

## INTRADEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

January 10, 2024  
14.2

**TO:** The Honorable Board of Police Commissioners

**FROM:** Chief of Police

**SUBJECT:** PHOTO COMPARISON TECHNOLOGY AUDIT (AD NO. 22-004)

### **RECOMMENDED ACTION**

It is recommended that the Board of Police Commissioners REVIEW and APPROVE the attached Photo Comparison Technology Audit.

### **DISCUSSION**

Audit Division conducted the Photo Comparison Technology Audit to evaluate compliance with Department policies and procedures.

If additional information regarding this audit is required, please contact Police Administrator Sharon Sargent, Commanding Officer, Audit Division, at (213) 486-8480.

Respectfully,



MICHEL R. MOORE  
Chief of Police

Attachment

# PHOTO COMPARISON TECHNOLOGY AUDIT

Conducted by  
Audit Division 2022

## OVERVIEW

Audit Division (AD) evaluated the Los Angeles Police Department's (Department) policies related to Photo Comparison Technology (PCT) in accordance with the Department's Annual Audit Plan for calendar year 2022.

Table No. 1 summarizes the Department's overall compliance rate.

**Table No. 1 – Findings by Objective**

Objective No.	Description of Objective	Number Meeting Standard/Evaluated	Percent Meeting Standard
1	Completion of Digital Mugshot System (DMS) Training and Access Request	63/86	73%
2	Training Requirements to Access the DMS	63/63	100%
3	Review Requirements of the DMS		
3(a)	Monthly Reports of the DMS	7/7	100%
3(b)	Quarterly Reviews of the DMS	0/4	0%
3(c)	Semi-Annual Inspection of the DMS	4/4	100%
4	Documentation of the DMS Usage	1,229/1,229	100%

## BACKGROUND

On January 12, 2021, the Board of Police Commissioners approved Special Order No. 2, 2021, which formally codified the Department's policies relating to PCT usage.

The Department's use of PCT is limited to the DMS within the Los Angeles County (County) Regional Identification System (LACRIS). The PCT system is responsible for the identification of criminals arrested in the County and is known as a computer matching system involving the automated search of a facial image in a biometric database. The system is used to identify suspects for a specific crime or to assist in creating a photographic line-up.

## SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

This is AD's first audit of the Department's PCT policies. The audit period and population size varied depending on the objectives.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> The audit period and population size are summarized in each objective.

## **DETAILED FINDINGS**

### **Objective No. 1 – Completion of DMS Training and Access Request**

#### **Criteria**

Department Manual Section 3/568.56, *The Use of Photo Comparison Technology within Los Angeles County's Digital Mugshot System*, dated Quarter 1 2021, states:

***“Request for Access to DMS Training:***

*...The employee's Commanding Officer (CO) shall submit an Intradepartmental Correspondence, Form 15.02.00, to the CO, Records and Identification Division (R&I), when requesting DMS training and access for employees within their command. The 15.02.00 shall include the employee's name, serial number, rank, assignment, and the rationale for the employee to receive training and access to DMS.”*

#### **Audit Procedures**

During the audit period of January 1, 2021, through July 31, 2022, there was a total of 86 15.02.00s. Auditors reviewed all 15.02.00s to determine if the employee's name, serial number, rank, assignment, and rationale for the employee to receive training and access to DMS were documented. The Department met the standard if the required information was documented in the 15.02.00.

#### **Findings**

Of the 86 15.02.00s reviewed, 63 (73 percent) met the standard while 23 (27 percent) did not.<sup>2</sup>

### **Objective No. 2 – Training Requirements to Access the DMS**

#### **Criteria**

Department Manual Section 3/568.56, *The Use of Photo Comparison Technology within Los Angeles County's Digital Mugshot System*, dated Quarter 1 2021, states:

***“Training Requirements Prior to Using the DMS of LACRIS:***

*Department investigators shall be trained...from LACRIS prior to having access to use the system. This training includes a special section on the use of the DMS for PCT.”*

---

<sup>2</sup> See Addendum, Table No. 2 for detailed findings.

## **Audit Procedures**

During the audit period of January 1, 2022, through July 31, 2022, there was a total of 63 employees who accessed the DMS. Auditors assessed the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Class Roster-Participating Agency from January 1, 2017, through July 31, 2022, to verify if each employee completed the required training. The Department met the standard if the employee completed the required training.

