

---

**From:** Whitzel Blauer <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 4:28 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Subject:** Public Comment

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

11/01/2022

Hello everyone, as always, I hope you all are doing well. Based on Chief Moore's Covid-19 reports year to date (**January 11, 2022 – October 25, 2022**) the vaccinated are making up **76%** of the infections within your department. Last month, Chief Moore reported a total of **58 Covid-19 infections** within the LAPD. **The vaccinated made up 42 of the 58 total infections, 72% of the infections** were from the vaccinated population within the LAPD for the month of October.

Based on the CDC indicators and thresholds, on **9/2/2022, the CDC moved LA County into the low Community level** for severe illness from Covid-19. Reflecting minimal stress on the hospital systems in LA County associated with Covid-19. Per the CDC many people in the U.S. have some protection, or immunity, against Covid-19. This immunity, combined with the availability of tests and treatments, has greatly reduced the risk of severe illness, hospitalizations, and death from the Covid-19 for many people.

As leaders of the C.O.L.A. It is important to stay up on current trends. Let me be a little clearer as what I'm speaking of, on **9/20/2022, (18 days after the CDC published their report)** Commissioner Briggs **advised that the state of emergency remain active because of increased covid cases, increased hospitalizations and death, Briggs further added that these cases have increased from one month prior and continue to pose an imminent risk to health.** This was the **verbiage that he used on 08/23/2022** to extend the emergency as well.

On **10/25/2022** Commissioner Briggs continues to read this same verbiage from his computer screen to extend the state of emergency. **Commissioner Briggs, I'm going to make a formal request, that you provide your source of where you are getting this data from and provide it to the public.**

Let's compare what Commissioner Briggs said to what the CDC has published for L.A. County for Covid-19 numbers.

**October 13, 2022, per the CDC.**

Case Rate per 100,000 population	73.42 or 0.073%
New Covid-19 admissions per 100,000 population	4.9 or 0.0049%
% Staffed inpatient beds in use by patients with confirmed Covid-19	2.8%

**October 20, 2022, per the CDC**

Case Rate per 100,000 population	52.05 or 0.052%
New Covid-19 admissions per 100,000 population	4.3 or 0.0043%
% Staffed inpatient beds in use by patients with confirmed Covid-19	2.4%

**October 27, 2022, per the CDC**

Case Rate per 100,000 population	96.75 or 0.097%
New Covid-19 admissions per 100,000 population	4 or 0.004%
% Staffed inpatient beds in use by patients with confirmed Covid-19	2.2%

The CDC publishes new Covid-19 data every Thursday, you can even access what area you want to search. I simply type Covid Tracker in the search bar, **which brings you to a secured CDC website** and then enter Los Angeles County for the area that I want to search. **I would encourage the Police Commission and Chief Moore to do your own research along with checking the dates on the data that you are receiving before disseminating to the public.**

My question to every one of you is this, based on the data that Chief Moore has provided on Agenda item #1 and the data from the CDC on Covid, why is the city of Los Angeles proceeding forward with this Covid-19 mandate? I know this has been asked several times in the past, but it has never been answered. Being vaccinated does not prevent an individual from contracting or transmitting Covid-19.

W. Blauer

**“Every lie is two lies, the lie we tell others and the lie we tell ourselves to justify it.” -Henry Thoreau**

---

**From:** Katie Edgerton <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 9:11 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; CD10Press@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Mitch O'Farrell; contactcd4@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.lee@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; ericgarceetti@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; jpeltz@knock.la; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; mayor.garceetti@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com; wjbriggs@venable.com  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 10/31/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). This Act pretends that gathering data on LAPD stops provides oversight or accountability or somehow prevents racial profiling and police violence, yet year after year LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence makes it somehow benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are traumatizing and one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such facilitators of LAPD violence as UCLA Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure) and funded by such billionaires and land developers as Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to "policing", though research is clear that police do not decrease, prevent or intervene in violence but actually facilitate and contribute to it, not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities most heavily targeted by police, but also by participating daily in those forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance". While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and

interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. [No CSP](#), and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito “Junior” López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to “stand by.” Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD; \$250K in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual’s public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs.”

