durkin

From: Mayank Keshaviah <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 1:35 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: My Public Comment for the BOPC Meeting on 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police

surveillance. No one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Disgustedly,

Mayank Keshaviah
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: Emma Soren <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 1:41 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.ector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC for 8/16/22

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners,

I am writing regarding the LAPD and Board of Police Commissioners' proposed policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology, up for a vote this week. I urge you to REJECT this policy.

Surveillance technology is far from faultless and is still built by humans with racist biases, so it will only further racist policing under the cover of false reform. Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, and last November ACLUSoCal warned LAPD against using the ACLU CCOPS surveillance model this proposed policy is built upon.

Additionally, I ask that you remove the limitation on public comment and let everyone who wishes to give public comment participate. It is most important to hear from members of communities most affected by the LAPD's policing.

Thank you,

Emma Soren

From: Catherine Safley <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 1:42 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand that it be rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Catherine Safley

From: Sophie Keane <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 1:49 PM

To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected

by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed

Sophie Keane

From: Blue Reinhard <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 1:55 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued

acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Lucy Reinhard

From: Olivia Gleason <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 2:06 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners,

My name is Olivia Gleason, I am a member of Californians United for a Responsible Budget (CURB) and a resident here in Los Angeles. Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

I urge you to listen to these community groups who work with and uplift the voices of people directly impacted by police violence. We want true public safety and this is not going to come from legitimizing more mass surveillance technology. This has not worked and it will not in the future, we need a better solution and one that does not give more power to LAPD.

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Olivia Gleason

From: Ruby Condon <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 2:10 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Ruby Condon

From: Dawy Rkasnuam <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 2:14 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in

and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Dawy Rkasnuam

From: Jessi Jones <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 2:20 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued

acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Jessi Jones

90004

From: Laura Adery <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 2:21 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any

way, but concerningly serves to increase secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired. Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to insist about its use! There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Lastly, we continue to request increased opportunity for public comment during meetings. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and thus are the best experts for the commission to listen to for real on-the-ground intel in order to do their job and serve our community by providing meaningful oversight.

Thank you,
Laura Adery, Ph.D.

From: J.Stephen Brantley <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 2:23 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Please do not ignore the people you are elected to serve and protect. We have been saying for years that more surveillance will not prevent violence, it will only cause further harm.

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

J.Stephen Brantley

From: Sydney O'Toole <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 2:26 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued

acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

--

sydney o'toole

90027

From: Lisa Butters-Smith <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 2:49 PM
To: Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; contactcd4@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; jpeltz@knock.la; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Police Commission; tips@laist.com; wjbriggs@venable.com
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their

intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Lisa Butters-Smith

From: Karen Hernández <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 2:51 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;

james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com;
Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org;
councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org;
paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfeld@lacity.org;
contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, the LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired. If this Commission truly seeks to respond to the community’s consistent demands, it will prohibit the LAPD from expanding its surveillance arsenal and end its existing surveillance systems. Creating a new approval process or approval criteria for acquiring surveillance contradicts the community’s demands, and I STRONGLY oppose any efforts by this Commission to enact such a process or criteria.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities, including my own, who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday, August 16th at 9:30 am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time when communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use! We have made it clear time and time again to the LAPD and to this Commission that to respect the rights of people in Los Angeles, the LAPD’s dragnet surveillance capabilities must end!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history, we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for

police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance! If LAPD acquires more surveillance technologies, its own record demonstrates that it will use them against the same communities that it is already targeting for ongoing monitoring and tracking. And we can be sure the true extent of the LAPD's use of these dangerous technologies will not be uncovered until long after they cause harm.

Again, we believe that the only way to protect the community from the unfettered use of surveillance that will inevitably be used to disproportionately target Black and Latinx people is to prohibit LAPD from obtaining these surveillance systems in the first instance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Karen Hernández
LA County resident

From: Jordan Harari <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 2:53 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.ector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,
J

From: Maren Caldwell <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 2:56 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org;

councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org;
paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Commissioners,

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired. The public is paying attention to this!

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent. We cannot let this happen.

This moment arrives at a time when communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. Nobody in the community has been asking for this surveillance process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use! Again, we're paying attention.

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every

mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance to keep our communities safe.

Further, it's imperative to real transparency and accountability to remove the limitation on public comment and let every person who calls in and raises their hand and give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say whenever they are willing to speak up and say it.

Sincerely,

Maren Caldwell

Maren Caldwell
she/her/hers

From: Julia Rich <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 3:03 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; contactcd4@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; jpeltz@knock.la; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com; wjbriggs@venable.com
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up

the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Julia R

--

Julia Rich
They/Them

From: Liz Sommer <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 3:06 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Los Angeles Mayor's Office; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;

councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Elizabeth Sommer

Los Angeles resident

From: Melanie Havelin <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 3:09 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” nor was the communication to the public in any way, but instead the new policy aims to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this tomorrow, August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Sincerely,

Melanie Havelin

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Carolina Goodman <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 3:26 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Richard Tefank
Subject: Public comment for 8.16.22 Board of Police Commissioners meeting, Item 4-F LAPD's AB 481 Policy

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners,

You were copied on our July 6 letter to Chief Michel Moore regarding LAPD's AB 481 Policy. Chief Moore responded right away, asking us to speak with his Chief Executive Officer, Lt. Rachel Rodriguez. Lt. Rodriguez had to postpone our meeting,

and before leaving for vacation, she connected us with LAPD's Director of Constitutional Policing and Policy, Lizabeth Rhodes. We sent Ms. Rhodes our suggestions for improving the policy. (see attachment) She welcomed the opportunity to work with us, but contended that it was too late to make changes. Ms. Rhodes offered to discuss changes for next year's submission, as LAPD's AB 481 Policy must be approved each year.

