

---

**From:** Whitzel Blauer <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 4:43 AM  
**To:** Police Commission; Mike Feuer; Ethics Commissions; Mayor Garcetti; heather Hutt; Mike Bonin; Gilbert Cedillo; Paul Krekorian; Council Blumenfield; Council Member Rodriguez; Councilmember Harris-Dawson; Council member Price; Councilmember Lee; Council member Ofarrell; Council member Deleon; Council member Buscaino; eileendeckerethics.commission@lacity.org; dalebonnerethics.commission@lacity.org; mayorgaretti@lacity.org  
**Subject:** 12/06/2022 Public Comment

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

12/06/2022

Attention: William J. Briggs, Eileen Decker, Dale Bonner, Maria Lou Calanche, Steve Soboroff.

Good morning, Police Commissioners, as always, I hope you are all doing well. Before I begin, I would like to start off with a quote from Myles Munroe, **“Solid Character will reflect itself in consistent behavior, while poor character will seek to hide behind deceptive words and actions”**

First let’s look at the discrepancies regarding the state of emergency. On November 22, 2022, the Police Commission decided to vote to continue the state of emergency A.B. 361 “The Ralph M. Brown Act” under the clever disguise of Agenda item 3 (Teleconference Meetings). Commissioner Briggs, we were all blindsided when you did this, even the other Commissioners were taken off guard. The public has made numerous requests for you to provide the rationale the Police Commission used on which to base your decision to extend the state of emergency.

Commissioner Briggs, you provided **misinformation to the public** on September 20, 2022, and October 25, 2022. On these two dates you used data from the L.A. County Health Department from July 7, 2022, to validate the reasoning of the Police Commission to continue the State of Emergency. The data that you provided on these dates, **clearly did not reflect the current trends.**

On 9/2/2022, the CDC moved LA County into the low Community level for severe illness from Covid-19, reflecting minimal stress on the hospital systems in LA County associated with Covid-19. Per the CDC many people in the U.S. have some protection, or immunity, against Covid-19. This immunity, combined with the availability of tests and treatments, has greatly reduced the risk of severe illness, hospitalizations, and death from the Covid-19 for many people. **This is in direct conflict to what Commissioner Briggs informed the public on 9/20/2022.**

On 10/17/2022, Governor Newsom published a report from his official webpage, noting hospitalizations and deaths are dramatically reduced and California has the tools needed to continue fighting Covid-19. Governor Newsom even added he has built up an unprecedented public health infrastructure. **This is another direct conflict to what Commissioner Briggs informed the public on 9/20/2022 and 10/25/2022.**

What happened to being transparent with the public? It is no secret the City of Los Angeles received a sum of 1.2 billion dollars from the Biden build back better Covid relief act. It is also no secret that the City of Los Angeles receives even more money each time the state of emergency gets extended.

Where is all this money going? What is this money being used for? Are hospitals within the city of Los Angeles unable to handle current Covid-19 cases? Is there a shortage of supplies that the city of Los Angeles is not able to acquire to assist with Covid-19 infections? Why hasn't the public been given the missing Covid-19 (Agenda item #1) reports? *When legitimate questions are asked and go unanswered or ignored, it gives all of us the impression that deception is taking place.*

I find it very interesting as to how many doors open with little to no rules for the C.O.L.A. to follow as the state of emergency remains active.

Maybe I'm looking at this wrong, and maybe you can explain. What has this Covid-19 mandate done with helping public safety? Your own provided data doesn't support your claim that it's working and keeping the public safe in any way, shape, or form. **The definition of insanity is doing the same thing over and over and expecting different results.**

Let's look at the most recent data. On 11/22/2022, Chief Moore gave his Covid-19 report and there were a **total of 34 new Covid-19 positive cases** within the LAPD. **28 of the 34 were "fully vaccinated"**.

Based on current data, Covid-19 positive hospital admissions are on the rise. The CDC indicates from October 2022 - December 1, 2022, Covid-19 admissions have risen by 0.0079% (That's 79 ten thousandths of a percent.) What this current data doesn't provide is the **Vaccination Status of the new cases**. I do have the data that Chief Moore reported to the Police Commission though, let's look. Year to date (January 11, 2022 – November 22, 2022) the **vaccinated** are making up **78% of the positive Covid-19 infections within the LAPD**.