Signed,

Katie Edgerton

--

Katie Edgerton (she/her)

██████████

---

**From:** Ken Barnard <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 9:29 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 10/31/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. No CSP, and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito "Junior" López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to "stand by." Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contracts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,  
Ken Barnard

---

**From:** Harrison Weinfeld <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 9:47 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Fails Lapc; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 10/31/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. [No CSP](#), and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito "Junior" López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to "stand by." Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,  
Harrison Weinfeld



---

**From:** Bethany Heykoop <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 9:53 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; lou@LegacyLA.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 10/31/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. [No CSP](#), and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito "Junior" López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to "stand by." Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,  
Bethany

---

**From:** Magan Wiles <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 10:13 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; CD10Press@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 10/31/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. [No CSP](#), and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito "Junior" López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to "stand by." Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,

--

magan (she/her)

---

**From:** Adam Smith <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 10:16 AM  
**To:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Fails Lapc; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; Councilmember Bonin; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Mitch O'Farrell; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; Police Commission  
**Subject:** Public Comment 10/31/22

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate

evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. No CSP, and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito “Junior” López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to “stand by.” Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual’s public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility

towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs.”

Signed,  
Adam Smith



---

**From:** Samantha Lappin <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 10:19 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 10/31/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. No CSP, and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito "Junior" López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to "stand by." Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,  
Samantha

Sammi Lappin  
Creator & Organizer  
she/her

---

**From:** Camille Sacristan <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 10:25 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 11/01/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to

prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing “natural surveillance.” While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. [No CSP](#), and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito “Junior” López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to “stand by.” Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual’s public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs.”

Signed,  
Camille Sacristan

---

**From:** Olivia Gleason <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 10:29 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 10/31/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

The time is overdue for us to recognize and act on evidence and stop moving forward with false solutions while our community members are being harmed.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what

has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word “success” to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD’s Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing “natural surveillance.” While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. [No CSP](#), and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito “Junior” López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to “stand by.” Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual’s public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs.”

Signed,  
Olivia Gleason

---

**From:** amanda howard <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 11:13 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 10/31/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Hello Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to

prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing “natural surveillance.” While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. [No CSP](#), and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito “Junior” López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to “stand by.” Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual’s public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs.”  
Signed,

Amanda Howard



---

**From:** J.Stephen Brantley <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 11:17 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** policecommission@lapd.online

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. [No CSP](#), and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito "Junior" López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to "stand by." Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,  
J.Stephen Brantley

---

**From:** Christina C. <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 11:29 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 10/31/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. [No CSP](#), and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito "Junior" López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to "stand by." Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Christina C

---

**From:** Michelle King <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 11:42 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; Councilmember de Leon; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 10/31/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Good morning Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. [No CSP](#), and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito "Junior" López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to "stand by." Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

In community,

Michelle King

---

**From:** Cody Sloan <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 12:09 PM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 10/31/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. [No CSP](#), and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito "Junior" López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to "stand by." Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,

Cody Sloan

--

Cody Sloan

Pronouns: he/him/his ([what's this?](#))

██████████



---

**From:** Rachel O'Brien <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 12:10 PM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 10/31/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. [No CSP](#), and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito "Junior" López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to "stand by." Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,  
Rachel

---

**From:** Sarah Bowers <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 12:20 PM  
**To:** heather.hutt@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Police Commission; CD10@lacity.org  
**Cc:** Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lou@legacyla.org; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; Mayor Garcetti; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; wjbriggs@venable.com; councildistrict6@lacity.org  
**Subject:** LAPD Continues to Kill: Public Comment BOPC 11/1/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Commissioners and City Council Members:

As a citizen of Los Angeles District 10 I'm writing to submit public comments to the LA Police Commission meeting. I'm also cc-ing city council members since 1) the commission continuously fails to hold LAPD accountable, and 2) the city council continues to give ever increasing budgets to the LAPD even as LAPD continues to spend more of my tax dollars in lawsuits over their violence and discrimination. I'm so sick of it.