## **Findings**

Each of the 63 employees (100 percent) met the standard for this objective.

## **Objective No. 3(a-c) – Review Requirements of the DMS**

The use of the LACRIS PCT is controlled by state law pertaining to Criminal Offender Record Information (CORI). All use(s) of the LACRIS PCT should be performed on a need-to-know and right-to-know basis per CORI regulations. All use(s) of the LACRIS PCT and search requests are subject to an audit by the California Department of Justice and LACRIS.

In line with the CORI and LACRIS requirements, the Department established a policy for Records and Identification Division to conduct monthly reports and quarterly reviews, and Detective Bureau to conduct semi-annual inspections.

## **Criteria**

Department Manual Section 3/568.56, *The Use of Photo Comparison Technology within Los Angeles County's Digital Mugshot System*, dated Quarter 1 2021, states:

***“Responsibility of the CO of Records and Identification:** At the end of each month the CO, R&I shall generate a report indicating the names of all users as well as the number of DMS uses that each individual employed during that month.*

*Additionally, the CO, R&I shall ensure that there is a quarterly review of authorized Department investigators with access to the DMS of LACRIS to ensure only those with approved access are using the system in compliance with CORI requirements....*

***Responsibility of Detective Bureau CO:** The CO, Detective Bureau, shall conduct a semi-annual inspection of DMS use to ensure compliance with the standards articulated in this policy including the recording of and DMS usage and results.”*

## **Objective 3(a) and 3(b) – Monthly Reports and Quarterly Reviews of the DMS**

## **Audit Procedures**

Auditors reviewed a total of seven monthly reports generated by R&I during the audit period of January 1, 2022, through July 31, 2022. The Department met the standard if the monthly reports

were generated. In addition, the Department met the standard if the quarterly reviews were conducted.

## Findings

**Objective 3(a)** – Each of the seven (100 percent) monthly reports met the standard for this objective.

**Objective 3(b)** – None of the quarterly reviews were performed as required during the audit period (see Recommendation No. 1).

## **Objective 3(c) - Semi-Annual Inspection of the DMS**

### Audit procedures

Auditors reviewed the semi-annual inspection reports from Detective Bureau for the years of 2021 and 2022. The Department met the standard if the semi-annual inspections were performed.

## Findings

Each of the four (100 percent) semi-annual inspections met the standard for this objective.

## **Objective No. 4 – Documentation of the DMS Usage**

### Criteria

Chief of Detectives Notice, *The Use of Photo Comparison Technology within Los Angeles County's Digital Mugshot System-Reminder*, dated April 20, 2021, states:

*“The following is a list of all information that shall be captured in DCTS<sup>3</sup> or Detective Activity Summary each time DMS is accessed:*

- *...The Division of Record (DR) Number (Users shall have a DR number for every usage, Incident numbers and investigation titles are not acceptable).”*

Department Manual Section 3/568.56, *The Use of Photo Comparison Technology within Los Angeles County's Digital Mugshot System*, dated Quarter 1 2021, states:

*Duty to Record Use of and Results of DMS Searches: All Department personnel authorized to use DMS **shall** record their use of DMS for criminal investigations as an Investigator's case note (e.g., in the Detective Case Tracking System or an Investigator's Action Log entry in Niche RMS).*

---

<sup>3</sup> Detective Case Tracking System.

## **Audit Procedures**

Auditors reviewed a total of 1,229 DMS searches made by all Department employees from LACRIS for the audit period of January 1, 2022, through July 31, 2022, to determine if the DR number was valid. The Department met the standard if the DMS searches from LACRIS contained a valid DR number.

## **Findings**

Each of the 1229 DMS searches (100 percent) met the standard for this objective.

## **OTHER RELATED MATTERS**

The *Chief of Detectives Notice* dated April 20, 2021, requires the DR number to be captured in DCTS or on a DAS for every usage, and states that incident numbers and investigation titles are unacceptable for “Duty to Record Use and Results of DMS Searches.” The Department Manual, Section 3/568.56, *The Use of Photo Comparison Technology Within Los Angeles County’s Digital Mugshot System* requires all authorized use of DMS to be documented in either DCTS or Niche RMS. (See Recommendation No. 2).