For the month of November as of 11/22/2022 there have been a total of 130 new Covid-19 positive cases within the LAPD. **111 of the 130 were fully vaccinated** in accordance with the Covid mandate 187134. For the month of November not including the cancelled meeting on 11/29/2022, the **vaccinated are making up 85% of the new Covid-19 positive cases within the LAPD**.

**I have provided you all with the current data from the CDC and local news media below.**

### **October 13, 2022, per the CDC.**

Case Rate per 100,000 population	73.42 or 0.0734%
New Covid-19 admissions per 100,000 population	4.9 or 0.0049%
% Staffed inpatient beds in use by patients with confirmed Covid-19	2.8%

### **October 20, 2022, per the CDC**

Case Rate per 100,000 population	52.05 or 0.0520%
New Covid-19 admissions per 100,000 population	4.3 or 0.0043%

**% Staffed inpatient beds in use by patients with confirmed Covid-19 2.4%**

**October 27, 2022, per the CDC**

**Case Rate per 100,000 population 96.75 or 0.0976%**

**New Covid-19 admissions per 100,000 population 4 or 0.004%**

**% Staffed inpatient beds in use by patients with confirmed Covid-19 2.2%**

**November 3, 2022, per the CDC**

**Case rate per 100,000 population 68.66 or 0.0686%**

**New Covid-19 admissions per 100,000 population 4.3 or 0.0043%**

**% Staffed inpatient beds in use by patients with confirmed Covid-19 2.2%**

**November 10, 2022, per the CDC**

**Case rate per 100,000 population 84.49 or 0.0844%**

**New Covid-19 admissions per 100,000 population 5.2 or 0.0052%**

**% Staffed inpatient beds in use by patients with confirmed Covid-19 2.6%**

**November 17,2022, per CDC**

Case rate per 100,000 population 105.3 or 0.1053%  
New Covid-19 admissions per 100,000 population 6 or 0.0060%  
% Staffed inpatient beds in use by patients with confirmed Covid-19 3.1%

**November 25, 2022, per CDC** \*note CDC did not report on Thanksgiving Day.

Case rate per 100,000 population 139.7 or 0.1397%  
New Covid-19 admissions per 100,000 population 8.6 or 0.0086%  
% Staffed inpatient beds in use by patients with confirmed Covid-19 4%

**December 1, 2022, per CDC**

Case rate per 100,000 population 165.3 or 0.1653%  
New Covid-19 admissions per 100,000 population 11.9 or 0.0119%  
% Staffed inpatient beds in use by patients with confirmed Covid-19 5.6%

I'm aware there are different local sources reporting on Covid-19 data. Let's look at some of that data.

On 11/02/2022 Fox 11 news reported the number of Covid-19 positive cases patients in L.A. County hospitals is on the rise. The data is showing on 11/01/2022 there were 388 Covid-19 patients in the hospital, on 11/02/2022 the number of positive Covid-19 increased to **427 positive cases in L.A. County hospitals**. L.A. County reported **1,392 new Covid infections** on 11/02/2022.

Fox 11 news reported that **40% of the admitted Covid positive patients were admitted due to “virus related illness”**, while the others were admitted for other reasons, and in many cases only learning they were infected when they were tested at the hospital. **171 of the 427 were admitted for Covid-19 related illness** and **256 of the 427 were admitted for other reasons**, later learning they have tested positive for Covid while already being admitted into the hospital for other medical reasons.

The latest census from 2021 show 9.83 million people currently residing in L.A. County.

### November 2, 2022, per Fox 11 news

Case rate per 9.83 million population 1,392 or 0.0142%

New Covid-19 admissions per 9.83 million population 427 or 0.0043%

On 11/05/2022 My News LA reported **1,447 new covid cases in L.A. County as of Friday**, and **7 new “virus-related” fatalities. (Covid-19, RSV, Influenza virus?)** Health officials have said many of the deaths from Covid-19 are either elderly or have underlying health conditions, or both. The number of **Covid-19 patients in L.A. County hospitals have increased to 453**, and 44 of those were being treated in intensive care. **My News LA did not report the status of the other 409 patients** that were treated in L.A. County hospitals, only can presume they were either released back home or were admitted for other reasons later testing positive for Covid while at the hospital.