#### **Agenda Item 4C**

RIPA and this audit is a sham. RIPA is supporting to provide oversight and accountability to LAPD, yet LAPD continues to stop Black folks disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders. RIPA does nothing to stop LAPD's racism.

#### **Agenda Item 4E**

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while

denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. [No CSP](#), and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

**General Public Comment: LAPD Continues to Kill More People**

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito “Junior” López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to “stand by.” Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

**General Public Comment: Investigate LAPD Benefits from Racist City Council Members**

Last week the LAPD took direction from Nury Martinez, Gil Cedillo, and Kevin de Leon, who participated in a gross, violent racist conversation, to investigate the origin of the leaked video that caught the three city council members in their words. Of course LAPD would because they are racists themselves who are beholden to these racist city council members. This police commission should investigate how LAPD benefited from the racist political maneuvers of these three fallen city council members.

**General Public Comment: Stop Limiting Public Comment**

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to be allowed to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual’s public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs.”

Sincerely,  
Sarah Bowers

---

**From:** Tiff Guerra <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 12:53 PM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; Rector, Kevin; james.queally@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Mitch O'Farrell; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** public comment for this week, Nov 1st 2022 meeting

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

I agree with all the below.

I also want to call out the 2020 UCLA Luskin Study further for being complete and utter pseudoscience, the worst kind of faux academic research to justify the expansion of a policing program to which there are no results of improvements to people's lives - but that guarantees a further entrenchment and expansion of policing.

We know poverty is a form of system and state violence that impacts Black, Indigenous, disabled, migrant, trans, queer communities that most yet this commission, which is supposedly about safety, completely fails year after year to address this violence and worse, you deepen it by approving over \$3B in city funding to the police.

Every aspect of this program is a sham, the Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design walk-throughs on each site (done in 2020 and 2022) demonstrate only LAPD's complete inability to address even the most fundamental and basic requests of a community, while continuing to recommend however the expansion of surveillance on these sites.

I further agree with all the below, and demand we divest from policing and invest in communities most impacted by police and by the violence of prisons!

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on

LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. [No CSP](#), and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito "Junior" López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to "stand by." Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous

practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

--

T Guerra

they/them/theirs

██████████

---

**From:** Danielle Carne <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 1:11 PM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 10/31/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.



Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. No CSP, and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito "Junior" López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to "stand by." Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,  
Danielle Carne

---

**From:** Laura Adery <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 1:55 PM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 10/31/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), and I have concerns with the methods of evaluation of this program given that it is conducted under UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham, who was also responsible for the PredPol program which was discontinued due to racist predictive policing it facilitated, and given that it is funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, who historic evidence demonstrates do not have community interests of the public in mind. Research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence. Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA

Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. We urge no further [CSP](#), with investments in community resources and aid rather than LAPD.

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD statistically producing the most police murders in the nation currently. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis and instead of providing care and safety, the officers killed 22-year old Margarito “Junior” López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López even despite being told to “stand by.” Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Finally, please remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment to allow more opportunity to hear the needs and concerns of your constituents. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual’s public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. We urge you to commit wholeheartedly to the duties you have undertaken as the “citizen’s voice in police affairs.”

Signed,  
Laura Adery, Ph.D.

---

**From:** Catherine Safley <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 2:27 PM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 10/31/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. Yet year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals at disproportionate rates far higher than white individuals, despite the fact that white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign or less likely to continue. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely devoid of any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. In fact, police facilitate and contribute to violence- not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures the continuation of violence by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. [No CSP](#), and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis, where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito "Junior" López; officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla fired four shots at López despite having been told to "stand by." Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes, as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

It is telling when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, even though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now voiced by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment and allow every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the ridiculous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed, Catherine

---

**From:** SHERRY VARON <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 3:16 PM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** Police Commission; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPCFails; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 10/31/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. [No CSP](#), and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito "Junior" López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to "stand by." Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,sherry varon

---

**From:** Martha Mack <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 3:24 PM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 10/31/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.



Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. [No CSP](#), and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito "Junior" López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to "stand by." Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,

Martha Mack, MS, RD  
Dialysis Dietitian

---

**From:** Kevin King <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 3:40 PM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; Councilmember de Leon; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 10/31/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Good morning Commissioners,

Item 4C is an audit of the data LAPD is required to gather when conducting a stop, in order to meet the requirements of the Racial and Identity Profiling Act (RIPA). The idea behind RIPA is that gathering data on LAPD stops will provide oversight, or accountability, or somehow prevent racial profiling and police violence. But year after year, LAPD continues to stop Black individuals disproportionately, at rates far higher than white individuals, though white people are more frequently found with contraband. Instead of preventing or decreasing racialized stops, legislation like RIPA continues them by reinforcing the myth that documenting police violence somehow makes it benign. We reject RIPA data-gathering and analysis, and demand an end to police stops, which are not only traumatizing, but one of the most frequent sources of LAPD shootings and murders.

Item 4E discusses LAPD's compliance with the UCLA Luskin 2020 Evaluation of Community Safety Partnership (CSP), an illegitimate evaluation conducted by such enablers of LAPD violence as UCLA's Jeffrey Brantingham (the architect of LAPD's racist predictive policing program PredPol, which the LAPD discontinued after intense community pressure), and funded by billionaires and land developers like Rick Caruso and Steve Ballmer, the latter of whom has also donated funding for the salaries of police to establish CSP substations in the predominantly Black and brown neighborhoods of South Park and Harvard Park.

The bogus 2020 Evaluation claims to use qualitative and quantitative research methods to investigate the success of LAPD's program, but the analysis is so flawed and the conclusions so misstated as to render the evaluation completely meaningless in terms of having any value as a research document. Instead, what has emerged is police propaganda filled with false claims to be parroted by politicians and white land developers, desperate to attach the word "success" to policing, even though research clearly indicates that police do not decrease, prevent, or intervene in violence. To the contrary, they facilitate and contribute to violence - not only by looting resources desperately needed by the very communities who are policed most heavily, but also by participating daily in the same forms of violence they supposedly exist to prevent, including assault, rape, robbery, drunk driving, burglary, and murder.

Rather than decreasing violence, LAPD's Community Safety Partnership ensures that it continues by investing in policing rather than in non-policing, non-punitive community-based strategies of safety, collective care, and mutual support. Tactics like Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) claim to prevent crime, yet increase criminalization by deepening community surveillance and pushing back green spaces under the guise of increasing "natural surveillance." While failing to decrease or interrupt systemic and interpersonal violence, these tactics further destabilize communities by investing in social control and containment while denying communities the resources needed to heal and to survive. We reject the sham evaluation by UCLA Luskin and reject the expansion and funding of CSP. [No CSP](#), and Defund and Abolish LAPD!

Police in the US murder over a thousand people every year, with LAPD leading the nation in police murders. Last year in December, LAPD was called to the scene of a youth in crisis where officers would kill 22-year old Margarito "Junior" López; with officers José Zavala and Julio Quintanilla firing four shots at López though they had been told to "stand by." Decades of police training, commissions, reviews, and reforms and billions of dollars of investments in policing will not change these outcomes as violence is inherent to policing. Only by divesting from and shrinking police contacts, funding, and resources can we interrupt police violence and prevent the loss of even more lives. We demand a divestment from policing and prisons; from carceral responses to violence instead of community-based and preventative solutions to it.

At the same time, it is a tell when politicians and elected officials seek to continue or expand police funding in the interest of furthering their own careers and preserving the status quo, though calls for divesting from and defunding police have only grown in popularity and are now opined by the majority of respondents of surveys in Los Angeles in both 2020 and 2022. Paul Koretz this week made a donation to LAPD of \$250K, in a performative effort to rally support from the shrinking minority who seek to expand police funding, and in a desperate bid in the face of overwhelming support for his opponent for City Controller, Kenneth Mejia, who has vowed to audit LAPD.

Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Thank you from a concerned citizen,

Kevin King