On October 12, the City Council Public Safety Committee made a recommendation to amend LAPD's AB 481 Policy. We write to support the amendment and to ask you to consider the other suggestions that LWVGLA made for improving the policy. Additionally, Councilmembers Bonin and Raman independently reviewed AB 481 and found the LAPD policy to be inadequate. We believe that you must take the time to scrutinize the policy more carefully to ensure that it meets the requirements of the law and, more importantly, that it protects the people of Los Angeles.

Sincerely,

– Carolina Goodman, Chair
Committee on Criminal Justice Reform
League of Women Voters of Greater Los Angeles

From: Lani Engstrom <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 3:30 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any

way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Lani Engstrom

From: Kristen McCown <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 3:49 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;

contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriiguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Kristen McCown

Sent from my iPhone

From: Camille Sacristan <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 4:08 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace

“accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Camille Sacristan
Los Angeles resident

From: Autumn Kessler <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 4:09 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly

proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Regards,

Autumn Kessler

From: Naoko Ward <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 4:09 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Naoko Ward

From: Nick Gauger <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 4:15 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker;

ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Nick Gauger

From: Chelsea Drake <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 4:20 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/22

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

More police surveillance in my community does not make me feel safer. It makes me feel less safe as police are often the source of violence. Do not allow police to have access to military grade surveillance equipment which will only be used to cause harm in our community.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Chelsea Boyle (they/she)

Sent from my iPhone

From: Sarah Back <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 4:26 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;

contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Sarah Back

From: Zachary Pizzo <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 4:36 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment Board of Police Commissioners 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los

Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Zachary Pizzo

From: Asher Guthertz <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 4:37 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Mitch O'Farrell; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners,

I understand that you are set to vote on a procedure for LAPD to implement mass surveillance technology and embed it permanently into our city's infrastructure. That sucks. No one thinks that police surveillance makes a community safer. You don't even think that. Communities become safe when people have jobs, housing, and are given the dignity and respect of NOT being treated as permanent or soon-to-be criminals. As a Los Angeles resident, I want to tell you to listen to your people. Do not vote to implement LAPD procedures for use of this technology!

Please!

Asher Guthertz

From: Sarah Eggers <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 4:41 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; contactcd4@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; jpeltz@knock.la; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@LegacyLA.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com; wjbriggs@venable.com
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/22

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not "transparency" or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently

speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Sarah Eggers

--

Sarah Eggers, LMFT, ATR, MFA
pronouns she/her/hers

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply email and then delete this message and any attachments. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, copying, or storage of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited.

From: Brittney Pollock <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 4:44 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com;

Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org;
councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org;
paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

--

Brittney Pollock
they/them

From: dan monick <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 4:47 PM

To: Police Commission

Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.lector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindoleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we've seen police and elected officials embrace "accountability," "guardrails," "reporting," and "oversight" proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Daniel Monick

--

DAN MONICK

The contents of this e-mail message and any attachments are confidential and are intended solely for addressee. The information may also be legally privileged. This transmission is sent in trust, for the sole purpose of delivery to the intended recipient and the information within may only be used by the intended recipient. If you have received this transmission in error, any use, reproduction or dissemination of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately notify the sender by reply e-mail or phone and delete this message and its attachments, if any.

From: Dylan Boyle <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 4:52 PM
To: Police Commission
Cc: mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com;

james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com;
Kate.Cagle@charter.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org;
councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org;
paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org;
contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org;
councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org;
councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org;
Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org;
councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org
Subject: Public Comment BOPC 8/16/2022

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioners:

Earlier this year, LAPD and the Board of Police Commissioners announced their intention to create a new policy to legitimize mass surveillance technology. The intention of the policy is not “transparency” or communication to the public in any way, but to secure cover for their racist violence while smoothing and speeding up the process by which surveillance technology is acquired.

Over two dozen groups representing the communities who face the most harm from police surveillance in Los Angeles have vehemently denounced these actions and warned LAPD against this policy, but this Tuesday August 16th at 9:30am, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners will vote on a series of steps for a newly proposed policy by LAPD that would put this process in place to legitimize mass surveillance and make it permanent.

This comes at a time that communities continue to mobilize to dismantle police surveillance. No-one in the community has been asking for this process. Instead, a wide range of organizations and communities continue to loudly and persistently speak out against the use of LAPD surveillance technologies and its continued acquisition, as we see LAPD continue to lie about its use!

There is no policy that will reduce LAPD violence. It cannot be overseen or reviewed. Throughout history we’ve seen police and elected officials embrace “accountability,” “guardrails,” “reporting,” and “oversight” proposals after every mass uprising. It is always a scam to secure more resources and political cover for police terror. We denounce and oppose this policy and reporting system and demand it is rejected. Defund and Dismantle LAPD Surveillance!

Further, remove the limitation on public comment, and let every person who calls in and raises their hand, give public comment. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before the board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you need to hear what they have to say.

Signed,

Dylan Boyle