### November 5, 2022, per My News L.A.

Case rate per 9.83 million population 1,447 or 0.0147%

New Covid-19 admissions per 9.83 million population 453 or 0.0046%

Death rate per 9.83 million population 7 or 0.00007%

On 11/08/2022, CBS LA news reported a total of 1,433 new positive cases of Covid-19, there was a slight uptick over the weekend, reporting **2,978 positive covid-19 cases**. CBS LA reported **440 hospitalizations** in L.A. County which was slightly a lower figure compared to the numbers that were reported over the past two days (448 & 442).

### November 8, 2022, per CBS L.A.

Case rate per 9.83 million population 2,978 or 0.0302%

New Covid-19 admissions per 9.83 million population 440 or 0.0045%

11/10/2022, My News L.A. reported the number of Covid-19 positive patients in L.A. County hospitals increased by 52, bringing the total to **492 patients admitted to the hospital**. 43 of the 52 admitted patients were admitted into the I.C.U. which was **down by 10 from the previous day**. **L.A. County reported a total of 1,662 new cases**.

**My News LA reported the statewide total of Covid-19 positive patients, rose by 99, bringing the total to 1,855 cases.**

**Per California census as of 2021 California's population is 39.24 million.**

### **November 10, 2022, per My News LA**

Case rate per 9.83 million population	1,662 or 0.0170%
New Covid-19 admissions per 9.83 million population	492 or <b>0.0050%</b>
State Covid-19 Admissions per 39.24 million population	1,855 or <b>0.0047%</b>

On 11/13/2022, KTLA news reported, **2022 Flu season is off to an early start this year**. The CDC has listed California as rating high for Flu infections. Hospitalizations rates for influenza haven't been this high, this early since 2009 Swine Flu pandemic. KTLA reported there is an **estimate of 1300 Flu deaths in L.A. County. Flu activity has spiked over the past month.**

### **November 13, 2022, per KTLA News (Alix Martichoux)**

Influenza deaths estimated per 9.83 million population	1300 or <b>0.0132%</b>
--	------------------------

On 11/16/2022 My News LA reported, the number of Covid-19 positive cases in L.A. County hospitals continued to rise, according to the latest data. **552 Covid-19 positive patients have been hospitalized. 75 of the 552** were being treated in the ICU. **Health officials have said previously that roughly 40% of the patients were admitted for Covid-19 related issues, while the rest were admitted for other reasons but later tested positive at the hospital.** This breaks down to **221 of the 552 were admitted for Covid-19 related issues, and 331 of the 552 were admitted into the hospital for other**

reasons, later testing positive for Covid-19. L.A. County reported **1,532 Covid-19 positives cases** and **10 reported Covid-19 deaths.**

### November 16, 2022, per My News L.A.

Case rate per 9.83 million population	1,532 or 0.0156%
New Covid-19 admissions per 9.83 million population	552 or 0.0056%
Death rate per 9.83 million population	10 or 0.00010%

On 11/17/2022 CBS LA news reported, County Health Officials, “Strongly recommending” indoor masking with Covid-19 cases on the rise. **1,949 new “infections” were reported but did not distinguish what these “infections” were. (Covid-19, RSV or Influenza infections?).** The number of Covid-19 positive patients in County hospitals **rose to 648.** Per CBS LA news, roughly **40% of those patients are admitted because of Covid-19 infections,** while the **rest were admitted for other medical reasons,** later testing positive for Covid-19 while already admitted. That breaks down to, 648 patients admitted to County hospitals, **259 of the 648 were admitted because of Covid-19 infections** and **389 of the 648 patients were admitted to the hospital for other medical reasons,** later testing positive for Covid-19.