The Detective Activity Summary (DAS) is an independent document within the DCTS that is not linked; therefore, there is no validation of the DR, incident, or booking number. Consequently, a DAS form can be created using an incorrect DR, incident, or booking number since a control to validate the number does not exist within the system. Without a control, an audit trail does not exist to reference a source material (See Recommendation No. 3).

Auditors noticed that Special Operation Division (SOD) used a special investigation number to search in LACRIS/DMS. However, SOD’s special investigation number is not allowed to record the use of DMS in DCTS (See Recommendation No. 3).

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. It is recommended that R&I implement a process while conducting their quarterly review of the DMS users to include names of users who are no longer in an investigative assignment to ensure that each employee is deactivated from the DMS. By implementing this process, R&I can identify and immediately remove an employee who no longer has the right to access.
2. It is recommended that Detective Bureau take steps to reconcile inconsistencies between the *Chief of Detectives Notice* dated April 20, 2021, and the Department manual.
3. It is recommended that Detective Bureau collaborate with ITB to incorporate controls within the DCTS DMS main page where an invalid DR, incident, or booking number will not allow a DMS entry within DCTS.

**ACTIONS TAKEN/MANAGEMENT RESPONSE**

The findings were validated by the commanding officers of Gang and Narcotics Division, Robbery-Homicide Division, South Traffic Division, Records and Identification Division, Special Operations Division, and the Assistant to the Director, Office of Operations, who were in general agreement with the audit findings.

**Addendum**

**Table No. 2 – Summary of Incomplete 15.02.00s by Entity (Objective No. 1)**

<b>Entity</b>	<b>No. of Findings</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
77 <sup>th</sup> Street Area	1	4%
Devonshire Area	5	22%
Gang and Narcotics Division	1	4%
Harbor Area	1	4%
Hollywood Area	1	4%
Newton Area	2	9%
North Hollywood Area	1	4%
Northeast Area	1	4%
Operations-Central Bureau	1	4%
Rampart Area	3	13%
Southwest Area	3	13%
Traffic Group	1	4%
Van Nuys Area	1	4%
West Valley Area	1	4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>23<sup>4</sup></b>	<b>97%</b>

---

<sup>4</sup> Of the 23 findings, three (Hollywood Area, Harbor Area, and North Hollywood Area) did not include the employee's assignment on Form 15.02.00, while 20 did not include the rationale for the employee to receive training and access to DMS.


**APPENDIX**

**Audit Division Contact:** Police Performance Auditor III, Hezkeal Ayele, Serial No. N6038,  
(213) 486-8428, or [N6038@lapd.online](mailto:N6038@lapd.online)




---

HEZKEAL AYELE  
Project Manager, Audit Division



---

WENDY GAMBLE  
Officer in Charge, Audit Division



---

SHARON SARGENT  
Commanding Officer, Audit Division

## INTRADEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

October 5, 2023  
11.2

**TO:** Commanding Officer, Audit Division

**FROM:** Assistant to the Director, Office of Operations

**SUBJECT:** 2022 PHOTO COMPARISON TECHNOLOGY/FACIAL RECOGNITION  
AUDIT - RESPONSE

The Audit Division (AD) conducted a Photo Comparison Technology (PCT)/Facial Recognition Audit to evaluate adherence to policy as delineated in Department Manual Section 3/568.56, *The Use of Photo Comparison Technology within Los Angeles County's Digital Mugshot System*, dated 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2022 and the Chief of Detectives Notice, *The Use of Photo Comparison Technology within Los Angeles County's Digital Mugshot System-Reminder*, dated April 20, 2021. The audit period and population size varied depending on the objective. The testing timeframes were January 1, 2021, through July 31, 2022. This is AD's first audit of the Department's PCT policies.

The Office of Operations Inspection Unit (OOIU) reviewed the draft report and have determined the objectives that pertain to the Office of Operations (OO) are as follows:

- Objective No. 1 – Completion of Digital Mugshot System (DMS) Training and Access Request: a population of 86 was tested by auditors and determined 63 of the 86 (73%) forms met the standard for this objective. There were 21 of the 23 findings that pertain to the Office of Operations.
- Objective No. 2 – Training Requirements to Access the DMS: a population of 63 users were tested and determined 63 of the 63 users (100%) met the standards of this objective.
- Objective No. 4 – Documentation of the DMS Usage: a total population of 1,229 DMS searches were tested for this objective and all 1,229 of the 1,229 searches (100%) met the standards.

