
From: Christina Flores <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, September 5, 2025 7:31 PM
To: Police Commission
Subject: Public Comment – Agenda Item BPC 25-232

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Members of the Board of Police Commissioners,

I am submitting this public comment regarding Agenda Item BPC 25-232, concerning the use of chemical agents.

I live in La Verne and regularly travel to Los Angeles for work and recreation. As a member of the public who frequents the city, I am directly affected by the deployment of chemical agents and the safety practices of law enforcement.

During the No Kings Day protest in June 2025, my sister and I were trapped in her vehicle on Main Street, blocked by LAPD, CHP, and LA County Sheriff units. Despite being nonviolent bystanders, we were exposed to chemical agents deployed nearby on Spring Street near Temple.

At no point did we hear any verbal orders or warnings from officers regarding the chemical agents. The only notifications we received were a text alert and a Citizens app alert, which arrived after we had already started walking to our car (prior to our vehicle experience). These notifications were general alerts about curfew and unlawful assembly, not warnings about chemical agent deployment. Prior to this, prior to leaving the area and going to our car, I had cautiously asked officers whether an unlawful assembly had been declared, but received no response.

Under California Assembly Bill 48 (AB 48) and LAPD Department Manual Section 1/572, chemical agents:

- Must not be used solely to disperse crowds;
- Must only target individuals engaged in violent acts;
- Require repeated audible warnings and a reasonable opportunity to leave;
- Must minimize harm to bystanders.

In this incident, none of these requirements were met. I have submitted CPRA requests for official deployment reports and use-of-force documentation related to this event (in June).

I respectfully request that the Board review this incident and consider whether the deployment of chemical agents in June 2025 complied with AB 48 and LAPD policy.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,
Christina
La Verne, CA

Adopt  Don't Shop
laanimalservices.com
Sent from my iPhone

From: Los Angeles Citizen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 8, 2025 2:58 PM
To: Police Commission
Subject: BOPC 9/09/25

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Mayor Bass and LAPD Police Commissioners,

BREAKING NEWS! Los Angeles is a very dangerous city! Los Angeles has violent criminal repeat offenders roaming the streets and not just a few. LA has gang members roaming the streets and not just a few. LA has potentially violent emotionally disturbed people roaming the streets and not just a few! So LAPD had three officer involved shootings in three days according to an article in the LA Times. Very few details were provided by the article so the emphasis seemed to be that there must be something wrong with the LAPD if we had three Officer involved shootings in three days. If the reader, and yes even LAPD Police Commissioners and the Mayor, who should know better, were led to believe that the LAPD is somehow responsible for the frequency of OIS shootings they would be mistaken. Los Angeles is a very dangerous city and in reality, it is so violent that you could have an OIS every day were it not for the training and restraint of LAPD officers. Nobody documents the number of incidents where officers have suspects at gunpoint and do not shoot for a variety of reasons although a justified shooting could have occurred. Officers respond to the actions of suspects and the assaults on officers has been going up! Officers and their partners have an obligation to protect themselves, their partners and the public! Officers should be going home to their families at the end of their shifts and not taking undue risks. A suspect with a Knife has the potential ability to kill you from 17' or so away. I refer you to the video entitled "Surviving Edged Weapons" featuring martial arts legend Dan Inosanto, training partner of the legendary Bruce Lee.

While officers' actions must be appropriately scrutinized and investigated to determine whether or not the shooting was justified, in policy, and legal, the random frequency of such shootings should be seen in the proper context and each incident should stand on its own. To give the impression that there is something wrong with the LAPD and that the LAPD is responsible for the frequency of OIS's is incorrect. The problem

lies with a system that allows violent criminals, violent gang members, violent mentally emotionally disturbed subjects to roam the streets among an unsuspecting and unprotected public. Who is responsible when a repeat violent offender is released to prey on the public yet again? Ask the victims and their families ! Ask Mirna Soza! Oh wait you can't ask her because she was murdered on the MTA subway by a violent repeat offender! And that's just one story, one victim, another victimized family of MANY!

If you want to reduce crime and the incidence of crime let's get serious and work together. The community, LAPD, District Attorney's Office, City Attorney's office, the Judges in the criminal injustice system and yes, even the federal government! Everyone has a role to play and a job to do, officers and citizens! If the Mayor and Police Commissioners cannot lead the LAPD and citizens on the path of greater public safety then we really are Lost Angeles!

Respectfully,
Steve Reilly

From: Voices For LAPD <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 8, 2025 2:59 PM
To: Police Commission
Subject: 9-9-25 public comment

ATTENTION: This email originated outside of LAPD. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Mayor Bass and LAPD Police Commissioners,

Since when do failed presidential candidates receive Secret Service protection? Since when does LAPD provide tax payer funded protection for political candidates aside from when they are at public events? The providing of protection for failed presidential candidate Harris was a political favor that never should have been done! Harris can pay for her own protection or not and have security protection as she sees fit. Security details and protection seems to be a status symbol for some people so lets not play that game. Her life is worth no more or less than anyone elses. Safe travels to Kamala Harris. Now please assign those Metro officers to crime suppression or an assignment that will make all of us safer!

Thank you!
Voices For LAPD