### November 17, 2022, per CBS LA news

Case rate per 9.83 million population	1,949 or 0.0198%
New Covid-19 admissions per 9.83 million population	648 or 0.0066%

On 11/20/2022 My News L.A. reported that the number of positive Covid-19 cases inside L.A. County Hospitals has increased by (4) bringing the number to **670.** The latest figures come as local Health Officials are reporting **2,200 new cases** and **8 “Virus-related” deaths.** It wasn’t distinguished what these “virus-related” deaths were (Covid-19, RSV or Influenza).

### November 20, 2022, per My News L.A.

Case rate per 9.83 million population	2,200 or 0.0223%
New Covid-19 admissions per 9.83 million	670 or 0.0068%
Death Rate per 9.83 million	8 or 0.00008%

On 11/23/2022 My News L.A. reported the number of new positive **Covid-19 patients being treated in L.A. County hospitals is 727.** **My News L.A. reported the number of statewide Covid positive patients is 2,581.**

The County Department of Public Health reported per My News L.A. **1,661 new Covid-19 infections** and the **County reported 7 new “virus” related deaths.** My News L.A. did not **distinguish what these new “virus”**

**related deaths were (Covid-19, RSV or Influenza).** Health Officials have said, roughly 40% of the virus patients were admitted for Covid-related issues, while the rest were admitted for other reasons later testing positive for Covid-19 at the hospital. This breaks down to **291 out of the 727 were admitted for Covid-19 related issues and 436 of the 727 were admitted for other medical reasons,** later testing positive while already being admitted at the hospital.

### **November 23, 2022, per My News L.A.**

Case rate per 9.83 million population	1,661 or 0.0168%
New Covid-19 admissions per 9.83 million	727 or 0.0073%
Death Rate per 9.83 million	7 or 0.00007%
State Covid-19 Admissions per 39.24 million population	2,581 or 0.0066%

On 11/28/2022 My News L.A. reported there were **5,244 new cases** and **21 additional “virus related” deaths.** My News L.A. did not distinguish what these “virus-related” deaths were (Covid-19, RSV or Influenza?). **822 Covid-19 positive patients were reported in L.A. County hospitals, 96 of the 822 were admitted into the ICU,** which was down from 101 the previous day. My News L.A. reported that Health Officials have said previously that roughly 40% of the virus patients were admitted to the hospital for Covid-19 related issues, while the rest were admitted for other reasons but tested positive for Covid-19 while at the hospital. **329 of the 822 were admitted for Covid-19 related issues,** while **493 of the 822 were admitted for other medical reasons,** later testing positive for Covid-19 while at the hospital.

### **November 28, 2022, per My News L.A.**

Case rate per 9.83 million population	5,244 or 0.0533%
New Covid-19 admissions per 9.83 million	822 or 0.0083%
Death Rate per 9.83 million	21 or 0.00021%

On 12/01/2022, My News L.A. reported there were **4,353 new Covid-19 cases** reported in L.A. County. L.A. County reported **1,132 new positive Covid-19 patients that were admitted** into the County hospitals, **122 of the 1,132 being treated in the ICU.** Health Officials have previously stated that roughly 40% of the virus patients were admitted to hospitals for Covid-19 related issues, while the rest were admitted for other medical reasons later testing positive for Covid while already being admitted. This breaks down to **453 out of 1,132 are admitted for Covid-19 related issues** while **679 of the 1,132 were admitted for other medical reasons,** later testing positive for Covid-19 while already being admitted. The Los Angeles Department of Health also reported **10 additional deaths associated with Covid-19.**

**12/01/2022 per My News L.A.**

Case rate per 9.83 million population      4,353 or 0.0442%

New Covid-19 admissions per 9.83 million   1,132 or 0.0115%

Death Rate per 9.83 million                      10 or 0.00010%

I would like to add in all the above data from local sources and the CDC there **is no breakdown as to the vaccination status of the Covid-19 positive cases reported.**

I can only speculate that **if vaccination status were provided in the above reports, it would likely mirror the current data** the LAPD has provided. The **vaccinated would be the overwhelmingly the majority the new positive Covid-19 infections / admissions.**

I know that I have mentioned this in my past public comment but it's worth mentioning again. Your Covid-19 mandate and extension of the state of emergency appears to be more of a financial incentive for the city of Los Angeles rather than for public safety.