*{This section was left blank intentionally}*

Table No. 1 summarizes the Department's overall compliance rates.

**Table No. 1 – Findings by Objective**

<b>Objective No.</b>	<b>Description of Objective</b>	<b>Number Meeting Standard/Evaluated</b>	<b>Percent Meeting Standard</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Completion of Digital Mugshot System (DMS) Training and Access Request</b>	63/86	73%
<b>2</b>	<b>Training Requirements to Access the DMS</b>	63/63	100%
<b>3</b>	<b>Review Requirements of the DMS</b>		
3(a)	Monthly Reports of the DMS	7/7	100%
3(b)	Quarterly Reviews of the DMS	0/4	0%
3(c)	Semi-Annual Inspection of the DMS	4/4	100%
<b>4</b>	<b>Documentation of the DMS Usage</b>	1,229/1,229	100%

The OO compliance rate for Objective No. 1 is 47 of 68 (69%). The OOIU determined for Objective No. 1 that 18 of the 21 findings belonging to OO are because the submitted forms did not provide a "rationale" for the employees to receive training and access to the DMS. The OOIU confirmed that the 59 of the 61 Department personnel that were listed on these 15.02.00 forms held the rank of detective during the time of their request for the training. The remaining 2 of 61 personnel requesting the training were Police Officer II's that were assigned to assist with investigator work. All of the 61 Department personnel were in investigator roles and would utilize their DMS access to assist them with investigative tasks. Although the rationale to receive the training existed it was not included on the 15.02.00 forms as required.

The three remaining OO findings for Objective No. 1 were due to the 15.02.00 forms not including the assignment of the requestor as required. Again, OOIU determined that all of the 14 Department personnel accounted for in these three findings held roles where the use of the DMS would have assisted them in their investigative capacities. The OOIU concluded that the findings for Objective No. 1 are administrative errors only.

### **Office of Operations Response and Action Plans**


Since Los Angeles County Regional Identification System (LACRIS) oversees and operates DMS, the OOIU also reviewed the current LACRIS requirements for agencies using the DMS in comparison to Department policy. The OOIU determined that although the Department remains within LACRIS requirements for the audit timeframe, Department policy should be updated to reflect current LACRIS requirements so Department personnel can continue to be in compliance and retain access to the DMS.

The OOIU also consulted with the PCT Unit within the Gang and Narcotics Division (GND) to determine the correct policy and working practice. The PCT Unit agreed that current policy should be updated to help users understand Department requirements and procedures when using the DMS.

The Office of Operations is in general agreement with the findings and will address the deficiencies as follows:

- A notification will be made to the Area commands of the audit deficiencies and a reminder for personnel under their line of command to adhere to Department Manual Section 3/568.56 when requesting access and training for the DMS. A notice will be sent to Area Detective Commanding Officers.
- The Office of Operations Inspections Unit will collaborate and/or consult with the Detective Bureau to update an already drafted Chief of Detectives Notice which will provide updated policy to current and new users of the requirements and procedures of documenting use of the DMS according LACRIS policies and recommendations by the PCT Unit.

If you should have any questions regarding this correspondence, please contact Police Performance Auditor IV Yadira Huerta, Inspections Unit, Office of Operations, at (213) 486-6960.



BRYAN D. LIUM, Commander  
Assistant to the Director, Office of Operations

## INTRADEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

August 25, 2023  
13.2

**TO:** Commanding Officer, Audit Division

**FROM:** Commanding Officer, Special Operations Division

**SUBJECT:** PHOTO COMPARISON TECHNOLOGY AUDIT, 2023 – RESPONSE

Special Operations Division (SOD) reviewed the preliminary findings for the photo comparison technology audit which was completed by Audit Division (AD). AD noted three areas of concern, which relate to eighteen SOD inquiries of the Digital Mugshot System (DMS).

Special Operations Division command has reviewed each inquiry and determined that they were completed within the course and scope of the assigned investigator's duties. It was also noted that each inquiry contained a SOD case number, in the search reason field.

Each finding, and the SOD response, is enumerated below.