The weekly data the Police Commission is provided with is showing **clear indicators** that this "vaccine" doesn't work and causes the vaccinated population to be more susceptible to becoming infected with Covid-19. When will enough be enough Commissioners?

W. Blauer.

---

**From:** Lizabeth Belli <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 10:05 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; Laylaa A.; Sesalli Castillo; Linda Rislin; Shynasty Wilkes  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- 
- 
- Limiting total time
- for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- 
- 
- Presumably, public
- comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public
- comment on the specific matters at hand.
-

- 
- 
- Rules for the content
- of speech includes that “speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks...” The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance to
- actually hear from member of the public on anything they want to speak on, regardless of whether or not a person is repeating a point.
- 

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. But the members of Police Commission can’t find in themselves the capacity to listen to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and deplorable. How highly you think of yourselves.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual’s public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the “citizen’s voice in police affairs.”

Signed,  
Lizabeth Belli

Lizabeth Belli *she/her/hers*

████████████████████

████████████████

#CareFirst

---

**From:** Zach Sherwin <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 10:10 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; Queally, James; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; Councilmember Rodriguez; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Mitch O'Farrell; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- Presumably, public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific matters at hand.
- Rules for the content of speech include: "speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks..." The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance to actually hear from members of the public on anything they want to speak on, regardless of whether or not a person is repeating a point.

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. But the members of the Police Commission can't find in themselves the capacity to listen to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and deplorable.

And as we repetitiously say, week after week, in these emails that never even get acknowledged (except once when a Commissioner got criticized in a way that hurt Briggs's feelings):

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,  
Zach Sherwin

---

**From:** michele dumont <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 10:11 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. Once again the Board is clearly showing that it is not a civilian oversight commission, but a rubber stamp on whatever the LAPD wants. In addition, the Board is being cowardly. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- 
- 
- Limiting total time for public comment to 45
- minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- 
- 
- Presumably, public comment would still go at
- the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific
- matters at hand.
- 
-

- 
- Rules for the content of speech includes that
- “speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks...” The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance to actually hear from members
- of the public on anything they want to speak on, regardless of whether or not a person is repeating a point.
- 

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. But the members of the Police Commission can't find in themselves the capacity to listen to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and deplorable. How highly you think of yourselves.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the “citizen's voice in police affairs.”

Signed,  
Dr. Michele Dumont

---

**From:** Jayme Kusyk <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 10:12 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- 
- 
- Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- 
- 
- Presumably, public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific matters at hand.
- 
- 
-

- Rules for the content of speech include: “speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks...”
- The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance to actually hear from members of the public on anything they want to speak on, regardless
- of whether or not a person is repeating a point.
- 

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. But the members of Police Commission can't find in themselves the capacity to listen to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and deplorable. How highly you think of yourselves.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the “citizen's voice in police affairs.”

Signed,

Jayme Kusyk

---

**From:** Christina C. <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 10:17 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- Presumably, public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific matters at hand.
- Rules for the content of speech include: "speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks..." The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance to actually hear from members of the public on anything they want to speak on, regardless of whether or not a person is repeating a point.

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved

ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. But the members of Police Commission can't find in themselves the capacity to listen to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and deplorable. How highly you think of yourselves.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Christina C

---

**From:** Samantha Lappin <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 10:18 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.

Presumably, public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific matters at hand.

Rules for the content of speech include: "speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks..." The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance to actually hear from members of the public on anything they want to speak on, regardless of whether or not a person is repeating a point.

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. But the members of Police Commission can't find in themselves the capacity to listen to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and deplorable. How highly you think of yourselves.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,  
Samantha

Sammi Lappin  
Creator & Organizer  
she/her

---

**From:** Mayank Keshaviah <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 10:40 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPC Fails; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** My Public Comment for the BOPC - Dec. 5, 2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- 
- 
- Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- 
- 
- Presumably, public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific matters at hand.
- 
- 
-

- Rules for the content of speech include: “speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks...”
- The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance to actually hear from members of the public on anything they want to speak on, regardless
- of whether or not a person is repeating a point.
- 

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. But the members of the Police Commission can't find in themselves the capacity to listen to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and deplorable. How highly you think of yourselves.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the “citizen's voice in police affairs.”