### **Objective No. 4 – Documentation of DMS Usage within DCTS**

Special Operations Division concurs with Audit Division's findings that DMS usage was not documented in the Detective Activity Summary Form within DCTS. Special Operations Division investigators do not use DCTS to track cases. As previously mentioned, SOD investigators are frequently assigned cases that do not have a DR No., Incident No., or Booking No., issued.

If you require further information regarding this request, please contact Lieutenant Kelly Martinelli, at (323) 887-7092.



DEMIAN F. WYMA, Captain  
Commanding Officer  
Special Operations Division

## INTRADEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

November 22, 2022  
10.4

**TO:** Commanding Officer, Audit Division

**FROM:** Commanding Officer, Records and Identification Division

**SUBJECT:** RESPONSE TO THE 2022 PHOTO COMPARISON TECHNOLOGY/FACIAL RECOGNITION AUDIT

Records and Identification Division (R&I) has reviewed the specific report findings pertaining to the Los Angeles Police Department, Photo Comparison Technology / Facial Recognition Audit 2022. The R&I generally agrees with the findings; however, offers the following comments:

### **Objective 3(b) - Quarterly Review by R&I**

R&I's compliance rate for completing quarterly reviews is zero percent (0%). The R&I, Criminal History Section completes monthly reviews identifying the names of all users, as well as the number of DMS uses that each user employed during the monthly audit period. If a user is out of compliance with the Criminal Offender Record Information (CORI) requirements the user is notified immediately and if necessary, user access is deactivated. Effective immediately, the R&I will notify both the user and the concerned Commanding Officer (CO) when out of compliance with CORI requirements.

The R&I CO generates quarterly reports of all Digital Mugshot System (DMS) users, this report is submitted to Detective Bureau for review. The quarterly report is not forwarded to the concerned CO's and does not identify DMS users that are non-compliant with CORI requirements, nor recommend corrective action. Effective immediately, the R&I CO will combine the monthly audits and generate a quarterly review report, as prescribed in OCOP Special Order No. 2, 2021 which will include a *"review of authorized Department investigators with access to the DMS of LACRIS to ensure only those with approved access are using the system in compliance with CORI requirements. Any non-compliance with CORI requirements shall result in the CO, R&I, informing both the user and the user's CO of the non-compliance and corrective action, including DMS access being denied, shall be taken."* The quarterly report will be submitted to Detective Bureau and concerned commanding officers for their review and appropriate action.

If you have any questions, please contact Chief Clerk Saul Aviles, Criminal History Section, Records and Identification, at (213) 486-8170.

*El*

ELENA NIHOA-ASUCAN, Police Administrator  
Commanding Officer  
Records and Identification Division

Attachment

**LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT  
PHOTO COMPARISON TECHNOLOGY AUDIT  
Conducted by Audit Division 2022  
Records and Identification Division Findings**

**Objective 3(b) - Quarterly Review by R&I**

**Criteria**

*The Department Manual, states:<sup>1</sup>*

**Responsibility of the CO of Records and Identification:** Additionally, the CO, R&I shall ensure that there is a quarterly review of authorized Department investigators with access to the DMS of LACRIS to ensure only those with approved access are using the system in compliance with CORI requirements.

**Audit procedure**

Auditors requested the quarterly reviews required to be performed by R&I. Audit Division requested the quarterly reviews for the quarters from January 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022.

The Department met the standard if reviews were performed for each quarter from the above audit period.

**Findings**

None (0%) of the six quarterly reviews were performed therefore, the standard was not met for this objective. Records and Identification Division indicated quarterly reviews were not necessary because security controls are in place to ensure that access to DMS of LACRIS can only be gained if access is first approved (account created) by LACRIS (County), following LACRIS approval, approval is then granted by the Department (R&I Division).

**R&I Division's Response:** Since the OCOP Special Order 2, 2021 was published, R&I Division has completed monthly audits identifying the names of all users, as well as the number of Digital Mugshot System (DMS) queries that each user made during the monthly audit period.