Signed,

Mayank Keshaviah

Los Angeles, CA 90034

---

**From:** Ted Trembinski <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 10:44 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Los Angeles Mayor's Office; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- 
- 
- Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- 
- 
- Presumably, public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific matters at hand.
- 
- 
- Rules for the content of speech include: "speakers shall refrain

- from making repetitious remarks...” The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance to actually hear from members of the public on anything
- they want to speak on, regardless of whether or not a person is repeating a point.
- 

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. But the members of Police Commission can't find in themselves the capacity to listen to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and deplorable. How highly you think of yourselves.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the “citizen's voice in police affairs.”

Signed,  
Ted Trembinski

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 10:54 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions will further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- 
- 
- Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- 
- 
- Presumably, public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This is particularly dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific

- matters at hand.
- 
- 
- 
- Rules for the content
- of speech include: “speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks...” The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech prevents community members from emphasizing points and speaking
- on topics they want to speak on.
- 

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. Members of Police Commission must find it in themselves to respect and listen to every person who hands in a comment card.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual’s public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment.

Signed,  
 Brad  
 Kaiserman

---

**From:** Tiana McKenna <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 11:05 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- Presumably, public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific matters at hand.
- Rules for the content of speech include: "speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks..." The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance to actually hear from members of the public on anything they want to speak on, regardless of whether or not a person is repeating a point.

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. But the members of Police Commission can't find in themselves the capacity to listen

to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and deplorable.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Sincerely,  
Tiana McKenna  
Los Angeles 90042

---

**From:** Ken Barnard <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 11:08 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Mayor Helpdesk; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; Mayor Garcetti; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- 
- 
- Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- 
- 
- Presumably, public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific matters at hand.
- 
- 
- Rules for the content of speech include: "speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks..."

- The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance to actually hear from members of the public on anything they want to speak on, regardless
- of whether or not a person is repeating a point.
- 

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. But the members of Police Commission can't find in themselves the capacity to listen to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and deplorable. How highly you think of yourselves.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,  
Ken Barnard

---

**From:** Jessi Jones <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 11:20 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- Presumably, public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific matters at hand.
- Rules for the content of speech include: "speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks..." The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance to actually hear from members of the public on anything they want to speak on, regardless of whether or not a person is repeating a point.

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. But the members of Police Commission can't find in themselves the capacity to listen

to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and deplorable. How highly you think of yourselves.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,  
Jessi Jones  
90004

---

**From:** Danielle Carne <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 11:31 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- 
- 
- Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- 
- 
- Presumably, public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific matters at hand.
- 
- 
- Rules for the content of speech include: "speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks..."

- The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance to actually hear from members of the public on anything they want to speak on, regardless
- of whether or not a person is repeating a point.
- 

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. But the members of Police Commission can't find in themselves the capacity to listen to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and deplorable. How highly you think of yourselves.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,  
Danielle Carne

---

**From:** Rachel Rosenbloom <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 11:59 AM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Mitch O'Farrell; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- 
- 
- Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- 
- 
- Presumably, public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific matters at hand.
- 
- 
- Rules for the content of speech include: "speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks..."

- The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance to actually hear from members of the public on anything they want to speak on, regardless
- of whether or not a person is repeating a point.
- 

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. But the members of Police Commission can't find in themselves the capacity to listen to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and deplorable. How highly you think of yourselves.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,  
Rachel Rosenbloom

--

Rachel Rosenbloom | She/Her  
[www.rachel-rosenbloom.com](http://www.rachel-rosenbloom.com)

██████████

---

**From:** Sarah Bowers <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 12:20 PM  
**To:** Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; Police Commission  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/6/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear LA Police Commission:

As a LA citizen of District 10, I'm writing to declare that your desire to further stifle public comment is purely gross and maddening. The reason why people make "repetitious" comments is that you do not listen. How often do we have to tell you to hold LAPD accountable? You apparently need to be told it an infinite number of times. Will you now prevent people from telling the LAPD to stop killing people because it's "repetitious"? Do you think Iran is right for stopping women from protesting an authoritarian regime because their protests are "repetitious"? Your hypocrisy and the tools you use to hide how your commission is politically intertwined with the perpetuation of mass LAPD budgeting and harm is disgusting.

The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- 
- 
- Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- 
- 
- Presumably, public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific matters at hand.
- 
- 
- Rules for the content of speech include: "speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks..." The fact that



---

**From:** Michelle King <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 1:06 PM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- Presumably, public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific matters at hand.
- Rules for the content of speech include: "speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks..." The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance to actually hear from members of the public on anything they want to speak on, regardless of whether or not a person is repeating a point.

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. But the members of Police Commission can't find in themselves the capacity to listen to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and deplorable. How highly you think of yourselves.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, allow every person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

In community,

Michelle King

---

**From:** Audrey Georg <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 1:15 PM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** My public comment for PC meeting on 12/6/22

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- Presumably, public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific matters at hand.
- Rules for the content of speech include: "speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks..." The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance to actually hear from members of the public on anything they want to speak on, regardless of whether or not a person is repeating a point.

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally

must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. But the members of Police Commission can't find in themselves the capacity to listen to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and deplorable. How highly you think of yourselves.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,

Audrey

***"If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor."*** Desmond Tutu

---

**From:** SHERRY VARON <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 2:03 PM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; LAPCFails; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- 
- Limiting
  - total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- 
- 
- Presumably,
  - public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit
  - public comment on the specific matters at hand.
- 
- 
- Rules

- for the content of speech include: “speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks...” The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance
- to actually hear from members of the public on anything they want to speak on, regardless of whether or not a person is repeating a point.
- 

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. But the members of Police Commission can't find in themselves the capacity to listen to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and deplorable. How highly you think of yourselves.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the “citizen's voice in police affairs.”

Signed,  
Sherry Varon

---

**From:** Jennifer Maldonado Tooley <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 2:33 PM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** Michel Moore; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; david.zahniser@latimes.com; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; ethics.commission@lacity.org; heather.hutt@lacity.org; james.queally@latimes.com; jpeltz@knock.la; kevin.rector@latimes.com; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; Richard Tefank; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; Eileen Decker; William J. Briggs, II; paul.koretz@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; tips@laist.com; wjbriggs@venable.com  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- Presumably, public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific matters at hand.
- Rules for the content of speech include: "speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks..." The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance to actually hear from members of the public on anything they want to speak on, regardless of whether or not a person is repeating a point.

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. But the members of Police Commission can't find in themselves the capacity to listen to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and deplorable. How highly you think of yourselves.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,

Jennifer Tooley

---

**From:** Catherine Safley <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 3:36 PM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Commissioners,

This week the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a significant change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- Presumably, public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific matters at hand.
- Rules for the content of speech include: "speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks..." The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance to actually hear from members of the public on anything they want to speak on, regardless of whether or not a person is repeating a point.

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating, but the members of Police

Commission can't muster the will to listen to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and reprehensible. Your arrogant contempt for the public is appalling.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment and allow every single person who calls in to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the ridiculous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,  
Catherine Safley

---

**From:** michael roy hames garcía <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 3:47 PM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Commissioners,

This week your commission will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings. I understand that the proposed rules would reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person below the level of their ability before public meetings went virtual due to the pandemic. Many in the community believe these new restrictions will further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings. They certainly dovetail with broad trends locally and beyond to restrict public comment and participation at public meetings.

As I understand it, the proposed rules include the following:

- Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain many items.
- Rules discouraging “repetitious remarks.” This phrase is subjective and could in particular discourage participation from speakers who are less confident and less practiced at public speaking.

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, these barriers can be felt even more acutely.

Please consider removing the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak; allowing community members to speak each agenda item; informing the public who is up next to speak; and resuming in-person meetings. In general, make every effort to remove procedural

barriers and impediments to civic expression. Members of the communities most affected by policing are often the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment.