In the monthly audit, R&I Division also reviewed that approved users complied with Criminal Offender Record Information (CORI) requirements. As stated in the findings above, LACRIS has controls in place that only allows approved users to use the DMS. Every new candidate nominated for DMS goes thru the protocols set by the OCOP Special Order before they can attend the training at the LACRIS facility in Norwalk; once the training is completed, LACRIS grants access to the DMS module. Each new user creates their own login credentials to access DMS, which unless it is shared by the user, it cannot be duplicated. The DMS has a feature that requires the DMS user to update his/her login credentials every 90 days.

The R&I Division CO generates quarterly reports of all DMS users, this report is submitted to Detective Bureau for review. The quarterly report is not forwarded to the concerned CO's and does not identify DMS users that are non-compliant with CORI requirements, nor recommend corrective action.

When a user is found to be non-compliant, the R&I Division Facial Recognition Training Administrator takes the following steps to correct the problem: 1) Notify the DMS user of the

---

<sup>1</sup> *Ibid*

**LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT  
PHOTO COMPARISON TECHNOLOGY AUDIT  
Conducted by Audit Division 2022  
Records and Identification Division Findings**

non-compliance finding and inform them of the violation. The Facial Recognition Training Administrator also advises the user on how to correct the problem and advises the user that further non-compliance will result in the account being deactivated. 2) Notify the DMS user of the non-compliance finding and deactivate the user's account. For the DMS user's account to be re-instated, the user must contact the R&I Division Facial Recognition Training Administrator, at which time the user is counseled on the deficiencies that cause the account to be deactivated and informs the user that further non-compliance will result in the account being deactivated again.

**R&I Division's Compliance with OCOP Special Order No. 2:** The R&I Division Facial Recognition Training Administrator will generate quarterly reviews, as prescribed in OCOP Special Order No. 2, which will include a *"review of authorized Department investigators with access to the DMS of LACRIS to ensure only those with approved access are using the system in compliance with CORI requirements. Any non-compliance with CORI requirements shall result in the CO, R&I, informing both the user and the user's CO of the non-compliance and corrective action, including DMS access being denied, shall be taken."* The quarterly review will be submitted to Detective Bureau and concerned commanding officers for their review and appropriate action.

If you have any questions, please contact Chief Clerk Saul Aviles, Criminal History Section, Records and Identification Division, at 213-486-8215.

## INTRADPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

November 16, 2022

5.5

**TO:** Commanding Officer, Audit Division

**FROM:** Commanding Officer, South Traffic Division

**SUBJECT:** PHOTO COMPARISON TECHNOLOGY AUDIT

South Traffic Division reviewed the Photo Comparison Technology Audit completed by Audit Division. The Commanding Officer concurs with the findings and actions have been taken to address these findings.

Should you have any questions, please contact Detective II Michael Flannery, South Traffic Division, CIFU, at (323) 421-2500.

  
JAY HOM , Captain  
Commanding Officer  
South Traffic Division

**INTRADEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE**

December 7, 2022  
8.6

**TO:** Commanding Officer, Audit Division

**FROM:** Commanding Officer, Robbery-Homicide Division

**SUBJECT:** RESPONSE TO PHOTO COMPARISON TECHNOLOGY/FACIAL  
RECOGNITION AUDIT

On November 9, 2022, Robbery-Homicide Division (RHD) received the results of an audit conducted by Audit Division of Photo Comparison Technology/ Facial Recognition. The Commanding Officer is in general agreement with the findings and actions have been initiated to address these findings.

Should you have any questions, please contact Detective III Daniel Jaramillo, Adjutant, Robbery-Homicide Division, at (213) 486-6850.

  
Jonathan L. Tippet, Captain  
Commanding Officer  
Robbery-Homicide Division

**RECEIVED**

DEC 14 2022

Audit Division

**INTRADEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE**

November 9, 2022

8.4

**TO:** Commanding Officer, Audit Division

**FROM:** Commanding Officer, Gang and Narcotics Division

**SUBJECT:** PHOTO COMPARISON TECHNOLOGY AUDIT

Gang and Narcotics Division has received and reviewed the Photo Comparison Technology Audit completed by Audit Division. The Commanding Officer is in general agreement with the findings and actions have been initiated to address these findings.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact Detective II Ryan Cooper, Gang Support Section, Administrative Unit, at (213) 486-5330.



LILLIAN L. CARRANZA, Captain  
Commanding Officer  
Gang and Narcotics Division

**RECEIVED**

Nov 15 2022

Audit Division