Sincerely,

Michael Hames-García

---

**From:** Lex Ryan <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 4:21 PM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Cc:** mike.n.feuer@lacity.org; mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org; Eileen Decker; ethics.commission@lacity.org; Dale Bonner; Sandra Figueroa-Villa; Steve Soboroff; lapcfails@gmail.com; loucalanche@gmail.com; Richard Tefank; Michel Moore; William J. Briggs, II; wjbriggs@venable.com; tips@laist.com; david.zahniser@latimes.com; kevin.rector@latimes.com; james.queally@latimes.com; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; ericgarcetti@gmail.com; jpeltz@knock.la; heather.hutt@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org; paul.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; contactcd4@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; CD10ConstituentServices@lacity.org; Councilmember.Lee@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOPC 12/5/2022

**ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

To the Commissioners,

Tomorrow the Board will vote on the revised rules for public attendance and participation for in-person meetings of the Board of Police Commissioners. The proposed rules would be a marked change from what was previously in place, and would dramatically reduce the ability of community members to give public comment in person. These new restrictions are a blatant attempt by the Board to further silence and discourage participation from community members and organizers who attend the meetings, and are part of a larger trend in the city of Los Angeles to restrict public comment and participation at Commission and Council meetings, and to quell dissent.

What's being proposed includes the following:

- 
- 
- Limiting total time for public comment to 45 minutes per meeting, with a maximum of 3 minutes per speaker for the entire agenda, which can contain 10-15 different items, sometimes more.
- 
- 
- Presumably, public comment would still go at the beginning of the meeting, prior to any discussion or any presentation on agenda items. This in particular is thoughtless and dismissive of the comments and questions of community members, and intentionally seeks to limit public comment on the specific matters at hand.
- 
- 
- 
- Rules for the content of speech include: "speakers shall refrain from making repetitious remarks..."

- The fact that the Board seeks to limit public comment to only 45 minutes and then also places such restrictions on speech speaks to its utter lack of respect and tolerance to actually hear from members of the public on anything they want to speak on, regardless
- of whether or not a person is repeating a point.
- 

There are already many barriers to attending Police Commission meetings. A person must be able to get the morning or day free from having to work or provide care or support to family members, and additionally must have some form of transport. Once at the meeting location, a person must be able to go through security and be willing to sit in a small and crowded room with cops in close proximity. For folks who have had loved ones shot or killed by police, all of this is a thousand times more excruciating. But the members of the Police Commission can't find in themselves the capacity to listen to every person who hands in a comment card. This is inhumane and deplorable. How highly you think of yourselves.

We reject these rules and tactics intended to quell dissent and public speech. Remove the 45-minute time limit on public comment, thereby allowing every single person who calls in or attends to have an opportunity to speak. Remove the two-time limitation on each individual's public comment. Allow community members to speak after every single agenda item, as opposed to continuing the patently ludicrous practice of forcing the public to speak before any of these items have been discussed. Inform the public who is up next to speak. Resume in-person meetings! In general, make every effort to remove procedural barriers and impediments to civic expression, and discontinue your visible displays of irritation with and hostility towards the public you purport to serve. Members of the communities most affected by policing are by far the most knowledgeable about the real-world effects of the matters before this board, and about LAPD in their neighborhoods, and you should be doing everything you can to make it easy for them to give public comment. Week after week, your actions belie your hollow claim to be the "citizen's voice in police affairs."

Signed,  
Lex Ryan

--

Lex Ryan  
[Pronouns: he/they](#)  
[www.lexryan.co](http://www.lexryan.co)

---

**From:** Paula Minor <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 5, 2022 5:02 PM  
**To:** Police Commission  
**Subject:** Public Comment 12-06-22 Agenda Item 4D

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

We request that Item 4D on the LAPC agenda for 12-06-22 be continued until the new mayor and new council members are seated. The sections of the proposed rules on Speaking Before the Board #IV and Decorum #V are excessively restrictive and do not promote public input. The wording is confusing, misleading and open to interpretation. We demand that the Police Commission, as the City Charter states, be the voice of the people and allow public participation in the creation of these rules. The rules should not be dictated and imposed on those concerned about policing. A draft of the rules should be presented for public input and comment over a period of time and recommendations from the people should be reviewed, considered and accepted.

Paula Minor, BLMLA

Sent from my iPad